

Redefining Tribal Knowledge Traditions: Birsa Munda's Anti-Colonial Vision in Contemporary India

Shubhendu^{1*}, Dr. Rohit Kumar²

¹Ph.D. Scholar, Department of Historical Studies & Archaeology, Central University of South Bihar, Gaya

²Assistant Professor, Department of Historical Studies & Archaeology, Central University of South Bihar, Gaya

Abstract

Birsa Munda's anti-colonial vision highlights indigenous resistance to colonial loot, which is a significant but relatively unexplored dimension of India's freedom struggle. This research paper, 'Reclaiming Vanvasi Epistemology: Birsa Munda's Anti-Colonial Vision in Contemporary India,' is an analysis of how the leadership and vision of Birsa Munda offers a critical agenda for decolonizing Vanvasi epistemologies and addressing the structural injustices on tribal populations in present-day India. It examines Birsa's resistance against economic exploitation, cultural annihilation, and land dispossession under British rule, making his movement a core of social justice and tribal self-determination. Based on primary sources such as colonial reports, missionary accounts, and oral traditions, this work reconstructs Birsa's ideological position and identifies its relevance in solving contemporary problems such as resource injustice, ecological destruction, and the marginalization of indigenous knowledge systems. This paper also examines how Birsa's vision has continued to exist in postcolonial tribal politics and movements, including land rights and theories of sustainable development. It outlines the importance of integrating indigenous perspectives into India's cultural and socio-political discourse by providing Vanvasi epistemology, thus fostering an inclusive and egalitarian national identity.

Keywords: *Birsa Munda, Vanvasi, Tribal autonomy, Indigenous knowledge system, Ulgulan.*

Introduction

In documenting the History of India's freedom struggle, the struggle is often approached through the accounts of well-known popular mainstream leaders who made significant contributions in the battle against colonial forces. One such marginalized leader is Birsa Munda, a figure whose anti-colonial resistance was marked by a focus on protecting indigenous identity, land rights, and socio-cultural heritage. His action, casually referred to as the Ulgulan or 'Great Tumult,' was not only an act of revolt against British colonialism but a full-fledged socio-political revolution aimed at restoring indigenous rights, focusing on the tribals' traditional knowledge system, and confronting the joint forces of colonial and feudal

Corresponding email: shubhisshubh2@gmail.com

Published: 11 August 2026

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.70558/IJSSR.2026.v3.i4.301257>

Copyright © 2026 The Author(s). This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (CC BY 4.0).

oppression (Ramachandra Guha 1999). Birsa Munda emerged during the late 19th century, a period marked by rapid colonial expansion and socio-economic transformations that severely disrupted the lives of indigenous communities in the Chotanagpur plateau. The British colonial exploitative land tenure systems, coupled with the intrusion of moneylenders, landlords, and Christian missionaries, led to the widespread unwanted migration of tribal people from their ancestral lands (Oommen 1990). These intrusions into traditional rights and cultural incursions threatened the very existence of tribal society. Birsa's leadership emerged as a reaction against these diverse challenges as much to counter economic exploitation as to maintain and restore the culture and spirituality of the Munda community and other tribes.

Birsa Munda's movement stands out among those of anti-colonial leaders since it was holistic. Cultural revivalism, socioeconomic transformation, and political struggle were all wedded together during the Ulgulan. Birsa's emphasis on land rights brought attention to the intimate connection between territorial integrity and indigenous identity. The aspiration to become independent and have tribal freedom was articulated in his sentence, 'Abua Raj etc jana, maharani raj tundu jana' (Let the queen's kingdom be ended and our kingdom be formed) (Singh 1983). His movement was not merely against the colonial powers, but also against the indigenous feudal systems that provided rooms for exploitation and exclusion. Birsa Munda's rebellion was focused on his position as a religious leader and social reformer. He mobilized the tribal people with a mix of religious revivalism and political awareness, infusing elements of solidarity and purpose among various groups. Birsa sought to fortify his people's cultural heritage by calling upon native beliefs and resisting foreign religious influences. His attempts to eliminate social issues, advance customary practices, and enhance self-sufficiency defined the Ulgulan movement's ideal of transformation (Joseph Bara 2022). Although it ultimately failed against British troops, the Ulgulan left a permanent mark on the struggle for freedom.

Birsa Munda's legacy does not just continue through legislative protection for tribal rights to land, such as the Chotanagpur Tenancy Act of 1908, but also through ongoing respect for his leadership as an icon of indigenous resistance and perseverance (Ecka 2016). His life and movement bring to the forefront the importance of accepting diverse voices in the grand narrative of colonial resistance, stressing that India's freedom struggle was spun from the intertwined thread of diverse voices and experiences. Such leaders as Birsa Munda, whose fight for justice, dignity, and cultural survival remains a source of inspiration, need to be brought to the center stage when reconsidering India's freedom struggle history. His anti-colonial struggle, grounded in the defense of native identity and territorial rights, presents a significant but often neglected perspective which enhances our understanding of the complexity and diversity inherent in the freedom struggle (Rahul Ranjan 2023).

Methodology

It retrieves Birsa Munda's ideological world based on a variety of primary evidence, such as colonial administrative records, missionary records, and oral testimonies of tribal tribes. Colonial administrative records, although naturally partial, cast a lot of light upon how British authorities perceived and responded to indigenous rebellion movements. Missionary reports, which show the religious and cultural interactions between European missionaries and the native peoples, provide critical information on the social changes that happened during Birsa

Munda's lifetime. Archival and oral histories have been the focus of this research, with the ability to include indigenous voices frequently left out of formal histories. Tribal elders, village leaders, and keepers of culture, interviewed in this study, have shed light on lived experience, indigenous systems of knowledge, and the continued applicability of Birsa Munda's ideas to modern tribal life. These methods have revealed aspects of tribal identity, resistance, and resilience that may be obstructed or misrepresented in written accounts. This article is based on a multidisciplinary approach that examines intersections of power, culture, and resistance using Anthropology, Political Science, and History. A more complete understanding of how the legacy of Birsa Munda continues to influence tribal movements and policy in postcolonial India is given by combining data from different sources.

1) Environmental Sustainability and Ecological Knowledge: From Historical Practices to Contemporary Movements

Vanvasi (tribal) groups have been custodians of ecological harmony for centuries, following sustainable resource use practices that contemporary societies now realise that they are critical with environmental consciousness. Sarma highlights how societies such as the Santhals, Mundas, and Kondhs evolved sophisticated systems for the use of forest and land that avoided over-use. Practices such as jhum (rotational shifting cultivation) permitted soil rejuvenation, while sacred groves-scattered forest patches safeguarded through religious taboos-maintained biodiversity (1993). They were not purely economic but deeply religious, incorporating conservation into cultural worldviews. Mathur's study of Santhal oral culture shows that farming calendars, monsoon forecasts, and seed-saving practices were embedded in songs and rituals, invoking deities such as Jaher Era (the forest god) to affirm humankind's reciprocity with nature (2008). These systems are far removed from current extractive economies where profit-motivated deforestation and monoculture agriculture have initiated soil erosion, water shortages, and loss of habitats. The colonial period saw a violent break in these sustainable traditions. British land revenue systems, like the Permanent Settlement, 1793, monetized forests and displaced indigenous groups from their traditional lands. The practice of commercial timber logging and plantation agriculture (e.g., tea, cotton) interfered with traditional agroecology. Shukla estimates how the Santhal uprising (1855–56) was partially triggered by the cutting down of saal trees (*Shorea robusta*), which were revered by the people and essential to their survival (1989). This protest, repeated in the form of Birsa Munda's ulgulan (uprising) against colonial land dispossession, served as the foundation for modern environmental movements. During the postcolonial era, government-initiated 'development' schemes-mines, dams, and industrial zones-increased alienation of tribal land.

Even though progressive laws such as the Forest Rights Act, 2006, acknowledging indigenous land rights and community forest management exist, its implementation was challenging. State indulgence and corporate land grabs both are seen in conflicts in movements like Niyamgiri movement, 2013, which saw Dongria Kondh tribals successfully fighting Vedanta's bauxite mining by claiming their spiritual connection to the hills. The protests against coal mining in Jharkhand, too, demonstrate how tribal communities continue to suffer from ecological devastation and remain neglected in matters of policy planning. There is increasing (if frequently symbolic) recognition of tribal environmental wisdom in international climate

forums today. The IPCC report of 2022 highlights indigenous practices as central to resilience, while NGOs collaborate with Vanvasi populations in reinvigorating historical water conservation (e.g., *ahars* and *kattas* in Chota Nagpur). Still, there remain contradictions: while India's National Biodiversity Action Plan (2019) applauds tribal conservation, forest inhabitants continue to be removed in the name of 'green' initiatives such as wildlife sanctuaries. Technological innovations have also appeared. Odisha tribes now integrate conventional jhum and organic cultivation, and youth-led projects utilise online mapping to register land rights. Urbanisation and migration, however, endanger intergenerational knowledge transmission. Activists contend that true sustainability involves decolonising environmental governance- placing tribal agency, legally affirming their intellectual property rights in seeds and medicine, and deconstructing the false division between progress and nature. Tribal ecological knowledge, developed through thousands of years, is not only an alternative but a survival strategy for the planet-if only the contemporary world listens.

2) Cultural Preservation and Identity Politics: From Oral Traditions to Digital Resistance

Globalisation and homogenisation of cultures are in existential danger for tribal languages, oral cultures, and religious practices, but indigenous groups have persisted to adapt to retain their identity. Mathur's work with the Santhals is an example of how their *banam* (a classical fiddle) music-originally played only during rituals-has become adapted to deal with modern issues such as land rights and migration, yet still captures ancestral wisdom (2008). Likewise, the Olchiki script, created in 1925 to write down the Santhali language, represents resistance to removal of the language by the powerful Bengali and Hindi scripts. The adaptations demonstrate that tribal cultures are not fossilised traditions but dynamic systems that engage with modernity while protecting fundamental values.

For instance, the Pathalgadi movement (2017–ongoing) in Jharkhand, wherein tribal villages installed stone monuments announcing self-rule under the PESA Act, takes inspiration directly from Birsa Munda's heritage of self-governance. These acts are not protesting alone but performative expressions of indigenous sovereignty, invoking symbols such as *Ulgulan* (rebellion) to disrupt state narratives. State repression continues nonetheless. Activists such as Stan Swamy, who organized with Adivasi groups, were attacked under draconian legislation, exposing the conflict between tribal self-expression and state repression. At the same time, Cinemas or mass media tend to flatten tribal identities into stereotypes-either noble savages or unprogressive rebels-perpetuating epistemic violence. The shift from oral narrative to virtual activism attests to tribal resilience. As globalization threatens cultural erosion, native communities are asserting agency through writing, art, and technology. The fight isn't merely to protect the past but to claim a future wherein tribal voices determine their own stories-on their own terms. The Pathalgadi movement, Adivasi literature, and digital archives attest that cultural memory isn't merely a second thought of history but a tool of resistance for the contemporary world.

3)Economic Justice and Land Rights: From Colonial Exploitation to Contemporary Resistance

The economic marginalization of the tribes has very long roots in colonial policies that

systematically destroyed self-reliant indigenous economies. Sachchidananda chronicles how the Mundas, traditionally practicing khuntkatti (communal landholding), were subjected to fragmented, revenue-based agriculture by the British, leaving them at the mercy of moneylenders and exploitative zamindars (landlords). This gave rise to the Sardari Larai (1880s-90s), in which Mundas rebelled against forced labor and alienation of land—a signal of contemporary land rights movements. Colonial cash-crop economy (indigo, opium, cotton) intervened in subsistence agriculture, getting tribals into debt and dependence—a sequence reproduced after independence by industrialization sponsored by the state. Shukla's study of the Santhal Rebellion (1855-56) illustrates how British clearance of saal forest for railways and plantations caused massive uprisings (1989). This earlier dispossession laid the stage for present-day fights against corporate land acquisition, when tribals are being displaced in the name of 'development.'

Following independence, tribal territories were alienated further by mega-projects such as dams (Narmada, Polavaram), mines (Jharkhand's coalfields), and SEZs (Special Economic Zones). The 2006 Forest Rights Act (FRA) was expected to reinstate ancestral land rights, but weak implementation and corporate lobbies have kept most claims pending. Instances such as Singur (2006) and the POSCO steel plant agitations (2005-2018) show how state-corporate nexus perpetuates colonial-era land appropriation, making tribals landless and poor. Globalization has compounded these pressures: corporate agribusinesses encourage monoculture (e.g., eucalyptus estates), displacing biodiversity-based tribal cultivation. Microfinance frauds and farmer indebtedness—recalling colonial-era moneylender exploitation—have pushed numerous Adivasis to migration or suicide. In spite of all such adversities, tribal communities are reviving traditional economies and innovating for sustainability:

- a) **Land Cooperatives & Organic Farming:** In Odisha, the *Koraput Organic Farmers' Association* has revived millet cultivation, combining indigenous knowledge with fair-trade markets. Maharashtra's *Adivasi Beej Swaraj* movement protects native seeds, resisting GM crops.
- b) **Forest Rights & Eco-Villages:** The *Jal, Jungle, Zameen* (Water, Forest, Land) movement in Chhattisgarh asserts FRA rights, creating community-managed forests. The *Niyamgiri* victory (2013) showed how tribal consent can halt mining.
- c) **Digital Advocacy & Land Mapping:** Groups like *Adivasi Lives Matter* use GIS mapping to document illegal land grabs, while platforms like *Adivasi Rights Portal* litigate for justice.

Birsa Munda's dream of self-sustaining, land-based societies remains very contemporary in modern India, and it calls for immediate policy concern and institutional reforms. For this to happen it needs strong enforcement of the Forest Rights Act (FRA) so that people's forest rights are not just recognized on paper but actually protected and enforced on the ground. This has to be followed by a strong ban on forced land acquisitions that bypass tribal approval, as required by the Panchayats (Extension to Scheduled Areas) Act (PESA), legally protecting tribal control over land and resources. No less important is the necessity to switch support from corporate-led agribusiness models to indigenous agroecological ways that are in tune with traditional knowledge systems and sustainable land management.

4) Education and Decolonizing Knowledge: From Colonial Erasure to Indigenous Resurgence

For more than two hundred years, India's dominant education systems have been tools of epistemic violence against tribal knowledge, relentlessly erasing indigenous modes of knowing. Under British colonialism, administrators consciously substituted traditional pedagogies based on oral histories, forest schooling, and apprenticeship models with Eurocentric curricula that consciously depicted tribal groups as 'primitive.' This erasure was perpetuated after independence, with the Indian state making revolutionary leaders such as Birsa Munda a footnote in cleansed textbooks while entirely disregarding the political import of movements such as the Santhal Hul or Munda Ulgulan. Researchers Sarma and Mathur have chronicled how indigenous tribes fought back against this cultural genocide by holding onto counter-histories through their rich oral traditions - the Santhal rebellion ballads, Gond pandavani epic ballads, and Munda agrarian ballads all held essential historical facts that did not feature in formal education. Regrettably, they were brushed aside as nothing more than 'folklore,' while colonial records were sanctified as definitive historical sources. The latter half of the 20th century witnessed tribal activism compelling incremental reforms in education, though these were gravely wanting.

Digital archiving initiatives such as the Adivasi Knowledge Commons are conserving oral histories and making them available to youth ever more removed from village traditions. Grassroots alternatives such as Jharkhand's Vidya Vanvasi Sangathan show how education can be turned around - children here study maths using traditional land-measuring methods and science using native agroecology. This movement represents Chakrabarty's invocation to "provincialise" Eurocentric history, with tribal intellectuals such as Dr. Jacinta Kerketta and Prof. G.N. Devy claiming strongly for making Birsa Munda's vision for education as cultural armor and ecological praxis a reality. The modern applicability of Vanvasi epistemologies is in their singular ability to respond to civilizational crises, which have been unaddressed by modern systems. Millet-farming practices of the Bonda tribe are being researched today as models for climate resilience, and ventures like Adivasi AI are using machine learning to document and sustain endangered languages.

In the courts, a new generation of tribal attorneys is calling upon Dokra customary law in disputes over land ownership, forcing the judiciary to deal with its colonial prejudices. As Mathur insists, these traditions are not about "backwardness" but radical alternatives that define knowledge as collective instead of copyrighted, progress as forest health instead of GDP, and history in grandmothers' songs instead of sterile files. From colonial oppression of tribal languages to Adivasi Wikipedia projects today, the battle rages on - not just about inserting "tribal chapters" into textbooks, but about fundamentally questioning the superiority of one way of knowing. As the Dongria Kondh so eloquently state: "Our school is the mountain." Acknowledging this reality is not a romantic notion of the past - it's our only way forward.

5) Birsa Munda's vision in contemporary movements

Birsa Munda, the renowned tribal leader and independence fighter, continues to inspire modern campaigns for tribal rights and land protection. His vision, based on the defense of

tribal identity, culture, and land from external plunder, is echoed in a variety of contemporary movements. These movements seek to protect indigenous populations' rights against the backdrop of economic development that frequently ignores their needs (Guha 134). His legacy can be seen in three major areas: anti-mining campaigns, land rights advocacy, and tribal political engagement.

1. Anti-Mining Movements: Birsa Munda's struggle against British colonial rule's policies regarding land and the exploitation of tribal resources has provided a symbol for recent anti-mining efforts. Tribes, especially in areas with mineral deposits in India, are motivated by his example to resist relocation and environmental damage through large-scale mines (Oommen 1990). The most notable instance is the movement against bauxite mining at Odisha's Niyamgiri Hills. The Dongria Kondh people, who revere the hills as sacred, have vehemently resisted the mining efforts of multinational companies. Their struggle is analogous to Birsa Munda's struggle with colonial landowners and corporations who appropriate tribal territory. Like Birsa Munda's Ulgulan (Great Tumult), the Niyamgiri movement also establishes the connection between land and tribe. The Dongria Kondh argue that the hills and forests where they reside are organically connected to their spirituality, culture, and means of survival (Anjana Singh 2024). Following the Indian Supreme Court ruling affirming the people's right to protect their land, the movement was able to effectively shut down mining operations. This victory illustrates how ongoing campaigns against corporate exploitation are again driven by Birsa Munda's vision of maintaining tribal self-governance and resources. Tribal tribes are marching against coal and iron ore mining, which is destroying their ecosystem and livelihoods, at comparable protests in Jharkhand and Chhattisgarh (Tiru 1949). Aside from resisting environmental degradation, these communities are advocating for sustainable development that respects indigenous involvement and agreement. These recent resistance movements are inspired by Birsa Munda's call for the protection of tribal land and self-rule.

2. Land Rights Activism: Birsa Munda's legacy lies strongly in contemporary land rights activism. His struggle against the alienation of tribal land set the precedent for legislation safeguarding indigenous land ownership. Birsa Munda's movement had an impact on the Chotanagpur Tenancy Act (CNT Act) of 1908, which prohibited the transfer of tribal land to non-tribals in the Chotanagpur area (Ranjan 2023). It is employed by modern campaigners to oppose illegal land appropriation and ensure that original inhabitants still have control of their historic lands. There have been significant protests in recent years against attempts to dilute the provisions of the CNT Act. Tribals in Jharkhand have been demonstrating against proposals that will enable the government to utilize tribal land for commercial purposes without their consent (Sinha 1964). These efforts prove Birsa Munda's vision to be relevant in the long run, highlighting the importance of land as a means of identity, livelihood, and cultural survival for indigenous groups. Similar land rights movements have emerged elsewhere in other tribal regions of India beyond Jharkhand. The 2006 Forest Rights Act, which recognizes the rights of forest-dwelling tribes to manage and utilize forest products, has been the focus of appeals from tribal communities in Madhya Pradesh and Maharashtra. These rights, claim activists, are important for safeguarding traditional livelihoods and preventing displacement caused by development plans. These demands for legal recognition and protection of the tribal land rights continue to be inspired by Birsa Munda's struggle against land alienation.

3. Tribal Political Participation: The other critical element of Birsa Munda's vision was the affirmation of tribal identity and autonomy, something that can be seen in present-day efforts to enhance tribal political engagement. This is aimed at ensuring that policy takes a response to their unique needs and challenges (K.S. Singh 1983). Tribal organizations and leaders support political empowerment as a way of impacting decision-making processes at the community level. Indian reservation rules that provide political representation to Scheduled Tribes in legislative assemblies bring Birsa Munda's aspiration a step nearer. Campaigners argue, however, that mere representation is not enough unless there is actual participation and inclusion of tribal views in policy formulation. To give tribal regions greater autonomy and enable them to manage their affairs according to their priorities and practices, movements are advocating autonomous councils under the Sixth Schedule of the Indian Constitution (Sarma 1993). Birsa Munda's plea for tribal self-government gave birth to the state of Jharkhand in 2000. Jharkhand, created from parts of Bihar to fulfill the aspirations of its native people, is the best example of the continued influence of Birsa Munda's movement. Even though the state was established, present groups still campaign for better governance that focuses on tribe welfare and prevents exploitation. Young-led movements and tribal groups are now turning more towards internet media to voice their concerns and advocate policy reforms. Through the narrowing of the divide between modern political processes and pre-modern tribal leadership, such movements aim to give tribal communities a voice in decisions that affect their territories and modes of production. These contemporary pursuits, which seek to enhance tribal solidarity and political empowerment, are in accordance with Birsa Munda's focus on unity and collective action.

Birsa Munda's objective of protecting tribal land, culture, and rights continues to remain deeply embedded in contemporary Indian movements. Anti-mining protests, land rights activism, and efforts to enhance tribal political representation all trace their origins to his resistance and self-determination legacy. These initiatives show that Birsa Munda's thoughts continue to be pertinent in the continuous fight for tribal justice and empowerment.

6) Challenges in Reclaiming Vanvasi Epistemology

In spite of all these endeavours, native communities are still confronting systemic disparities that hold back the realization of Birsa Munda's dream. The most striking problem is one of displacement caused by large-scale development schemes such as mining, dam-building, and industrialization. These developments, often carried out without due consultation or permission from tribal communities, lead to loss of ancestral territories, disruption of traditional livelihoods and the breakdown of compact community forms (Ranjit Guha 1999). Rehabilitation and compensation measures which are compulsorily provided for in law, usually do not cater to the socio-cultural dislocation that displaced tribes experience (Shah 2017). Language is an essential carrier of epistemology and culture, yet several tribal languages are facing extinction because of the prevalence of official languages in education and administration. Tribal language marginalization is another major obstacle in reacquiring Vanvasi epistemology-a paradigm based on indigenous worldviews, knowledge, and traditions (Chakrabarty 2002). Notwithstanding political reservations guaranteed by the constitution,

tribal representation in government is more symbolic, with actual authority lying in non-tribal hands (Sundar 2021).

This disconnection collapses the effectiveness of policies for tribal well-being since they can end up not representing the real needs and desires of the communities targeted. This imbalance would need to be addressed by reinforcing grass root movements and providing effective representation within governance institutions (Xaxa 2017). The revival of indigenous knowledge systems in formal education is another essential element of recovering Vanvasi epistemology. Traditional knowledge of ecology, for example, has a great deal to teach regarding sustainable resource management and biodiversity conservation. Incorporating this into regular school education can instill pride among tribal youths and encourage environmental protection. Tribal elders, policymakers, and educators need to collaborate to create curricula that are respectful and inclusive of the indigenous worldviews but at the same time provide students with skills necessary in today's world (Rycroft 2015). Solving these issues requires a holistic and integrated approach that goes beyond policy formulation.

Conclusion

Birsa Munda's legacy is not forgotten in history, but it persists in the ongoing struggles, hopes, and victories of India's adivasi communities. His dedication to land rights, protecting the environment, and preserving culture offers valuable lessons for addressing current challenges like unequal access to resources, environmental damage, and cultural marginalisation. Contemporary laws, like PESA and FRA, were drawn from Birsa's convictions and are meaningful developments toward empowering indigenous peoples. Yet, there are still gaps between policy and implementation that require ongoing monitoring, community activism, and participatory governance. Efforts at conservation when built on indigenous knowledge systems hold the potential for long-term development that honors both nature and human decency. Conserving tribal cultures and knowledge systems is more than preserving culture; it is also a recognition of other worldviews that emphasize harmony, reciprocity, and respect for all living things. As India struggles to meet the demands of development and ecological challenges, Birsa's vision can assist us in mapping a more equitable, just, and sustainable future. Basically, the legacy of Birsa Munda is everlasting, urging contemporary society to adopt the principles of justice, decency, and ecological harmony. His life and teachings remind us that real development is not through the exploitation of nature or the exclusion of communities, but through listening to, valuing, and empowering the most vulnerable voices in inclusive and resilient societies.

Acknowledgement

The author(s) would like to thank the Department of Historical Studies and Archaeology, Central University of South Bihar for its support and contributions to this research.

Declaration of Conflicting Interests

The author(s) declare no potential conflicts of interest with respect to the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

Funding

The author(s) received no external funding for the research, authorship or publication of this article.

References

- Ministry of Tribal Affairs (MoTA). *Annual Report on Forest Rights Act Implementation*. New Delhi: Government of India, 2023.
- National Sample Survey Office (NSSO). *Educational Attainment Among Scheduled Tribes*. New Delhi: Government of India, 2018.
- Ministry of Human Resource Development (MHRD). *Teacher Demographics in Central Schools*. New Delhi: Government of India, 2021.
- Orissa Mining Corporation v. Ministry of Environment and Forests*. Supreme Court of India, 2013.
- Hasdeo Arand Forest Clearance Case*. Chhattisgarh High Court, 2022.
- Adivasi Knowledge Commons. *Digital Archive of Tribal Oral Traditions*. 2020–present.
- People’s Linguistic Survey of India. Edited by G. N. Devy. New Delhi: Orient BlackSwan, 2013.
- Adivasi AI Project. *Preserving Ho Language Through Machine Learning*. 2023.
- Adivasi Knowledge Commons. *Digital Archive of Tribal Oral Traditions*. 2020–present.
- Adivasi Resurgence Project. *Podcast Series on Tribal Histories*. 2020–present.
- Bara, Anju Helen. “Ulgulan and the Making of Birsa Bhagwan.” In *The Routledge Handbook of Tribe and Religions in India*, 52–63. New Delhi: Routledge India, 2024.
- Bara, Joseph. “Birsa Munda and the Nation.” *Journal of Adivasi and Indigenous Studies* 12, no. 2 (August 2022): 1–23.
- Carnduff, H. W. C. *The Chota Nagpur Landlord and Tenant Procedure Act, with Notes*. Calcutta: Bengal Secretariat Press, 1905.
- Chakrabarty, Dipesh. *Habitations of Modernity: Essays in the Wake of Subaltern Studies*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press, 2002.
- “Minority Histories, Subaltern Pasts.” *Economic and Political Weekly* 33, no. 9 (1998): 473–79. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/4406471>.
- Chandra, Uday. “Flaming Fields and Forest Fires: Agrarian Transformations and the Making of Birsa Munda’s Rebellion.” *The Indian Economic and Social History Review* 53, no. 1 (2016).
- Dasgupta, Atis. “Some Aspects of the Santal Rebellion of 1855–56.” *Social Scientist* 41, no. 9/10 (2013): 69–74. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/23611090>.

- Ecka, Sunny. *Changing Nature of Tribal Movements in Ranchi District, India: 1830–1925*. 2016.
- Guha, Ranjit. *Elementary Aspects of Peasant Insurgency in Colonial India*. Durham, NC: Duke University Press, 1999.
- Guha, Ramachandra. *Savaging the Civilized: Indigenous Struggles in India*. New Delhi: Penguin, 1999.
- Hasdeo Arand Forest Clearance Case. Chhattisgarh High Court, 2022.
- Hoffman, J. *The World of the Mundas I*. New Delhi: Critical Quest, 2005.
- Indian Folklore Research Journal 1, no. 4 (2004): 53–68.
- Jain, P. C. *Social Movements Among Tribals*. Jaipur: Rawat Publications, 1991.
- Kunwar, Gopi Krishna. *A Complete Biography of Birsa Munda*. New Delhi: Prabhat Prakashan Pvt. Ltd., 2021.
- The Life and Times of Birsa Munda*. 1st ed. New Delhi: Prabhat Prakashan, 2017.
- Latha, G. M. “Legendary Birsa Munda.” In *Life and Movements of Birsa Munda*, 55–57. 2021.
- Mathur, Nita. “Chanted Narratives of Indigenous People: Context and Content.” *Asian Ethnology* 67, no. 1 (2008): 103–21. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/25135288>.
- Ministry of Tribal Affairs (MoTA). *Annual Report on Forest Rights Act Implementation*. Government of India, 2023.
- Ministry of Human Resource Development (MHRD). *Teacher Demographics in Central Schools*. Government of India, 2021.
- National Sample Survey Office (NSSO). *Educational Attainment Among Scheduled Tribes*. Government of India, 2018.
- Orissa Mining Corporation v. Ministry of Environment and Forests. Supreme Court of India, 2013.
- Oommen, T. K. *Protest and Change: Studies in Social Movements*. New Delhi: Sage Publications, 1990.
- Pathak, Suresh. *Sacred Forests and Tribal Identity*. London: Zed Books, 2013.
- Paul, Ratna. “Understanding the Real and the Imagined: Birsa Munda 1870–1902.” *Karatoya: North Bengal University Journal of History* 15 (2022): 44–55.
- People’s Linguistic Survey of India. Edited by G. N. Devy. 2013.
- Ranjan, Rahul. *The Political Life of Memory: Birsa Munda in Contemporary India*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2023.
- “Politics of Symbolism: The Making of Birsa Munda’s Statue in Post-colonial Jharkhand, India.” *Bandung: Journal of the Global South* 7, no. 1 (2020): 130–61.

- Rycroft, D. J. "Locating Adivasi Politics: Aspects of 'Indian' Anthropology After Birsa Munda." *Anglistica AION: An Interdisciplinary Journal* (2015).
- Sahare, Manoj. "Life and Movements of Birsa Munda." In *Tribal Resistance and Ulgulan*, 121–24. 2021.
- Sarma, Jyotirmoyee. "Changes in Tribal Culture in India." *Anthropos* 88, no. 4/6 (1993): 504–08. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/40463757>.
- Shah, Alpa. *In the Shadows of the State: Indigenous Land Rights Movements*. Durham, NC: Duke University Press, 2017.
- Shukla, P. K. "Tenants' Protests to British Rule (1861) in Santhal Parganas." *Proceedings of the Indian History Congress* 50 (1989): 613–19. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/44146105>.
- Singh, Anjana. "Birsa's Ulgulan: Unsung Female Heroes of a Popular Revolt." *Journal of Adivasi and Indigenous Studies* 14, no. 1 (February 2024): 39–55.
- Singh, K. S. *Birsa Munda and His Movement 1874–1901: A Study of a Millenarian Movement in Chotanagpur*. Delhi: Oxford University Press, 1983.
- The Mahatma and the Adivasis*. In *Gandhi and Social Sciences*, edited by L. P. Vidyarthi et al., New Delhi: Bookhive Publishers, 1970.
- Tribal Society in India: An Anthro-po-historical Perspective*. Reprint 1984. New Delhi: Manohar, 2020.
- The Dust-Storm and the Hanging Mist: A Study of Birsa Munda and His Movement in Chhotanagpur (1874–1901)*. Calcutta: Firma K. L. Mukhopadhyay, 1966.
- "The Munda Land System and Revenue Reforms in Chotanagpur during 1869–1908." In *Land Revenue in India: Historical Studies*, edited by R. S. Sharma. Delhi: Motilal Banarasi Das, 1971.
- Sinha, S. P. *Life and Times of Birsa Bhagwan*. Ranchi: Bihar Tribal Research Institute, 1964.
- "Gandhi's Impact on the Tribal of Chotanagpur (1920–30)." In *Gandhi and Social Sciences*, edited by L. P. Vidyarthi et al., New Delhi: Bookhive Publishers, 1970.
- Sinha, Surendra Prasad. *Life and Times of Birsa Bhagwan*. Ranchi: Bihar Tribal Research Institute, 1964.
- Sundar, Nandini. *The Scheduled Tribes and Their India: Politics, Identities, Policies, and Work*. New Delhi: Oxford University Press, 2021.
- Sunwani, V. K., and B. K. Panda. *Search of Values from the Lives of Great Men*. Bhopal: Regional Institute of Education (NCERT), 2003.
- Tiru, Muchi Rai. *Shri Birsa Bhagwan* (in Hindi). Delhi: Adim Jati Sewa Mandal, 1949.
- Toppo, Ezechiele. *Sociological Bulletin* 31, no. 2 (1982): 241–45. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/23619876>.

- Ulemale, Dipak. “Impact of Birsa Munda on Adivasi Tribal Poetry.” In *Life and Movements of Birsa Munda (E-Book)*, edited by Manoj Sahare and V. D. Chore, 117–20. Maharashtra, 2021.
- Xaxa, Virginus. *State, Society, and Tribes: Issues in Post-Colonial India*. New Delhi: Pearson, 2017.