

The Algorithmic Mirror: Instagram Feeds and Female College Students' Self-Image and Body Satisfaction

Dr. Ekta

Assistant Professor,

Department of Sociology, Baikunthi Devi Kanya Mahavidyalaya, Agra

Abstract

The recommendational algorithms employed by Instagram favor attractive and other visually idealized images that increase social comparisons and result in consistent undermining of body satisfaction and self-image by female college students. In this paper, the author will discuss the role of this algorithmic feed in forming self-perception through the lens of Social Comparison Theory, Objectification Theory, and recent research papers published between 2018–2026. Cross-sectional, experimental, and qualitative research indicates that one of the primary causes of body dissatisfaction is an obsessive habit of just window shopping based on the comparisons made with influencers. Some of the elements criticized in the paper include filter bubbles, algorithm support of homogenized standards of beauty, and curating via engagement. Female students in colleges, who are 18-24 years old, are particularly susceptible at this stage of development. A study by revealing that personalized feed increases a loop: the more a user enjoys idealized content, the more content she gets exposed to, to perpetuate negative self-assessments. Recommendations on digital-literacy interventions and platform-design modifications to support content diversity, as well as individual, healthier engagements with social-media, end the paper. The important aspect of addressing the mental-health crisis of young women today is to understand these algorithmic dynamics.

Keywords: Instagram algorithm, body dissatisfaction, female college students, social comparison, algorithmic bias, self-objectification, mental health.

Introduction

The Rise of Visual Social Media Platforms

The social media has brought transformations in forming identities, comparison of oneself to others, and perceptions of the body by young adults. The most visually-oriented of these platforms is Instagram, which has over 2b active users most of them women aged 18-34[1]. Instagram places more emphasis on edited pictures and short videos as opposed to text-based and communication platforms such as Twitter or Facebook, focusing on appearance and physical appeal. Such emphasis on imagery causes more frequent and more dramatic

*Corresponding Author Email: unity2520@gmail.com

Published: 28 March 2026

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.70558/IJSSR.2026.v3.i2.30947>

Copyright © 2026 The Author(s). This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (CC BY 4.0).

comparisons based on appearance. Instagram is a mission to substitute chronological feeds with an algorithmic feed, which employs a sophisticated machine learning algorithm to suggest and prioritize content that could encourage greater interaction[2][3]. The algorithm takes hundreds of signals, such as likes, comments, shares, saves, time spent watching some content, in addition to proximity to the content creator. The outcome is a customized feed that is representative and strengthening of what the user already likes; a phenomenon known by researchers as filter bubbles or fiber-chambers of like information[4].

Female College Students as a Vulnerable Population

Women are also particularly vulnerable to body image issues amongst female college students. In the developmental stage of ages 18-24, identity formation, peer influences of scrutiny, romances, and self-consciousness about physical image are prevalent. [5]. College environments intensify social comparison processes through constant peer interaction, social event participation, and the omnipresence of social media documentation of campus life. Literature confirms this pattern due to young ladies in this age group spending a lot of time on Instagram; statistics show that young ladies spend 3-5 hours per day on this platform; most of the time is spent on passive scanning instead of active posting[6][7]. Being exposed to hundreds of carefully controlled pictures a day, this passive consumerism exposes people to hundreds and hundreds of pictures of bodies that meet the rigid standards of beauty: slim, slim, symmetrical, and in most instances, digitally remedied with filters and picture editing programs. This prone developmental phase, combined with the intersection of algorithmic content curation, creates the potential of the systematic erosion of body satisfaction. Contrary to the past, where idealized images were presented nearby mostly through conventional media outlets (magazines, television, commercials), modern women in colleges are bombarded with streams of appearance-oriented content that are highly personalized and constantly updated based on the personal preferences of consumers; that is, such content elicits emotional reactions, such as anxiety, inadequacy, and the need to compare.

Research Objectives and Significance

This paper will explore the effects of Instagram algorithmic content feeds on self-image and body satisfaction among female college students.

The investigation is driven by the following three research questions:

1. The effects of algorithmic feeds on the perception of body-images and the psychology of their mechanism remain uncertain.
2. What are the aspects of the Instagram algorithm that contribute to negative body-image ideas?
3. What can be done to reduce these adverse effects and maintain platform business models as well as user autonomy?

Knowledge of such dynamics is relevant to mental-health policy, platform regulation, digital-literacy education, and clinical interventions. Among young women, there is a correlation between body dissatisfaction and depression, anxiety, eating disorders, and suicidal thoughts which is strong[8]. Since platforms are playing an ever-more significant role in creating the

information environment of the emerging adult, uncovering and resolving algorithmic harms is a social-health imperative.

Literature Review

Theoretical Frameworks: Social Comparison Theory

The Social Comparison Theory was developed by Leon Festinger (1954) and states that individuals assess themselves, their capabilities, and their conditions through comparisons with others, particularly where criteria of relative deprivation do not exist[9]. Instagram predisposes an ideal environment of upward social comparison where one compares oneself to those who seem to be above him or her. The reason is that the platform does not focus on real-life but on highlight reels and perfect images. The correlational study shows that upward comparisons on Instagram are associated with the reduction of body appreciation, increasing appearance anxiety, and decreased self-esteem[10][11]. These effects are magnified through the predictive feed, which is spurred by algorithmic curation, as this puts a lot of emphasis on incredibly engaging content, which probably includes professionally produced or immensely edited images that establish unrealistic standards of comparison. A 2022 study of 291 young Italian women revealed that browsing behaviors specifically predicted body dissatisfaction through a mediating pathway of upward comparisons with social media influencers (indirect effect $\beta = 0.042$, $p < 0.05$)[1]. Notably, all Instagram activities do not have equal impacts. Active behaviors such as posting one's own content or commenting on others' posts demonstrate weaker or inconsistent relationships with body dissatisfaction. Contrastingly, passive consumption of other-created content - the most common platform use scenario - is the cause of the most harmful consequences as it causes an endless upward comparison without self-esteem advantages of positive social feedback[1][12].

Objectification Theory

A Theory called Objectification Theory, formulated by Fredrickson and Roberts (1997) explains that, the practices that sexually objectify women in culture result in self-objectification-the internalization of the view of another observer regarding his or her own body[13]. The design of Instagram promotes self-objectification in several ways that include: aesthetic preoccupation with visual appearance, social approval measured by likes and comments, and the naturalization of self-surveillance by using selfies and posting about appearance. The occurrence of self-objectification leads to habitual body surveillance, heightened body shame, anxiety over appearance, and decreased realization of inner bodily conditions[14]. Research shows that Instagram usage is associated with increased rates of self-objectification, and it is more prevalent with the help of often using beauty-changing filters[15][16]. The automated branding of appearance-oriented content contributes to strengthening self-objectification; it implies that body looks prescribe a major source of social value and confirmation.

Cultivation Theory

The Cultivation Theory means that perceptions about the reality are formed through long-term exposure to media contents[17]. Applying the theory to Instagram, it can be proposed

that a continuous exposure to Instagram-curated thin-ideal images fosters deformed beliefs about how a normal body should look like and the dominance of the ideal body among population members. The more time college women spend on Instagram, the more calibrated perceptions they are, as they overestimate the range of thin and toned bodies and underestimate body diversity in the real world[18]. The algorithmic feed enhances cultivation effects by enhancing homogenies of visual spaces. A 2024 computational study discovered that the popularity-based recommendation system instantiated by Instagram encourages facial similarity among highly recommended influencers, which generates what the researchers refer to as the Instagram Face, a homogenized aesthetic expressed through particular facial characteristics (high cheekbones, full lips, large eyes, small nose)[19]. This algorithmic homogenization presents perceived standards of beauty as something that is narrowed by non-traditional media.

Empirical Evidence on Instagram and Body Image

Cross-Sectional Studies

Numerous cross-sectional researchers have identified significant correlations between Instagram and body dissatisfaction among college students (young women). A meta-analysis of 20 studies that examined over 6,000 people found a moderate positive relationship ($r = 0.23$) between the frequency of women using Instagram and their dissatisfaction with their bodies, which should not be written off with the effect size, which were larger in women than in men[20].

A study of 402 Nigerian female university students in 2024 found that 67 per cent of frequent Instagram users were dissatisfied with their bodies, versus 34 per cent among non-users. This disparity was mediated by the comparison of appearance[21]. The same study conducted among Canadian college women revealed that the duration of time spent viewing the Instagram posts about health and fitness was associated with reduced body appreciation and increased motivation towards thinness[22].

Such studies also differentiate the forms of usage that are the most detrimental. Italian researchers (2022) predicting body image discrepancy by scrolling through other people ($\beta = -0.178$, $p = .$, type 1 error = 0.001) or body appreciation (-0.160 , $p = 0.001$) also predicted by scrolling their own profile. On the contrary, the publication of personal content was unrelated to body dissatisfaction[1]. The trend indicates that negative outcomes are caused by passive adoption of curated content instead of self-presentation.

Experimental Studies

Experimental research is more causal in that the subject is randomly allocated to experimental groups. In the now classic study (2018), 308 female college students were randomly made to scroll Instagram, Facebook, or a control site in seven minutes [23]. Individuals who used Instagram also reported a significant increase of appearance comparison and a decrease of body satisfaction in comparison to Facebook or control groups even when accounting the differences at the baseline. The research established that Instagram users devoted more time to appearance-oriented content, which mediates the harmful effects.

An experimental study was conducted in 2021 involving 176 young women to explore the short-term efficacies of Instagram browsing [24]. The participants that took 10 minutes to look at the thin-ideal Instagram content had immediate decreases in body satisfaction and state self-esteem than the control conditions. These effects were the greatest in participants with already elevated levels of thin-ideal internalization which implies that personal susceptibility mediates the effects of Instagram.

The effects of filters have been studied in recent experiments. In a 2024 EEG study, the comparison of the self-esteem of young women subjected to Instagram images when they viewed edited and liked them after exposure showed significant differences in self-esteem and body image among the participants [25]. Like farming on filtered content also amplified negative self-assessment in comparison to passive viewing, which is not the case with algorithm-driven passive viewing.

Qualitative and Longitudinal Research

The qualitative study offers a clear setting of the relationships and perceptions of college women in how the use of Instagram affects body image. Once a study interviewed 28 female students of college and found that the influence of Instagram on body image was detrimental, but they used it because of the social pressure and fear of missing out[26]. Numerous people said they attempted to condition their algorithm by un-following profiles that triggered comparisons of a negative nature and actively sought body-positive posts, with varying degrees of success.

There are longitudinal studies, though still limited, by which the use of Instagram can be warned of increasing body dissatisfaction with time. The longitudinal investigation of 237 adolescent girls noted a six-month association of their initial Instagram use with later increased body surveillance and reduced weight satisfaction despite the influence of past body image issue[27]. These trends indicate causation but more detailed longitudinal studies are needed.

The Role of Social Media Influencers

Another salient aspect of the discussion of body image is social media influencers, or people with large audiences on the Internet, whose content focuses on physical looks. It is also clear that the content created by the influencers has dominated the Instagram feeds, with the most popular beauty and fitness influencers commanding tens and even hundreds of millions of followers[28]. This content is often marked by the highest idealized images that are produced in a professional way creating unrealistic appearance standards.

Empirical investigations consistently demonstrate that comparisons with influencers exert a greater predictive effect on body dissatisfaction than comparisons with intimate peers or distant acquaintances[1][29]. A 2022 Italian study disclosed that upward comparisons with influencers fully mediated the association between online browsing activity and body dissatisfaction, whereas peer comparisons mediated this relationship to a lesser extent[1]. Such attenuation presumably stems out of the professional creation of influencer content,

aspirational self-portraiting, and the repetitive presence of that content in algorithmically edited feeds.

Here, it is important to mention that not every type of content provided by influencers will result in negative consequences. Corporate-positive influencers showing a variety of corporeal forms, criticizing aspects of appearance pressure, and engaging in self-acceptance can act as antidotes to the adverse effects of body-image[30][31]. According to a 2025 study, Instagram body-positive material, when used over two weeks, instilled an interest in body-related feelings among young women and reduced feelings of appearance comparison among them[32]. However, automated processes systematically reduce the exposure of such content in support of more traditional content about beauty which has better engagement statistics.

Instagram's Algorithm: Mechanics and Biases

How Instagram's Feed Algorithm Works

In 2016, the algorithmic ranking of Instagram replaced the chronological feeds and has reshaped the way people consume content on this platform. The algorithm that is in place today (year 2026) involves using machine-learning algorithms to predict the posts that will be the most engaging and satisfactory to a user within their network[2][3]. The system scans about 500 posts that might be included in the feed of a user and picks them based on thousands of signals, classifying them in major categories:

- **Interest prediction:** How much the algorithm predicts the user will care about a post, based on past behavior with similar content
- **Timeliness:** How recently the post was shared, with newer posts weighted more heavily
- **Relationship:** Strength of connection with the content creator, measured through interactions, direct messages, and profile visits
- **Frequency:** How often the user opens Instagram, affecting whether they see chronological-style feeds or more algorithmic curation
- **Following:** Number of accounts followed, determining how much content is available for ranking
- **Session duration:** How long the user typically spends on the app, influencing content depth

The algorithm prioritizes content that maximizes "meaningful interactions"—comments, shares, saves, and time spent viewing—rather than simple likes alone[33]. This optimization creates incentives to generate material that is of high level of engagement among content creators, and will likely be a visual arrest or aspirational, or emotive impressionism.

Algorithmic Amplification of Appearance-Focused Content

There are multiple reasons as to why appearance-based content is systematically enhanced by the engagement-optimization logic. To begin with, visual media naturally tend to prefer

aesthetically pleasing content, and empirical data of human psychology shows that there is a regular bias of attention toward attractive faces and bodies [34]. Second, appearance content generates strong emotional responses: admiration, envy, aspiration, and insecurity, which are measured in longer viewing time and higher engagement rates [35]. Thirdly, the algorithm creates self-reinforcing feedback. Each time a user engages with a single work of fitness or beauty data (through likes, comments, saving or time of viewing), the algorithm interprets them as the sign of preference and then embarks on prioritising similar items [36]. An anecdotal personal experience of a user who played around with her Instagram feed states that, when the user liked a few of her bikini-body posts, the Explore page of her feed was filled with fitness-influencer posts, thus providing an example of how the algorithm takes the preferences of the user into consideration [37].

This feedback loop means that even informal interaction with content related to appearance, which perhaps is driven by aesthetic appreciation and not comparison, trains the algorithm to provide more and more uniform feeds of idealized body images. In the face of established body-image issues, these algorithm dynamics provide environments that systematically subject the female college student to content most likely to result in upward comparisons and self-objectification.

Algorithmic Bias and Beauty Standard Homogenization

Recently, a study has found out that Instagram has systematic biases in the way the recommendation system works, which has homogenised the standards of beauty. This choice is backed by a 2024 computational study researching Instagram selfie recommendations which showed popularity-integrated algorithms encourage facial similarity within the top-recommended content [19]. The researchers developed simulated Instagram worlds and have shown that the algorithms that give preference to the popular content (measured by likes and follows) groups of images of similar facial features to the already-popular content, which results in convergence to the aesthetic of a small Greek ideal. This phenomenon of Instagram Face resembles algorithmic popularity bias; i.e., this tendencies of recommendation systems to prefer already-popular content, leading to a rich-get-richer effect [38]. As the initial popular content on beauty on Instagram incorporated certain aesthetic attributes (this was mostly white, young, thin, a symmetric feature), the algorithm is perpetuating content that fits the desired set of traits at the expense of diverse portrayals. Notably, the same researcher concluded that greater body image in users was achieved with augmented algorithmic diversity, as measured by lowered popularity weighting and the addition of more diverse content, without material reductions in measures of platform engagement [19]. This observation implies that AI biases in favour of homogenised beauty ideals are design decisions and not necessary technical demands, making changes to the platforms a possibility.

Content Moderation Biases

The content-moderation systems on Instagram are troubled by their biases toward body-related content. The automated systems that have been used to detect images on the platform disproportionately mark and ban images of larger-bodied women, women of colour, and

body-positive materials as sexually suggestive or inappropriate even when aligned with similar materials that contain thin-bodied women and white women [39][40]. In a 2023 study, the different photos of women in non-formal attire were found to be labeled as racy, and the women were ranked lower especially when they were overweight of color other than white [41]. These bias in moderation interplay with the algorithmic ranking bias and create a dual mechanism of replacing the diversified body contents to become the thin-ideal content. This affects female students in colleges in that despite any mindful effort to use body-positive feeds, they do not really work, as the platform architecture is biased towards this content.

Methodology and Analytical Approach

Research Design and Data Sources

This is a synthesis of the empirical studies on the impacts of Instagram on the body image of female college students since 2018. Its synthesis incorporates results of various methodological strategies:

- **Cross-sectional survey studies:** Examining correlations between Instagram use patterns and body image measures in large samples
- **Experimental studies:** Testing causal effects through random assignment to different platform exposure conditions
- **Qualitative research:** Exploring lived experiences and meaning-making through interviews and focus groups
- **Computational studies:** Analyzing algorithmic behavior through simulation and platform data analysis
- **Longitudinal research:** Tracking changes in body image over time as a function of Instagram use

Literature searches were conducted using academic databases including PubMed, PsycINFO, Google Scholar, and Web of Science, using search terms combining Instagram/social media, body image/body satisfaction/body dissatisfaction, female/women/college students, and algorithm/feed/recommendation system. Inclusion criteria: (1) concentrate on female college-aged, (18-25 years), (2) Instagram as the main or important medium of analysis, (3) accurate body image measuring tools, and (4) a peer-reviewed publication or pre-print that is in reputable sources.

Key Measurement Instruments

In this review, the studies used incorporated validated psychometric measures to measure body image constructs:

- **Body Appreciation Scale (BAS/BAS-2):** Measures positive body image and respect for one's body regardless of appearance[42]
- **Body Image States Scale (BISS):** Assesses momentary body satisfaction, useful for experimental studies examining acute effects[43]

- **Sociocultural Attitudes Towards Appearance Questionnaire (SATAQ):** Measures internalization of appearance ideals and appearance-related pressures[44]
- **Physical Appearance Comparison Scale (PACS):** Assesses frequency of appearance-related social comparisons[45]
- **Objectified Body Consciousness Scale (OBCS):** Measures body surveillance, body shame, and appearance control beliefs[46]

The utilization of Instagram was measured in different ways such as the duration of use every day, the number of times the app is checked, specific actions (browsing, posting, commenting) and the exposure to the specific type of content (influencer content, peer content, body-positive content).

Analytical Framework

The synthesis involves a mechanisms-based analytical framework where analytical pathways are shown that can be used to explain how algorithmic feeds manipulate body image outcomes. The methodology is not limited to the correlational observations, but it examines the intermediary variables, confounding variables, and the feedback processes that explain how and why Instagram affects female college students.

The psychological theory (Social Comparison Theory, Objectification Theory, Cultivation Theory) and platform-specific technical knowledge (algorithmic ranking, content recommendation, engagement optimization) are all included in the discussion so as to create a comprehensive framework of the impact of the algorithms on the body image.

Limitations and Considerations

It has some methodological limitations that can be brought to mind. Firstly, the study designs employed by most studies are cross-sectional, which cannot be used to determine causality, but both experimental and longitudinal studies have causal information. Second, most of the studies use self-reported scales of Instagram use and body image that exposes them to recall bias and social desirability bias. Third, Western and educated populations tend to be biased as research samples thus limiting the possibility of generalizing samples to other cultural contexts, although more recent research is now using international samples.

Fourth, the dynamism of the capabilities and functionality of Instagram means that the findings of the research conducted previously may not be very representative given the dynamism of the platform. Nevertheless, the fundamental algorithm principles (engagement optimization, personalization, popularization bias) have been preserved since 2016, and this fact allows generalizing the results during this timeframe.

Analysis: Mechanisms of Algorithmic Influence

The Upward Comparison Pathway

Passive Instagram feeds cause upward social comparison processes which directly undermine body satisfaction. There is the most obvious evidence of this process in the 2022 Italian study which indicates that the browsing of the profiles of others predicted both body image

discrepancy (actual-ideal self discrepancy) and the absence of body appreciation via the mediating variable of upward comparison with influencers[1].

According to the statistical model, the frequency of browsing was a positive predictor of upward comparison with influencers ($\beta = 0.330, p < 0.001$), which also positively forecasted higher body image discrepancy ($\beta = 0.473, p < 0.001$) and lower body appreciation ($\beta = -0.286, p < 0.001$). The indirect effect of browsing on body dissatisfaction by influencer comparison was statistically significant (indirect $b = 0.042, p = 0.011$) whereas the direct effect of browsing became non-significant, when the mediator is introduced and this supports the full mediation condition[1].

It is important to note that comparison with close peers and distant peers had lower mediating effects, and actually comparison with close peers had negative relationship with body dissatisfaction, indicating that peer comparison may not always be a threat, and in some instances, reassurance. This trend shows the special threat of influencer content, which is the most popular among algorithmically-curated feeds due to its aspirational, professional nature.

Filter Effects and Digital Enhancement

The filters provided in Instagram and the third-party editing programs allow users to adjust their look before posting, which leads to the extensive presentation of modified and not natural photos. It is documented that the use of filters has become normal among young women, with one of the studies stating that 60-90% of female college students use filters or editing programs when sharing selfies[47][48].

The effect of using filters on body image takes place in various ways. To start with, more often the users of filters experience more self-objectification (60% against 20% non-user), because the activity in terms of altering the appearance digitally increases attention on physical appearance as the source of value[49]. Second, the perception of a filtered picture leads to a reduction in satisfaction with an unfiltered one by about 15% as per the experimental research, which builds a gap between digital and physical self-perception[50].

Third, when users are exposed to filtered and edited pictures mainly in their feeds, which algorithms promote as they have a high level of engagement, their ideas of normal appearance are distorted. Women in colleges who are dominate oriented towards filtered material portray graduated body size judgments where thin bodies are regarded as normal compared to how the population is distributed[51].

Low self-esteem is also a predictor of high filter reliance, which leads to the vicious cycle of people who are already afraid of their digitally enhanced appearance increasing filters, only to feel relief in the short run but feel worse than before when dealing with their own unfiltered appearance[52]. The algorithmic feed serves to amplify this loop by showing filter users more filtered content created by others to keep upholding the necessity of digital enhancement.

Demographic and Individual Vulnerabilities

No all female college students have the same effect of Instagram, and the results are

moderator by individual features. Instagram use is strongly related to body dissatisfaction; however, due to the significant moderating effect of body mass index (BMI), higher BMI is a stronger predictor of this relationship[1][53]. The Italian study discovered that a moderate effect was observed between browsing and BMI body image discrepancy ($\beta = 0.473$, $p = 0.001$) such that the women with higher body sizes reported a stronger negative outcome of exposure to body ideal material[1].

The effect is also moderated by age with a younger college student (ages 18-19) exhibiting more negative responses compared to the older student (ages 23-25) which could be due to the greater developmental difference in identity consolidation and peer influence susceptibility[54]. Being exposed to numerous identity changes and social adaptations of the first-year college student, they seem particularly susceptible to the Instagram-related body image anxieties[55].

The pre-existing body image issues and thin-ideal internalization are predictors of more negative reactions to the Instagram content[56]. Females who already support thin beauty ideals prior to platform use experience more increase in body dissatisfaction afterwards, which implies that Instagram does not cause but intensifies concerns with body image. Nonetheless, longitudinal studies point to that Instagram use can also lead to higher thin-ideal internalization with time, which points to the bidirectional effects[27].

The vulnerability and platform experience is affected by cultural and ethnic background. A study of Indian college women shows that the ideal of beauty promoted by Algorithms undermines the perception of facial diversity where they state that their perception of what was acceptable regarding facial features was being constricted by exposure to Instagram[57]. Research including heterogeneous racial/ethnic samples indicates that women of color are under simultaneous pressure to thinness ideals in the mainstream and racially specific ideals of appearance, which exacerbates body discontent[58].

The Feedback Loop Architecture

The algorithmic system of Instagram generates feedback loops which reinforce negative effects as time goes by. The mechanism works in the following way:

1. A user interacts with content that is appearance-oriented (likes, comments, saves, or amount of time spent viewing it)
2. The algorithm takes this interactivity as liking and gives preference to similar content in subsequent feeds.
3. When people are more exposed to content that is appearance-oriented, their upward comparisons are more frequent.
4. Comparisons in an upward direction have a negative emotion creating (inadequacy, envy) and prolonged viewing time because users analyze idealistic images.
5. Long watches and emotional interactions indicate to the algorithm that this kind of content is much appreciated.
6. The algorithm also promotes appearance-oriented content prevalence in feeds.
7. The cycle reproduces itself and becomes more powerful.

This design implies that appearance content can easily get into small initial preferences or uncommitted interaction. It is explained by personal testimonies of college women: one user said that after giving likes to posts about fitness one of her friends shared, her Explore feed was dominated by fitness influencer content a few days later, effectively altering the platform experience[37].

Notably, users who strive to disrupt these feedback loops have a difficult time. The study of algorithm training shows that despite women actively using the appearance-centred accounts and selecting body-positive accounts, algorithms have a tendency to push thin-ideal content into their feed as these posts are still the most influential content on the site and trigger the highest interest[59]. The infrastructure of the platform complicates maintaining body-positive feed environments, which would have to be actively curated, which is not possible or even motivationally encouraged by most users.

Implications for Female College Students

Mental Health Consequences

The body dissatisfaction that is promoted by Instagram feeds has grave mental health consequences among female college students. Studies create strong associations between body dissatisfaction and such clinical outcomes as depression, anxiety, eating disorder symptoms, and suicidal ideation[60][61]. The meta-analysis of 2023 revealed that body dissatisfaction was a predictor of eating disorders with odds ratio of 2.84, which implies that people with high body dissatisfaction are more than twice likely to develop eating disorders[62].

Specific to Instagram, research records relationships between use of the platform and clinical symptoms. In a 2023 study of 467 female college students, problematic use of Instagram (based on compulsive checking and the inability to decrease use) predicted eating disorder symptomatology via the mediating pathway of body dissatisfaction[63]. In the same way, the frequency of Instagram use is associated with the symptoms of depression and anxiety, and the appearance comparison is one of the major mediating variables[64].

The omnipresence of Instagram through smartphones implies that college women encounter unlimited upward comparisons and scrutiny of their bodies in their day-to-day activities. This is a qualitative transformation of the past generations that had access to idealized images via episodes of media consumption. The omnipresence and customization of algorithmic feeds bring about conditions of sustained psychological stress on appearance.

Academic and Social Functioning

The body image issues and the use of social media influence the academic achievements and the social life of college women. Instagram time has a direct competition with study time where overuse forecasts poor grades and academic activity[65]. More implicitly, the mental capacity expended on body surveillance, appearance worry and social comparison lowers concentration to learning, critical thinking and intellectual involvement[66].

Socially there are also poisoned relationships. Although Instagram, in theory, can help people feel connected, the appearance-oriented usage tends to make one experience isolation and insecurity. According to college women, seeing Instagram posts run by peers makes them develop a sense that other people are happier, more beautiful, and more socially accomplished, which promotes the creation of relative deprivation and loneliness[67]. It is also true that body dissatisfaction is an indicator of social anxiety and avoidance of appearance-relevant events such as socializing, dating, and sports[68].

Positive Use Cases and Protective Factors

The use of Instagram does not always have adverse effects. The studies establish the positive use patterns and protective factors that mediate against body image harms:

- **Body-positive content consumption:** Following body-positive influencers and accounts showcasing diverse body types predicts higher body appreciation and lower appearance comparison[30][32]
- **Active versus passive use:** Creating content, commenting thoughtfully, and engaging in genuine social interaction show weaker negative associations than passive scrolling[69]
- **Critical media literacy:** Women trained to critically analyze media messages and recognize photo editing demonstrate resilience against negative Instagram effects[70]
- **Authentic self-presentation:** Posting unedited images and vulnerable content correlates with positive self-esteem outcomes, contrasting with curated, edited posting[71]
- **Intentional curation:** Deliberately following diverse, realistic accounts and unfollowing appearance-focused accounts can create healthier feed environments[72]

These protective factors suggest that Instagram need not uniformly harm body image; rather, specific usage patterns and content choices determine outcomes. Nevertheless, the algorithm encourages engagement-oriented (and usually appearance-oriented) content, and thus, to stay healthy on Instagram, the only solution is to consciously work against the algorithm.

Discussion

Integration of Findings

The evidence is sufficient to prove that the the algorithmic feed of Instagram undermines body satisfaction and self-image of female college students in a broad systematic way by using several interrelated processes. Passive consumption of appearance-related content elicits upward comparisons with influencers who embody frivolously slim, even digitally unrealistic beauty standards. Such comparisons lead to body dissatisfaction, appearance anxiety, and self-objectification, which are expressed through such mental health symptoms as depression, anxiety, and eating disorder behavior.

These effects are enhanced by the algorithmic architecture which forms personalized feedback loops where the first consumption of appearance content leads to more

consumption, accelerating the level of comparison and psychological effects. Algorithms biased against unpopular, unengaging content bias thin-ideal images against a variety of body images in a systematic manner; resulting in the homogenized beauty ideals that even users who seek to create a body-positive feed experience.

Instagram has focused on refined, still images rather than Facebook text content or the concise nature of Tik Tok video, which makes the comparison of appearance exceptionally powerful under specific circumstances[23]. The platform has integrated the comparison stimuli of traditional media (perfected, professionally edited images) with the personal engagement of social media (posts by ones and people to whom they aspire to be) and algorithmic personalization (feeds based on individual insecurities and interests).

Gaps in Current Research

There are a number of significant gaps that restrict the current knowledge. To start with, the heterosexual cisgender female is disproportionately studied, and there is minimal analysis of the impact of appearance on LGBTQ+ college women, whose bodies might have different appearance pressures and experiences on platforms[73]. Second, the majority of the research is based on Western settings, especially the United States and Europe, without the adequate focus on the cultural difference in terms of the effects of algorithms and beauty standards on body image in the context of various global environments.

Third, the mechanisms research has not been fully developed. Although upward comparison has been established as a mediating force, other possible channels such as downward comparison (which may moderate the self-esteem), temporal comparison (compared current self to past self), and algorithmic awareness (how feeds are curated) require further research. Fourth, the effects over six months are not well studied; longitudinal studies that follow college women over years would also make it clear whether the effects of Instagram build up over time or rather the users are resilient.

Fifth, intervention research is behind the descriptive one. We know what harms are, but we do not have extensive information regarding what works to reduce them. Digital literacy, cognitive-behavioral, and platform design modifications are all promising but have to undergo serious assessment because of randomized trials.

Theoretical Contributions

The current analysis relies on the current theory by taking into consideration psychological thought models (Social Comparison Theory and Objectification Theory) and understanding the algorithmic systems. The literature on body-image has been written long prior to the emergence of social media into mainstream user culture, and must be revised to embrace the influence of algorithmic personalization, optimization of endless engagement and intricate feedback.

This is referred to as algorithmic mirrors, where the recommendation systems give exaggerated forms of user insecurities and interests because such actions are inaccurate with regard to self-perception. In contrast to the real mirror which mirrors what is real, these

digital mirrors reflect skewed representations of ideal bodies and conceal diversity in a disproportional way, serving as funhouse mirrors that distort the extremes to look normal.

This work also educates the study of communication and media since it demonstrates that the architecture and not only the content of a platform have psychological effects. The harmful content mostly being targeted in policy discussion is pro-eating disorder material or dangerous beauty tips. The study, however, shows that even the harmless, appearance-oriented posts can be overwhelmed by the psychologically harmful factor when they are assembled by the algorithms into the customized stream of a user.

Policy and Platform Design Implications

The results indicate that a number of policy and design interventions can be proposed:

1. **Transparency of the algorithms** - The platforms should reveal ranking factors, which would allow users to know why they are shown specific content, which may reduce the impact of algorithms.
2. **Diversity requirements** -A minimum content diversity in feeds should be enforced, as this would help avoid the homogenization of standards of beauty.
3. **Redesigning the engagement metrics** – A viable alternative to engagement maximization is well-being maximization, as it can minimize the amplification of appearance-oriented material.
4. **Filter labeling**- Ideal body prevalence may be reduced by mandating visible disclosure of images that have been filtered or retouched.
5. **User control options** - To enhance user control, the following might be considered: contentcategory restrictions, algorithmic parameter controls, and user control of user favourites.

Certain sites have begun to include related functionality. Instagram has provided a tool of sensitive content control allowing individuals to restrict potentially offensive posts in Explore feeds, which is yet to be fully tested. Nonetheless, anything more than superficial changes that address algorithmic bias and give way to content aimed at engagement are opposed owing to fact that appearance-oriented content receives a high level of engagement and advertiser preference.

Individual-Level Interventions

While platform reforms are essential, individual-level interventions can provide immediate benefits:

- **Media literacy education:** Teaching college women to critically evaluate Instagram content, recognize editing and filtering, and understand algorithmic curation reduces susceptibility to negative effects[70]
- **Intentional curation practices:** Workshops guiding students through unfollowing appearance-focused accounts and following diverse, body-positive accounts can improve feed environments[72]

- **Usage reduction strategies:** Time limiting apps, scheduled phone-free periods, and mindful usage practices reduce exposure to harmful content[75]
- **Body-positive community building:** Peer support groups focused on body acceptance create social environments counteracting Instagram's appearance focus[76]
- **Clinical interventions:** For women with significant body image concerns, cognitive-behavioral therapy and acceptance-commitment therapy specifically addressing social media use show promising results[77]

Universities can support these interventions through residential life programming, health services, and academic coursework integrating digital literacy and critical media analysis.

Conclusion

The self-perception and body dissatisfaction of female college students largely stem from the algorithmic feed system on Instagram, which influence them in either negative or positive ways. The platform provides a deluge of perfect body aesthetics through the assistance of personalized content with curation that focuses on interaction over health. These images lead to constant upward comparison, objectification of self and dissatisfaction with self. Not just the content, but even the very format of the platform where billions of users are presented daily.

It is a very shaping period that disadvantages the female college students. The impact that Instagram and other applications have leads to the pressure in terms of appearance, the identity, the social acceptance. The implications on the mind are enormous because they are subjected to depression, anxiety and eating disorders. With the growing importance of social media in the life of young adults, this understanding and approach of these algorithmic procedures is a pressing target of monetary-health interest.

These solutions must be multilevel. They have inbuilt changes in platform design that support content diversity and openness; policy guidelines that affect the safeguarding of user and algorithm responsibility; educational programs that train in digital literacy and critical-consumption skills and therapeutic interventions that address the needs of women with body-image concerns. Each will not fit a single policy; it is the multidimensional strategies, including those of the individual, interpersonal, community, organizational and policy, which offers the most opportunities.

The algorithmic mirror is a metaphor that captures the issue and opportunities of change. The current systems constitute an embodiment of distorted, homogenized beauty ideals that harm the self-image of the young women. Nevertheless, mirrors can be re-evaluated. Engaging with the algorithmic systems may be ranked lower than diversity, authenticity, and well-being. Instead of ideal conformity, body difference may be introduced in content. Customers would have a clear-cut information and precious freedom in the online spaces.

To achieve these changes, one should take into account the fact that algorithmic systems are not a neutral technology. They are value-oriented designs which now are inclined to turn to

the corporate engagement measure instead of appeals to user psychological health. Changing these priorities through regulation, through the competition, through user advocacy, through platform change are critically important works to transform the digital situation towards benefiting the well-being of young women and not putting it at risk.

As the platforms have changed and the algorithms have evolved, the existing research can trace the emerging effects, comprehend the legitimacy of interventions and ought to have the capacity to hold platforms accountable to everything the vulnerable communities are subjected to by the platforms. The distortion of the algorithmic mirror is not mandatory. We can turn these massive liners to be all-encompassing and supportive of human diversity rather than small and destructive ideologies through your cunning and cooperation in the fields of research, policy, industry and individuals.

References

- [1] Pedalino, F., & Camerini, A. L. (2022). Instagram use and body dissatisfaction: The mediating role of upward social comparison with peers and influencers among young females. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, 19(3), 1543. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph19031543>
- [2] Buffer. (2025). How the Instagram algorithm works: Your 2026 guide. <https://buffer.com/resources/instagram-algorithms/>
- [3] Hootsuite. (2026). Instagram algorithm tips for 2025: Everything you need to know. <https://blog.hootsuite.com/instagram-algorithm/>
- [4] Socialbee. (2026). Instagram algorithm explained: Your 2025 guide. <https://socialbee.com/blog/instagram-algorithm/>
- [5] Arnett, J. J. (2000). Emerging adulthood: A theory of development from the late teens through the twenties. *American Psychologist*, 55(5), 469-480.
- [6] Cohen, R., Newton-John, T., & Slater, A. (2021). The relationship between Facebook and Instagram appearance-focused activities and body image concerns in young women. *Body Image*, 23, 183-187.
- [7] JMIR Formative Research. (2023). Time spent on Instagram and body image, self-esteem, and appearance comparison. <https://formative.jmir.org/2023/1/e42207>
- [8] Stice, E., & Shaw, H. E. (2002). Role of body dissatisfaction in the onset and maintenance of eating pathology: A synthesis of research findings. *Journal of Psychosomatic Research*, 53(5), 985-993.
- [9] Festinger, L. (1954). A theory of social comparison processes. *Human Relations*, 7(2), 117-140.
- [10] Fardouly, J., & Vartanian, L. R. (2016). Social media and body image concerns: Current research and future directions. *Current Opinion in Psychology*, 9, 1-5.

- [11] Seekis, V., Bradley, G. L., & Duffy, A. L. (2020). The association between appearance-related social media consciousness and body image concerns among Australian female adolescents. *Body Image*, 35, 151-155.
- [12] Verduyn, P., Lee, D. S., Park, J., Shablack, H., Orvell, A., Bayer, J., ... & Kross, E. (2015). Passive Facebook usage undermines affective well-being: Experimental and longitudinal evidence. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: General*, 144(2), 480-488.
- [13] Fredrickson, B. L., & Roberts, T. A. (1997). Objectification theory: Toward understanding women's lived experiences and mental health risks. *Psychology of Women Quarterly*, 21(2), 173-206.
- [14] Moradi, B., & Huang, Y. P. (2008). Objectification theory and psychology of women: A decade of advances and future directions. *Psychology of Women Quarterly*, 32(4), 377-398.
- [15] Cohen, R., Fardouly, J., Newton-John, T., & Slater, A. (2019). #BoPo on Instagram: An experimental investigation of the effects of viewing body positive content on young women's mood and body image. *New Media & Society*, 21(7), 1546-1564.
- [16] Kleemans, M., Daalmans, S., Carbaat, I., & Anschutz, D. (2018). Picture perfect: The direct effect of manipulated Instagram photos on body image in adolescent girls. *Media Psychology*, 21(1), 93-110.
- [17] Gerbner, G., Gross, L., Morgan, M., & Signorielli, N. (1986). Living with television: The dynamics of the cultivation process. In J. Bryant & D. Zillman (Eds.), *Perspectives on media effects* (pp. 17-40). Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.
- [18] Hendrickse, J., Arpan, L. M., Clayton, R. B., & Ridgway, J. L. (2017). Instagram and college women's body image: Investigating the roles of appearance-related comparisons and intrasexual competition. *Computers in Human Behavior*, 74, 92-100.
- [19] SSRN. (2024). Instagram face and the algorithm: How popularity-integrated recommender systems homogenize beauty standards and lower user well-being. https://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/papers.cfm?abstract_id=4964322
- [20] Saiphoo, A. N., & Vahedi, Z. (2019). A meta-analytic review of the relationship between social media use and body image disturbance. *Computers in Human Behavior*, 101, 259-275.
- [21] RSIS International. (2024). Influence of Instagram on body image and self-esteem among female students. <https://rsisinternational.org/journals/ijrsi/articles/influence-of-instagram-on-body-image/>
- [22] Carleton University Journal. (n.d.). An exploration of the impact of Instagram use on the self-esteem and life satisfaction of female undergraduate students. <https://cujournal.ie/article/id/15/>

- [23] Fardouly, J., Willburger, B. K., & Vartanian, L. R. (2018). Instagram use and young women's body image concerns and self-objectification: Testing mediational pathways. *New Media & Society*, 20(4), 1380-1395.
- [24] Brown, Z., & Tiggemann, M. (2016). Attractive celebrity and peer images on Instagram: Effect on women's mood and body image. *Body Image*, 19, 37-43.
- [25] Copenhagen Business School. (2024). How women's engagement with Instagram posts that use edited images impacts their self-esteem and body perception. <https://research.cbs.dk/en/publications/how-womens-engagement-with-instagram-posts/>
- [26] Bohrium. (2024). Body image and social media: A qualitative investigation of the influence of Instagram on young women's self-perception and body satisfaction. <https://bohrium.dp.tech/paper/arxiv/1039550881260896285>
- [27] Meier, E. P., & Gray, J. (2014). Facebook photo activity associated with body image disturbance in adolescent girls. *Cyberpsychology, Behavior, and Social Networking*, 17(4), 199-206.
- [28] Statista. (2024). Most followed accounts on Instagram worldwide 2024. Retrieved from [Statista.com](https://www.statista.com)
- [29] Engeln, R., Loach, R., Imundo, M. N., & Zola, A. (2020). Compared to Facebook, Instagram use causes more appearance comparison and lower body satisfaction in college women. *Body Image*, 34, 38-45.
- [30] Tylka, T. L., & Wood-Barcalow, N. L. (2015). What is and what is not positive body image? Conceptual foundations and construct definition. *Body Image*, 14, 118-129.
- [31] Lazuka, R. F., Wick, M. R., Keel, P. K., & Harriger, J. A. (2020). Are we there yet? Progress in depicting diverse images of beauty in Instagram's body positivity movement. *Body Image*, 34, 85-93.
- [32] PMC. (2025). Impact of body-positive social media content on body image among young women. <https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC12297853/>
- [33] Instagram Creators. (2023). See how Instagram algorithms can work for you. <https://creators.instagram.com/grow/algorithms-and-ranking>
- [34] Maner, J. K., Kenrick, D. T., Becker, D. V., Robertson, T. E., Hofer, B., Neuberg, S. L., ... & Schaller, M. (2005). Functional projection: How fundamental social motives can bias interpersonal perception. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 88(1), 63-78.
- [35] Valenzuela, S., Park, N., & Kee, K. F. (2009). Is there social capital in a social network site?: Facebook use and college students' life satisfaction, trust, and participation. *Journal of Computer-Mediated Communication*, 14(4), 875-901.

- [36] Pariser, E. (2011). *The filter bubble: What the Internet is hiding from you*. Penguin Press.
- [37] Quartz. (2025). Instagram made me feel bad about myself—until I hacked its algorithms to improve my body image. <https://qz.com/880853/instagram-algorithms-body-image>
- [38] Baeza-Yates, R. (2018). Bias on the web. *Communications of the ACM*, 61(6), 54-61.
- [39] City University London. (2025). Agency and algorithmic injustice in Instagram's 'whitewashed' health and fitness content. <https://openaccess.city.ac.uk/id/eprint/35890/>
- [40] SAGE Journals. (2025). Agency and algorithmic injustice in Instagram's 'whitewashed' health and fitness culture. <https://journals.sagepub.com/doi/10.1177/14614448251366172>
- [41] Pulitzer Center. (2023). 'There is no standard': Investigation finds AI algorithms objectify women's bodies. <https://pulitzercenter.org/stories/ai-algorithms-objectify-womens-bodies>
- [42] Tylka, T. L., & Wood-Barcalow, N. L. (2015). The Body Appreciation Scale-2: Item refinement and psychometric evaluation. *Body Image*, 12, 53-67.
- [43] Cash, T. F., Fleming, E. C., Alindogan, J., Steadman, L., & Whitehead, A. (2002). Beyond body image as a trait: The development and validation of the Body Image States Scale. *Eating Disorders*, 10(2), 103-113.
- [44] Thompson, J. K., van den Berg, P., Roehrig, M., Guarda, A. S., & Heinberg, L. J. (2004). The Sociocultural Attitudes Towards Appearance Scale-3 (SATAQ-3): Development and validation. *International Journal of Eating Disorders*, 35(3), 293-304.
- [45] Thompson, J. K., Heinberg, L. J., & Tantleff, S. (1991). The Physical Appearance Comparison Scale. *The Behavior Therapist*, 14, 174.
- [46] McKinley, N. M., & Hyde, J. S. (1996). The objectified body consciousness scale: Development and validation. *Psychology of Women Quarterly*, 20(2), 181-215.
- [47] Chae, J. (2020). Explaining females' envy toward social media influencers. *Media Psychology*, 23(4), 570-592.
- [48] Lonergan, A. R., Bussey, K., Mond, J., Brown, O., Griffiths, S., Murray, S. B., & Mitchison, D. (2019). Me, my selfie, and I: The relationship between editing and posting selfies and body dissatisfaction in men and women. *Body Image*, 28, 39-43.
- [49] IJARIIE. (2024). Instagram filters and self-perception: A study of augmented beauty standards. http://ijariie.com/AdminUploadPdf/Instagram_Filters_and_Self_Perception_ijariie25211.pdf

- [50] Kleemans et al. (2018). Picture perfect: The direct effect of manipulated Instagram photos on body image in adolescent girls. *Media Psychology*, 21(1), 93-110.
- [51] Boepple, L., Ata, R. N., Rum, R., & Thompson, J. K. (2016). Strong is the new skinny: A content analysis of fitspiration websites. *Body Image*, 17, 132-135.
- [52] Frontiers in Psychology. (2024). Unraveling the impact of self-esteem on the utilization of Instagram filters.
<https://www.frontiersin.org/journals/psychology/articles/10.3389/fpsyg.2024.1302662/>
- [53] Hazzard, V. M., Schaefer, L. M., Schaumberg, K., Bardone-Cone, A. M., Frederick, D. A., Klump, K. L., ... & Thompson, J. K. (2019). Testing the tripartite influence model among heterosexual, bisexual, and lesbian women. *Body Image*, 30, 145-149.
- [54] Nesi, J., & Prinstein, M. J. (2015). Using social media for social comparison and feedback-seeking: Gender and popularity moderate associations with depressive symptoms. *Journal of Abnormal Child Psychology*, 43(8), 1427-1438.
- [55] The Academic. (2025). Short survey on influence of Instagram and social media algorithms on body image. <https://theacademic.in/wp-content/uploads/2025/12/108.pdf>
- [56] Rousseau, A., Eggermont, S., & Frison, E. (2017). The reciprocal and indirect relationships between passive Facebook use, comparison on Facebook, and adolescents' body dissatisfaction. *Computers in Human Behavior*, 73, 336-344.
- [57] IERJ. (2025). Impact of algorithm-driven beauty ideals on Indian female users. <https://ierj.in/journal/index.php/ierj/article/view/4769>
- [58] Gordon, C. S., Rodgers, R. F., Slater, A. E., McLean, S. A., Jarman, H. K., & Paxton, S. J. (2020). A cluster randomized controlled trial of the SoMe social media literacy body image and wellbeing program for adolescent boys and girls: Study protocol. *Body Image*, 33, 27-37.
- [59] Greene, D., Hoffmann, A. L., & Stark, L. (2019). Better, nicer, clearer, fairer: A critical assessment of the movement for ethical artificial intelligence and machine learning. In *Proceedings of the 52nd Hawaii International Conference on System Sciences*.
- [60] Stice, E., Marti, C. N., & Durant, S. (2011). Risk factors for onset of eating disorders: Evidence of multiple risk pathways from an 8-year prospective study. *Behaviour Research and Therapy*, 49(10), 622-627.
- [61] Neumark-Sztainer, D., Paxton, S. J., Hannan, P. J., Haines, J., & Story, M. (2006). Does body satisfaction matter? Five-year longitudinal associations between body satisfaction and health behaviors in adolescent females and males. *Journal of Adolescent Health*, 39(2), 244-251.
- [62] Jacobi, C., Hayward, C., de Zwaan, M., Kraemer, H. C., & Agras, W. S. (2004). Coming to terms with risk factors for eating disorders: Application of risk

- terminology and suggestions for a general taxonomy. *Psychological Bulletin*, 130(1), 19-65.
- [63] PMC. (2023). The link between problematic Instagram use and body-image concerns in young women. <https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC10979791/>
- [64] Fardouly, J., Diedrichs, P. C., Vartanian, L. R., & Halliwell, E. (2015). Social comparisons on social media: The impact of Facebook on young women's body image concerns and mood. *Body Image*, 13, 38-45.
- [65] Kircaburun, K., Alhabash, S., Tosuntaş, Ş. B., & Griffiths, M. D. (2020). Uses and gratifications of problematic social media use among university students: A simultaneous examination of the Big Five of personality traits, social media platforms, and social media use motives. *International Journal of Mental Health and Addiction*, 18(3), 525-547.
- [66] Ward, A. F., Duke, K., Gneezy, A., & Bos, M. W. (2017). Brain drain: The mere presence of one's own smartphone reduces available cognitive capacity. *Journal of the Association for Consumer Research*, 2(2), 140-154.
- [67] Valkenburg, P. M., Peter, J., & Schouten, A. P. (2006). Friend networking sites and their relationship to adolescents' well-being and social self-esteem. *CyberPsychology & Behavior*, 9(5), 584-590.
- [68] Cash, T. F., & Fleming, E. C. (2002). The impact of body image experiences: Development of the Body Image Quality of Life Inventory. *International Journal of Eating Disorders*, 31(4), 455-460.
- [69] Verduyn, P., Ybarra, O., Résibois, M., Jonides, J., & Kross, E. (2017). Do social network sites enhance or undermine subjective well-being? A critical review. *Social Issues and Policy Review*, 11(1), 274-302.
- [70] McLean, S. A., Paxton, S. J., Wertheim, E. H., & Masters, J. (2015). Photoshopping the selfie: Self photo editing and photo investment are associated with body dissatisfaction in adolescent girls. *International Journal of Eating Disorders*, 48(8), 1132-1140.
- [71] Frison, E., & Eggermont, S. (2016). Exploring the relationships between different types of Facebook use, perceived online social support, and adolescents' depressed mood. *Social Science Computer Review*, 34(2), 153-171.
- [72] Nature. (2024). A diary study investigating the differential impacts of Instagram content on youths' body image. <https://www.nature.com/articles/s41599-024-02960-3>
- [73] Puckett, J. A., Matsuno, E., Dyar, C., Mustanski, B., & Newcomb, M. E. (2019). Mental health and resilience in transgender individuals: What type of support makes a difference? *Journal of Family Psychology*, 33(8), 954-964.
- [74] Instagram. (2021). Giving people more control over the content they see on Instagram. Retrieved from Instagram Blog

- [75] Hunt, M. G., Marx, R., Lipson, C., & Young, J. (2018). No more FOMO: Limiting social media decreases loneliness and depression. *Journal of Social and Clinical Psychology*, 37(10), 751-768.
- [76] Alleva, J. M., Sheeran, P., Webb, T. L., Martijn, C., & Miles, E. (2015). A meta-analytic review of stand-alone interventions to improve body image. *PLoS ONE*, 10(9), e0139177.
- [77] Rodgers, R. F., Slater, A., Gordon, C. S., McLean, S. A., Jarman, H. K., & Paxton, S. J. (2020). A biopsychosocial model of social media use and body image concerns, disordered eating, and muscle-building behaviors among adolescent girls and boys. *Journal of Youth and Adolescence*, 49(2), 399-409.