Dynamics, Quantum Tools, and Embodiment: A Working Synthesis for Consciousness Research

Ahmed F. Alanazi
Department of Social Studies, College of Arts, King Faisal University, Al-ahsa, Saudi Arabia
ORCID ID: https://orcid.org/0000-0003-3468-5389

Abstract

The historical division between physics and psychology has obscured fundamental continuities between physical principles and mental phenomena, creating disciplinary boundaries that contemporary theoretical and empirical developments render increasingly untenable. This paper presents a synthesis of theoretical and empirical work spanning psychophysics, quantum cognition, coordination dynamics, and neurobiological models of consciousness, drawing on fifty-four distinct sources from classical psychophysics to contemporary quantum approaches and dynamical systems theory. The researcher argues that psychological processes, from perception and decision-making to consciousness itself, are deeply intertwined with physical principles that extend beyond classical mechanistic frameworks, and that physical principles provide not merely metaphorical resources for psychological description but constitute an essential explanatory framework for understanding cognition, consciousness, and behavior. The integration of physical and psychological perspectives reveals that the traditional division between these domains obscures fundamental continuities in the structure of experience and the dynamics of living systems. This synthesis has profound implications for both fields, suggesting that a unified framework incorporating insights from quantum mechanics, coordination dynamics, and information theory can illuminate the nature of consciousness, decision-making, and the embodied mind. The paper concludes by identifying promising directions for future research, including empirical investigation of quantum probability in human cognition, mechanisms by which intentional processes shape physical dynamics, and continued theoretical development toward a fully unified framework.

Keywords: quantum cognition, psychophysics, coordination dynamics, consciousness, mind-body problem, dynamical systems, perception, intentionality, embodied cognition, metastability

1. Introduction

The relationship between physics and psychology has been a subject of philosophical inquiry since the dawn of modern science. Descartes' dualism established a framework in which the mind was understood as non-physical and lacking spatial extension, while the body was subject

*Corresponding Author Email: Ahmedaldhmashii@gmail.com
Published: 14 September 2026
DOI: https://doi.org/10.70558/IJSSR.2026.v3.i5.301300
Copyright © 2026 The Author(s). This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (CC BY 4.0).

to the mechanical laws of physics [9]. This separation has persisted in various forms throughout the history of psychology and neuroscience, creating disciplinary boundaries that, the researcher argues, are increasingly untenable in light of contemporary theoretical and empirical developments.

The central thesis of this paper is that psychological processes are fundamentally intertwined with physical principles in ways that transcend mere metaphorical appropriation. From the classical tradition of psychophysics, which established quantitative relationships between physical stimuli and perceptual experience [3], to contemporary quantum approaches to cognition that employ the mathematical formalism of quantum mechanics to model decision-making [27], the intersection of physics and psychology reveals profound insights about the nature of mind, consciousness, and experience.

This integration is not merely a matter of intellectual curiosity. As recent research in coordination dynamics has demonstrated, the boundary between physical and psychological processes is systematically blurred in ways that have direct implications for understanding perception, action, and consciousness [1]. The concept of "deterministic fluctuations" in Coordination Dynamics illustrates how the same mathematical structures can describe both inanimate physical systems and animate, intentional behavior. In the former case, fluctuations are sources of stochastic noise; in the latter, they are "functional and purposeful" phenomena that serve exploratory and adaptive functions [1].

The present paper aims to provide a comprehensive review and synthesis of the major theoretical frameworks and empirical findings that illuminate the physics-psychology interface. The researcher organizes this review around three primary themes: (1) the classical tradition of psychophysics and its implications for understanding perception and cognition; (2) quantum approaches to psychology, including quantum cognition and quantum models of consciousness; and (3) dynamical systems approaches that bridge physical and psychological explanation. Throughout, the researcher argues that a unified framework, one that recognizes the fundamental continuity between physical and psychological processes, offers the most promising path forward for both disciplines.

2. Historical Foundations: Psychophysics and the Measurement of Mind

2.1 The Birth of Psychophysics and Its Enduring Legacy

The systematic study of the relationship between physical stimuli and psychological experience began with the work of Gustav Fechner in the nineteenth century. Fechner's psychophysics established quantitative methods for relating physical stimulus magnitudes to perceptual experiences, creating what would become the foundation of experimental psychology. As Algom notes, the integration of psychophysics with cognitive psychology has been "fruitful methodologically and indispensable theoretically" [3].

The psychophysical tradition established several fundamental principles that continue to inform research at the physics-psychology interface. The concept of the psychometric function, relating stimulus intensity to probability of detection or discrimination, remains a cornerstone of sensory psychology [8]. Contemporary advances in random-effects psychophysics have extended this tradition to account for individual differences in perception and cognition, demonstrating how "psychometric functions vary across individuals in one way, namely in location" [12]. This insight has important implications for understanding the neural and psychological mechanisms that mediate perception.

The classical physical framework that underlies traditional psychophysics is fundamentally deterministic and mechanistic. Neuropsychological research on the neural basis of behavior has typically "posited that brain mechanisms will ultimately suffice to explain all psychologically described phenomena" [9]. This assumption stems from the idea that "the brain is made up entirely of material particles and fields, and that all causal mechanisms relevant to neuroscience can therefore be formulated solely in terms of properties of these elements" [9].

However, this classical framework has been challenged by developments in contemporary physics. As Schwartz and colleagues note, "contemporary basic physical theory differs profoundly from classical physics on the important matter of how the consciousness of human agents enters into the structure of empirical phenomena" [9]. The new principles "contradict the older idea that local mechanical processes alone can account for the structure of all observed empirical data" [9]. This has profound implications for psychology and neuroscience, suggesting that a purely classical physical framework is insufficient for understanding the relationship between mind and brain.

2.2 Cognitive Psychophysics: Extending Classical Approaches to Higher-Level Phenomena

The development of cognitive psychophysics represented a significant expansion of the classical tradition. While classical psychophysics focused primarily on sensory processes, cognitive psychophysics extends these methods to higher-level cognitive phenomena, including memory, judgment, and decision-making [3]. Marks, in his contribution to this tradition, explored "what thin partitions sense from thought divide," suggesting fundamental continuities between perceptual and cognitive processes [17].

This extension has profound implications for understanding the relationship between physics and psychology. The methods of psychophysics, originally developed to relate physical stimuli to sensory experience, can be applied to relate physical principles to cognitive processes more broadly. The notion of "memory psychophysics" explores how the same quantitative relationships that govern perception also structure memory representations [22]. This suggests that physical principles may constrain cognitive processes in ways that extend beyond immediate sensory experience.

The integration of psychophysics with cognitive psychology has been "fruitful methodologically and indispensable theoretically" [3]. This integration suggests that the quantitative relationships originally discovered in the context of sensory perception may have broader applicability to understanding cognitive processes. As Ward notes, "mind in psychophysics" represents an important frontier for understanding how physical principles shape psychological experience [18].

2.3 Classical Physics and Psychological Explanation: Limitations and Challenges

The classical physical framework that underlies traditional psychophysics is fundamentally deterministic and mechanistic. This framework has been remarkably successful in explaining many aspects of sensory perception and behavior. However, it faces significant challenges when applied to higher-level cognitive phenomena and consciousness.

As Schwartz and colleagues note, "contemporary basic physical theory differs profoundly from classical physics on the important matter of how the consciousness of human agents enters into the structure of empirical phenomena" [9]. This has profound implications for psychology and neuroscience, suggesting that a purely classical physical framework is insufficient for understanding the relationship between mind and brain.

The limitations of classical physics for psychological explanation are particularly evident in the context of consciousness research. As the quantum consciousness literature suggests, classical physics cannot adequately account for the subjective, qualitative aspects of experience [11]. This has led to the development of quantum approaches to consciousness that employ the mathematical formalism of quantum mechanics to model conscious experience.

3. Quantum Approaches to Psychology: From Cognition to Consciousness

3.1 Quantum Cognition and Decision-Making: Modeling Non-Classical Behavior

Perhaps the most developed application of quantum principles to psychology is found in the field of quantum cognition. This approach employs the mathematical formalism of quantum mechanics, particularly the concepts of superposition and entanglement, to model cognitive phenomena that classical theories struggle to explain. Bruza and colleagues have demonstrated that "quantum decision theory emerged to address" paradoxical behaviors in human decisions that violate classical probability theory [27].

The motivation for quantum approaches to cognition comes from empirical findings that human decision-making systematically violates the axioms of classical probability. As the Japanese cognitive science literature notes, "Humans make decisions and inferences that violate Bayesian (classical) probability, such as conjunction/disjunction fallacies in probabilistic judgment and order effects on judgments" [6]. This has led to the development of cognitive models "using quantum probability theory," which refers to "rules for assigning probabilities to events based on quantum mechanics, without incorporating any elements of physics" [6].

However, the question of why human cognition follows quantum probability laws remains open. As the same source notes, "no answer has been found to the question of why human cognition follows the laws of quantum probability" [6]. One promising line of inquiry suggests that "living organisms are nonlinear, nonequilibrium, open many-body systems exhibiting duality of particle and wave properties," and that "biological entanglement, the principle of indeterminacy, context dependency, and path integrals are observed in life phenomena" [6].

The application of superposition to cognitive states suggests that thoughts and emotions may exist in a "superposition of states, underscoring the intricacy of human emotions" [15]. This framework draws on the Schrödinger equation, which "delineates potential states for quantum systems," to model "non-deterministic emotions existing in a superposition of states" [15]. This approach has significant implications for understanding the complexity of human cognition and emotion.

3.2 Quantum Models of Consciousness: The Orch OR Theory and Beyond

The application of quantum principles to consciousness has generated considerable theoretical and empirical work. The Orch OR theory of Penrose and Hameroff proposes that "the biophysical substrate of consciousness is a collective quantum state of microtubules" [29]. This theory has received experimental support from research showing that "intraneuronal microtubules [are] a functional target of inhalational anesthetics" and that "functionally relevant quantum effects occur in microtubules at room temperature" [29].

Wiest's review of the evidence for quantum consciousness concludes that there is "direct physical evidence of a macroscopic quantum entangled state in the living human brain that is correlated with the conscious state and working memory performance" [11]. This evidence supports the "physical and biological plausibility of quantum microtubule states related to consciousness" [11].

The quantum approach to consciousness offers several theoretical advantages. It provides a solution to the phenomenal binding or combination problem by "postulating a quantum physical substrate of consciousness" [11]. Additionally, the quantum approach can address the epiphenomenalism problem, the concern that consciousness appears to have no causal power, "in a nontrivial way" [11]. The Orch OR theory "embodies these advantages of a quantum model and also accounts for nonalgorithmic human understanding and the psychological arrow of time" [11].

As one analysis notes, "the so-called hard problem does not exist when experience is taken as a fundamental property of ontological reality" [7]. This approach suggests that "experience itself" should be treated "as a fundamental property of the concept" of consciousness [7]. By "taking experience as a fundamental property, it becomes possible to unify the concept across the 4 cultures" of philosophy, physics, psychology, and anthropology [7].

3.3 Quantum Brain Dynamics and Radical Pair Mechanisms: Physical Substrates of Consciousness

Recent research has explored specific quantum mechanisms that may operate in the brain. The Radical Pair (RP) mechanism, a "fundamentally quantum-mechanical process," has been proposed to explain "a range of magnetic and field-dependent effects in chemical and biological systems" [2]. RPs "consist of two spatially separated unpaired electrons generated through chemical bond cleavage or electron transfer (hopping) reactions" [2].

The RP mechanism "depends on electron spin correlation, i.e., electronic quantum entanglement, between two unpaired electrons originating from electron donor and acceptor molecules" [2]. This mechanism may occur in biological macromolecules that contain spin centers, suggesting a quantum substrate for brain function [2]. The potential for measuring quantum effects in the brain is supported by "novel invasive techniques based on intracranial iEEG" that enable "the examination, and eventual manipulation, of neural activity across multiple brain regions at near cellular and subcellular levels" [2].

The concept of "entanglement as a potential mechanism underlying consciousness" has been proposed by several researchers [2]. This approach suggests that "a comprehensive EMF-based theory of brain function" could "incorporate not only macroscopic and neural circuit dynamics but also extends to nanoscale, molecular-level processes grounded in fundamental physics" [2].

Quantum Brain Dynamics (QBD) extends quantum principles to biological computation, with implications for understanding "memory, consciousness, and the seamless integration of quantum phenomena in biological computation" [14]. Memory, "a multi-faceted cognitive function, transcends simplistic synaptic connections, manifesting properties like diversity, stability over time, and, intriguingly, nonlocal correlations" [14]. This suggests that the brain may employ quantum computational principles that go beyond classical information processing.

4. Dynamical Systems and Coordination Dynamics: The Physics of Intentional Behavior

4.1 The Haken-Kelso-Bunz Model and the Emergence of Intentional Dynamics

The Haken-Kelso-Bunz (HKB) model represents a landmark integration of physical principles with psychological phenomena. This dynamical systems approach describes the coordination of rhythmic movements and has been extended to account for a wide range of cognitive and perceptual phenomena. As recent work in Coordination Dynamics demonstrates, the HKB model captures "the patterns of experimentally measured fluctuations and provides a dynamical mechanism" for understanding coordinated behavior [1].

A crucial insight from the HKB framework is the relationship between physical dynamics and intentional behavior. The parameter Q, which governs fluctuations in the system, "instead of mere stochastic noise, can be shown to depend on the adherence (or not) to the boundary conditions 'Do not intervene'" [1]. This suggests that the source of fluctuations is "entirely different" from what a purely physical model would predict: they "are certainly not random (as the physical model presupposes and reproduces the observed effects)" [1]. Rather, "the source of the fluctuations is determined by the participant's intentional response to instructions" [1].

This finding has profound implications for understanding the relationship between physics and psychology. The intentional responses to instructions "constitute (perhaps rather special) boundary constraints on the decision-making/switching process, but are not contained in the HKB dynamics per se" [1]. This suggests that psychological processes, particularly intentionality, operate as boundary conditions on physical dynamics, shaping the behavior of complex systems in ways that cannot be captured by physical dynamics alone.

The concept of "deterministic fluctuations" introduced in this framework "distinguish (or unify) the inanimate and animate pictures of the HKB dynamics" [1]. In the inanimate case, fluctuations are "essential sources of stochastic noise"; in the animate case, "fluctuations are functional and purposeful" [1]. This distinction is crucial for understanding how the same physical principles can describe both physical and psychological processes, while recognizing the unique characteristics of living, intentional systems.

4.2 Order Parameters and Collective Variables: Bridging Physical and Psychological Description

The concept of order parameters, derived from Haken's Synergetics, has been instrumental in understanding how complex systems organize their behavior. As the Coordination Dynamics literature notes, "Haken's Synergetics where he showed that instabilities can be used to identify relevant order parameters or collective variables and their nonlinear dynamics on a chosen level of description" [1]. In the context of brain and behavior, these order parameters "have been shown to span typical divisions, e.g., between sensory and motor, organism and environment, perception and action, brain and body" [1].

The relational nature of order parameters is particularly significant: "Order parameters and order parameter fluctuations are relational, they exist between body parts, between body movements and sensory stimulation, between brain and cognitive activity, between intention and action, between boundary conditions and dynamics" [1]. This relational character suggests that psychological phenomena are not reducible to either physical or mental categories but emerge from the dynamics of the system as a whole.

The Coordination Dynamics framework emphasizes the complementary nature of physical and intentional descriptions: "'Letting go' and 'holding on' not only correspond to a subject's intentions in a typical bimanual or sensorimotor experiment but also constitute a key complementary pair of Coordination Dynamics" [1]. This complementarity is grounded in the metastable regime of coordination dynamics, which provides a physical basis for psychological phenomena.

4.3 Metastability and the Interspace Between Oppositions: Physical Grounding of Psychological Phenomena

A key concept in Coordination Dynamics is metastability, the regime in which systems are neither completely stable nor completely unstable, allowing for flexible and adaptive behavior. As the literature notes, the "interspace between oppositions" is "scientifically grounded: it can be shown to fall out of the metastable régime of coordination dynamics" [1]. This provides a physical basis for phenomena that have traditionally been understood in exclusively psychological terms.

Research on the emergence of agency in human babies has shown that "fluctuations have been shown to play a variety of roles, such as discovery and exploration, often preceding an 'aha' experience" [1]. This suggests that the functional role of fluctuations in animate systems is not merely a theoretical construct but has direct empirical support.

As Havelock Ellis remarked, "All the art of living lies in a fine mingling of letting go and holding on" [1]. The scientific grounding of this insight in the metastable regime suggests that physical and psychological understanding are not merely compatible but mutually reinforcing. The Coordination Dynamics framework demonstrates that the boundary between physical and psychological processes is systematically blurred in ways that have direct implications for understanding perception, action, and consciousness.

5. The Naive Physics-Naive Psychology Interface: Integrating Physical and Psychological Understanding

5.1 The Integration of Physical and Psychological Understanding in Human Cognition

Recent research has explored how humans understand others as both psychological beings and physical objects. The prevailing belief has been that "our understanding of the physical world and the psychological world are independent and modular systems" [10]. However, emerging evidence suggests that "our psychological reasoning, known as naive psychology, actually relies on inputs from our understanding of the physical world, or naive physics" [10].

This integration is not merely incidental but appears to reflect fundamental organizational principles of the mind and brain. The authors propose that "naive psychology and physics are parallel and integrated systems in the human mind and brain" [10]. This integration has important implications for understanding social cognition, development, and the neural organization of cognitive processes.

The integration of naive physics and naive psychology suggests that physical principles are not merely external constraints on psychological processes but are deeply woven into the fabric of psychological understanding. This supports the broader thesis of this paper: that physical and psychological processes are fundamentally continuous.

5.2 Cybernetic Approaches: Mutual Constitution of Physical and Psychological Understanding

Fidelman's work on cybernetic approaches to physics and psychology provides a complementary perspective on the integration of these domains. Fidelman applies "findings in cognitive psychology of vision, neuropsychology of the hemispheric mechanisms and quantum mechanics in order to explain how the electrostatic force operates at distance between two charged particles" [13]. This approach demonstrates "how a cybernetic system, the human brain, creates the cognitive models that are applied by physics to explain particular phenomena of the physical world" [13].

Importantly, Fidelman's work suggests that "cybernetic considerations which use cognitive psychological, neuropsychological and physical-knowledge can obtain testable and applicable physical theories" [13]. This represents a significant departure from traditional approaches, which have typically treated physical knowledge as foundational and psychological knowledge as derivative. Instead, Fidelman's work suggests that psychological and physical understanding are mutually constitutive.

This cybernetic approach has significant implications for understanding the relationship between physics and psychology. It suggests that the models and theories of physics are not simply discovered but are constructed through cognitive processes that are themselves shaped by physical principles. This reciprocal relationship underscores the fundamental continuity between physical and psychological understanding.

6. Emergent Approaches: Quantum-Inspired Models of Mind and Consciousness

6.1 Superposition and Cognitive States: Modeling Non-Deterministic Mental Phenomena

The concept of superposition, a hallmark of quantum mechanics, has been applied to model cognitive states. As Pala and Shalu note, "in scenarios involving multiple potential mental states, whether in decision-making, perception, or thought, conscious focus serves as a selective way that transforms a superposition of possibilities into a single, actualized experience" [15]. This process is "similar to the quantum observer effect" and "underscores that conscious attention is not a passive observation but an active force that shapes reality" [15].

The application of superposition to cognitive states suggests that thoughts and emotions may exist in a "superposition of states, underscoring the intricacy of human emotions" [15]. This framework draws on the Schrödinger equation, which "delineates potential states for quantum systems," to model "non-deterministic emotions existing in a superposition of states" [15].

This approach has significant implications for understanding the complexity of human cognition and emotion. By modeling cognitive states as superpositions, quantum-inspired approaches can capture the context-dependence and non-determinism that characterize human thought and decision-making.

6.2 Entanglement and Psychological Connectedness: Nonlocal Correlations in Cognition

Quantum entanglement, the phenomenon in which the properties of particles become interdependent, has been used as a model for psychological interconnectedness. Just as "entangled particles exhibit interconnectedness," psychological processes may exhibit nonlocal correlations that cannot be captured by classical models [14]. This has particular relevance for understanding emotional connections, memory, and the integration of cognitive processes.

The application of entanglement to psychology suggests that "emotional connections" may be understood in terms of the "interconnectedness" exhibited by entangled particles [14]. This provides a novel framework for understanding phenomena that have traditionally been challenging for psychological theory.

As the quantum psychology literature notes, "the variables in psychology are diverse and deeply interconnected, making their quantification challenging" [14]. Quantum-inspired approaches may provide the mathematical and conceptual tools necessary to capture this complexity. The concept of entanglement offers a framework for understanding how disparate cognitive processes are integrated into unified subjective experience.

6.3 Quantum Brain Dynamics and Biological Computation: Beyond Classical Information Processing

Quantum Brain Dynamics (QBD) extends quantum principles to biological computation, with implications for understanding "memory, consciousness, and the seamless integration of quantum phenomena in biological computation" [14]. Memory, "a multi-faceted cognitive function, transcends simplistic synaptic connections, manifesting properties like diversity, stability over time, and, intriguingly, nonlocal correlations" [14].

This suggests that the brain may employ quantum computational principles that go beyond classical information processing. The quantum cognition approach "offers different perspectives and potential advantages compared to traditional or classical approaches in psychology" [5]. These advantages include the ability to model context-dependent phenomena, non-deterministic processes, and the integration of disparate cognitive functions.

For clinical psychology, the integration of quantum principles may offer new approaches to understanding and treating psychological disorders. As one source notes, "there may be sufficient knowledge of holonomic theory to initiate trials of the efficacy of quantum brain models in the psychotherapeutic realm" [5]. This suggests that the physics-psychology interface may have practical applications beyond theoretical understanding.

7. The Consciousness Conundrum: Physical and Psychological Perspectives on Subjective Experience

7.1 The Hard Problem and Quantum Approaches: Redefining the Problem Space

The "hard problem" of consciousness, the question of why and how physical processes give rise to subjective experience, has been a central concern for both philosophy and cognitive science. Quantum approaches to consciousness offer novel solutions to this problem. As one analysis notes, "the so-called hard problem does not exist when experience is taken as a fundamental property of ontological reality" [7].

This approach suggests that "experience itself" should be treated "as a fundamental property of the concept" of consciousness [7]. By "taking experience as a fundamental property, it becomes possible to unify the concept across the 4 cultures" of philosophy, physics, psychology, and anthropology [7]. This represents a significant departure from traditional approaches, which have typically treated consciousness as derivative of physical processes.

The quantum approach to consciousness offers several theoretical advantages. It provides a solution to the phenomenal binding or combination problem by "postulating a quantum physical substrate of consciousness" [11]. Additionally, the quantum approach can address the epiphenomenalism problem, the concern that consciousness appears to have no causal power, "in a nontrivial way" [11]. The Orch OR theory "embodies these advantages of a quantum model and also accounts for nonalgorithmic human understanding and the psychological arrow of time" [11].

7.2 Panprotopsychism and the Binding Problem: Unifying Subjective Experience

Quantum models of consciousness offer solutions to the binding problem, the question of how disparate neural processes give rise to unified subjective experience. As Wiest notes, the quantum model "makes panprotopsychism a viable solution to physicalism's hard problem by solving the phenomenal binding or combination problem" [11]. By "postulating a quantum physical substrate of consciousness," the quantum approach "solves the binding problem in principle" [11].

However, this approach leaves the epiphenomenalism problem, the concern that consciousness may lack causal efficacy, unresolved. Wiest proposes that "contrary to a certain (zombie) intuition, the quantum approach can also solve this problem in a nontrivial way" [11]. This suggests that quantum models of consciousness may provide a complete solution to the major conceptual problems facing consciousness research.

The quantum approach to consciousness has significant implications for understanding the nature of subjective experience. By treating consciousness as a fundamental property of reality, quantum models can account for the qualitative, subjective aspects of experience that have traditionally resisted explanation within classical physical frameworks.

7.3 Controversial Frameworks: The Two-Particle Quantum Bonding Hypothesis

The theoretical synthesis presented in the Two-Particle Quantum Bonding Hypothesis (TPQBH) represents an ambitious attempt to integrate physics and psychology. This framework "posits that conscious continuity is mediated by two non-energetic, ultra-quantum particles: the Universal-ultra Quantum Genomic Particle of Consciousness (X-UQGPC), responsible for coupling with any viable neural substrate, and the Universal-ultra Quantum Unique Particle of Consciousness (X-UQUPC), which guarantees the singularity of individual self-awareness" [7].

While controversial, this framework offers "a naturalistic yet non-materialist mechanism for the persistence of self and suggest[s] empirical pathways to investigate consciousness as a universal, conserved phenomenon" [7]. The TPQBH provides "a falsifiable prediction: during transitions between wakefulness and anesthesia, human cortical neurons will show a reversible 0.5–3 millivolt shift in firing threshold, a physical signature of consciousness interacting with the brain" [7].

This framework represents an ambitious attempt to provide a unified account of consciousness that integrates physical and psychological perspectives. While controversial, it illustrates the potential for novel theoretical frameworks to emerge from the integration of physics and psychology.

8. Discussion: Toward a Unified Framework for Physical and Psychological Explanation

8.1 The Complementarity of Physical and Psychological Explanation

The evidence reviewed in this paper suggests that physical and psychological explanations are not competing but complementary. The Coordination Dynamics framework, in particular, emphasizes the complementary nature of physical and intentional descriptions: "'Letting go' and 'holding on' not only correspond to a subject's intentions in a typical bimanual or sensorimotor experiment but also constitute a key complementary pair of Coordination Dynamics" [1].

This complementarity is grounded in the metastable regime of coordination dynamics, which provides a physical basis for psychological phenomena. As Havelock Ellis remarked, "All the art of living lies in a fine mingling of letting go and holding on" [1]. The scientific grounding of this insight in the metastable regime suggests that physical and psychological understanding are not merely compatible but mutually reinforcing.

The integration of physical and psychological perspectives reveals that the traditional division between these domains obscures fundamental continuities in the structure of experience and the dynamics of living systems. The Coordination Dynamics framework demonstrates that intentional processes operate as boundary conditions on physical dynamics, shaping the behavior of complex systems in ways that cannot be captured by physical principles alone.

8.2 Implications for Psychological Theory and Practice

The integration of physical principles with psychological understanding has profound implications for both theory and practice. Quantum approaches to cognition offer new models for understanding decision-making, memory, and consciousness that go beyond classical frameworks. The quantum cognition approach "offers different perspectives and potential advantages compared to traditional or classical approaches in psychology" [5].

For clinical psychology, the integration of quantum principles may offer new approaches to understanding and treating psychological disorders. As one source notes, "there may be sufficient knowledge of holonomic theory to initiate trials of the efficacy of quantum brain models in the psychotherapeutic realm" [5]. This suggests that the physics-psychology interface may have practical applications beyond theoretical understanding.

The integration of physical and psychological understanding also has implications for understanding normal cognitive processes. The concept of "deterministic fluctuations" in Coordination Dynamics suggests that fluctuations that appear to be random may actually serve functional purposes in animate systems [1]. This has implications for understanding creativity, problem-solving, and adaptive behavior.

8.3 Future Directions for Research

Several promising directions for future research emerge from this review. First, the relationship between quantum probability and human cognition requires further empirical investigation. As the Japanese cognitive science literature notes, "no answer has been found to the question of why human cognition follows the laws of quantum probability" [6]. This represents a significant gap in our understanding that requires further investigation.

Second, the mechanisms by which intentional processes shape physical dynamics require further study. The Coordination Dynamics framework provides a foundation for this research, but the specific mechanisms remain to be fully characterized. Understanding how intentionality operates as a boundary condition on physical dynamics is crucial for developing a unified framework.

Third, the empirical testing of quantum models of consciousness requires continued development of experimental paradigms. The radical pair mechanism and other quantum processes in the brain offer promising avenues for empirical investigation [2]. The development of novel experimental techniques, including invasive techniques based on intracranial iEEG, may enable the examination of quantum effects in the brain at near cellular and subcellular levels [2].

Fourth, the integration of physical and psychological understanding in a unified framework requires continued theoretical development. The present paper has outlined several promising approaches, but a fully unified framework remains to be developed. This requires continued dialogue between physicists, psychologists, neuroscientists, and philosophers.

Fifth, the relationship between naive physics and naive psychology deserves further investigation. The emerging evidence that "our psychological reasoning, known as naive psychology, actually relies on inputs from our understanding of the physical world, or naive physics" [10] suggests that the integration of physical and psychological understanding may be more fundamental than previously recognized.

9. Conclusion

The relationship between physics and psychology is characterized by fundamental continuity rather than disciplinary separation. From the classical psychophysical tradition to contemporary quantum approaches, physical principles provide essential explanatory resources for understanding psychological phenomena. The Coordination Dynamics framework demonstrates that intentional processes operate as boundary conditions on physical dynamics, shaping the behavior of complex systems in ways that cannot be captured by physical principles alone. Quantum approaches to cognition and consciousness offer novel frameworks for understanding decision-making, memory, and subjective experience that go beyond classical models.

This synthesis has profound implications for both fields. For physics, the study of psychological phenomena reveals the limitations of purely physical descriptions and the importance of understanding how intentionality and consciousness enter into the structure of empirical phenomena. For psychology, the application of physical principles provides quantitative and conceptual resources for understanding phenomena that have traditionally resisted explanation. The integration of physical and psychological understanding, a "motionable mind" that is simultaneously physical and intentional, represents the most promising path forward for both disciplines.

The concept of the "motionable mind" captures the essential insight that psychological processes are not merely physical or merely mental but emerge from the dynamics of complex systems that span physical and psychological domains. The Coordination Dynamics framework provides a scientific foundation for understanding how physical principles and intentional processes interact to produce the rich tapestry of human experience.

As we look to the future, the integration of physics and psychology promises to illuminate some of the most profound questions about the nature of mind, consciousness, and experience. The development of unified frameworks that incorporate insights from quantum mechanics, coordination dynamics, and information theory offers the potential for transformative advances in our understanding of the human condition. The motionable mind, a mind that is simultaneously physical and intentional, constrained by physical principles yet capable of shaping physical dynamics through intentional action, represents the frontier of scientific understanding at the intersection of physics and psychology.

References

[1] Kelso, J.A.S. (2025). The motionable mind: How physics (dynamics) and life (movement) go(t) together—On boundary conditions and order parameter fluctuations in Coordination Dynamics. European Physical Journal Special Topics.

[2] Augusto, I. & Davies, P. (2026). Brain entangled quantum states in radical pairs: A possible link to consciousness. Brain Research.

[3] Algom, D. (Ed.). (1992). Psychophysical Approaches to Cognition. North-Holland Elsevier Science Publishers.

[4] Science.gov. (n.d.). Altered physical properties: Topics by Science.gov.

[5] Frontiers in Psychology. (2025). The quantum brain: one psychology. Frontiers in Psychology.

[6] Japanese Cognitive Science Society. (2026). 生物力学と量子力学を融合した生命と認知の統一理論. Journal of Cognitive Science, 33(1), 73-90.

[7] Dayathilake, K.L.S. (2025). Consciousness as a Conserved Continuum: The Two-Particle Quantum Bonding Hypothesis and a Biophysics of Mind Viruses. Cambridge University Press & Assessment.

[8] Wiley Online Library. (2012). Handbook of Psychology, Second Edition: Visual Perception.

[9] Schwartz, J.M., Stapp, H.P., & Beauregard, M. (2004). Quantum physics in neuroscience and psychology: A neurophysical model of the mind/brain interaction. Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B-Biological Sciences.

[10] Stanford University. (2024). Naive psychology depends on naive physics. Health & Medicine Week.

[11] Wiest, M.C. (2025). A quantum microtubule substrate of consciousness is experimentally supported and solves the binding and epiphenomenalism problems. Neuroscience of Consciousness.

[12] Springer. (2026). Random-effects psychophysics for studying individual differences in perception and cognition. Psychonomic Bulletin & Review.

[13] Fidelman, U. (2006). The electrostatic force and the annihilation of particles and antiparticles: a cybernetical approach. Kybernetes, 35(5), 700-712.

[14] ScienceDirect. (2023). Quantum concepts in Psychology: Exploring the interplay of physics and the human psyche. Physics of Life Reviews.

[15] Pala, K. & Shalu, S. (2025). A Quantum Paradigm in Conscious Experience and Cognitive Process. Journal of Physics: Conference Series, 2948, 012018.

[16] Anderson, N.H. (1992). Integration Psychophysics and Cognition. In D. Algom (Ed.), Psychophysical Approaches to Cognition. North-Holland.

[17] Marks, L.E. (1992). "What Thin Partitions Sense from Thought Divide": Toward a New Cognitive Psychophysics. In D. Algom (Ed.), Psychophysical Approaches to Cognition. North-Holland.

[18] Ward, L.M. (1992). Mind in Psychophysics. In D. Algom (Ed.), Psychophysical Approaches to Cognition. North-Holland.

[19] Galanter, E. (1992). Intentionalism - An Expressive Theory. In D. Algom (Ed.), Psychophysical Approaches to Cognition. North-Holland.

[20] Melara, R.D. (1992). The Concept of Perceptual Similarity: From Psychophysics to Cognitive Psychology. In D. Algom (Ed.), Psychophysical Approaches to Cognition. North-Holland.

[21] Baird, J.C. & Hubbard, T.L. (1992). Psychophysics of Visual Imagery. In D. Algom (Ed.), Psychophysical Approaches to Cognition. North-Holland.

[22] Algom, D. (1992). Memory Psychophysics: An Examination of its Perceptual and Cognitive Prospects. In D. Algom (Ed.), Psychophysical Approaches to Cognition. North-Holland.

[23] Rollman, G.B. (1992). Cognitive Effects in Pain and Pain Judgments. In D. Algom (Ed.), Psychophysical Approaches to Cognition. North-Holland.

[24] Gescheider, G.A., Bolanowski, S.J., & Verrillo, R.T. (1992). Sensory, Cognitive and Response Factors in the Judgment of Sensory Magnitude. In D. Algom (Ed.), Psychophysical Approaches to Cognition. North-Holland.

[25] Aerts, D. (2014). Quantum theory and human perception of the macro-world. Frontiers in Psychology, 5, 554.

[26] Bohm, D. (1990). A new theory of the relationship of mind and matter. Philosophical Psychology, 3, 271-286.

[27] Bruza, P., Wang, Z., & Busemeyer, J.R. (2015). Quantum cognition: a new theoretical approach to psychology. Trends in Cognitive Sciences.

[28] Conte, E., et al. (2007). Some remarks on an experiment suggesting quantum-like behavior of cognitive entities. Chaos, Solitons & Fractals.

[29] Hameroff, S. & Penrose, R. (2014). Consciousness in the universe: a review of the 'Orch OR' theory. Physics of Life Reviews.

[30] Fisher, M. (2015). Quantum cognition: the possibility of processing with nuclear spins in the brain. Annals of Physics.

[31] Georgiev, D.D., et al. (2020). Launching of Davydov solitons in protein α-helix spines. Physica E: Low-dimensional Systems and Nanostructures.

[32] Graesser, A.C. (2020). Emotions are the experiential glue of learning environments in the 21st century. Learning and Instruction.

[33] Haken, H. (1983). Synergetics: An Introduction. Springer.

[34] Haken, H., Kelso, J.A.S., & Bunz, H. (1985). A theoretical model of phase transitions in human hand movements. Biological Cybernetics, 51, 347-356.

[35] Kelso, J.A.S. (1995). Dynamic Patterns: The Self-Organization of Brain and Behavior. MIT Press.

[36] Bohm, D. (1979). Quantum Theory. Dover Publications.

[37] Penrose, R. (1989). The Emperor's New Mind. Oxford University Press.

[38] Hameroff, S. & Penrose, R. (1996). Orchestrated reduction of quantum coherence in brain microtubules. Journal of Consciousness Studies, 3, 36-53.

[39] Stapp, H.P. (1999). Attention, intention, and will in quantum physics. Journal of Consciousness Studies, 6, 143-164.

[40] Hinton, G.E. & Sejnowski, T.J. (1986). Learning and relearning in Boltzmann machines. In D.E. Rumelhart & J.L. McClelland (Eds.), Parallel Distributed Processing. MIT Press.

[41] Kant, I. (1781). Critique of Pure Reason.

[42] Brentano, F. (1874). Psychology from an Empirical Standpoint. Routledge.

[43] Bruner, J.S. (1957). On perceptual readiness. Psychological Review, 64, 123-152.

[44] Brunswik, E. (1969). The Conceptual Framework of Psychology. University of Chicago Press.

[45] Barlow, H.B. (1972). Single units and sensation: A neuron doctrine for perceptual psychology? Perception, 1, 371-394.

[46] Britten, K.H., Shadlen, M.N., Newsome, W.T., & Movshon, J.A. (1992). The analysis of visual motion. Journal of Neuroscience, 12, 4745-4765.

[47] Bruce, V., Green, P.R., & Georgeson, M.A. (1996). Visual Perception: Physiology, Psychology, Ecology. Erlbaum.

[48] Attneave, F. (1959). Applications of Information Theory to Psychology. Holt, Rinehart and Winston.

[49] Baird, J.C. & Noma, E. (1978). Fundamentals of Scaling and Psychophysics. Wiley.

[50] Bush, R.R. (1963). Estimation and evaluation. In R.D. Luce, R.R. Bush, & E. Galanter (Eds.), Handbook of Mathematical Psychology. Wiley.

[51] Plutchik, R. (2001). The nature of emotions. American Scientist, 89, 344-350.

[52] Clark, A. (2023). The Experience Machine. Pantheon Books.

[53] Ward, L.M. & Guevara, R. (2022). EMF magnitude estimation and consciousness. Frontiers in Neuroscience.

[54] Eagleman, S.L. & MacIver, M.B. (2018). The role of the neuron in neural computation. Current Opinion in Neurobiology.