Ideological Convergence's and Conflicts: A Comparative Analysis of Gandhi and Ambedkar

Fatima Begum[1], Pompee Dehingia[2]

[1, 2] MA in Political Science, Assam Women's University

Abstract

This paper explores the ideological convergences and conflicts between Mahatma Gandhi and Dr. B.R. Ambedkar, two towering figures in India's socio-political and intellectual history. Though Both were committed to the upliftment of the oppressed, especially the Dalit's, their visions for Achieving social justice and national development diverged significantly. Gandhi emphasized Moral reform, non-violence, and the transformation of hearts and minds within the framework of Tradition, while Ambedkar championed structural change, legal rights, and the annihilation of Caste through rational and constitutional means. The paper conducts a comparative analysis of their philosophies on key issues such as caste, Religion, democracy, and social reform, drawing from their major writings, speeches, and political Actions—particularly the Poona Pact of 1932 and the Constituent Assembly debates. While Identifying moments of ideological convergence, the study also highlights profound and Enduring conflicts that reveal contrasting visions of India's future. Ultimately, the paper argues that understanding the Gandhi–Ambedkar dialogue is essential for Grasping the foundations of modern Indian democracy and the continuing struggles over Equality, identity, and justice in contemporary India.

Keywords - Caste, Dalit, Democracy, Social justice., upliftment

Introduction -

The making of the modern nation does not rest merely on economic and political power. Every nation in transition requires visionary leadership ideological base with pragmatic reasoning advocated to just and Human order. The prominent rational Philosopher serve as torch bearers of the nation. Philosopher provides the conceptual ethical framework for understanding and pursuing common good, promote reason and knowledge foster capable of independent thought and accountability which is essential for social progress and a stable just and developed nation. Without such leadership a modern state risks becoming technologically advanced but spiritually as hollow, politically should be free yet socially fragmented.The ideologies Mahatma Gandhi and Ambedkar epitomize two divergent yet transformative trajectories in Indian socio political discourse .Gandhi's philosophy grounded in Ahimsa (non -violence) Sarvodaya (welfare of all)and sought for moral regeneration of society , decentralization .In contrast Ambedkar ideology rooted with equality , egalitarian and constitutionalism , rationalism , advocated for systematic annihilation of caste to establish substantive democracy. During colonial rule of India Gandhi and Ambedkar ideological thoughts remain highly influenced by generation of India. The struggle for India's independence was not only a political movement against colonial rule but also a profound engagement with the social, cultural, and moral fabric of Indian society. Within this broader context, Mahatma Gandhi and

Dr. B.R. Ambedkar emerged as two of the Most influential and ideologically distinct figures. While both leaders shared a commitment to social justice, human dignity, and the upliftment of the marginalized, especially the Dalit's (formerly 'Untouchables'), their philosophical foundations and methods of reform were markedly divergent. Gandhi, rooted in Hindu spiritualism, emphasized non-violence (ahimsa), self-purification, and Reform within tradition. He advocated for the abolition of untouchability but did not reject the Varna system outright, believing that caste, when divested of hierarchy, could serve a social Function. On the other hand, Ambedkar, a product of modern education and liberal Constitutionalism, saw the caste system as inherently oppressive and called for its total annihilation. He believed that social equality could only be achieved through legal rights, state Intervention, and the restructuring of society on secular and rational principles. Their ideological clashes came to a head during critical moments such as the Poona Pact (1932), where Gandhi opposed Ambedkar's demand for separate electorates for Dalit's, and Later during the framing of the Indian Constitution, which Ambedkar led as Chairman of the Drafting Committee. These episodes not only reflect the tensions between moral persuasion and institutional reform, but also reveal deep philosophical disagreements about religion, Democracy, social hierarchy, and national identity. This paper seeks to undertake a comparative analysis of Gandhi and Ambedkar's ideologies, Identifying both points of convergence and conflict. It aims to explore how their differing Worldviews influenced their political strategies and how their legacies continue to shape Contemporary debates on caste, equality, and social justice in India. By doing so, the study Contributes to a more nuanced understanding of India's intellectual and political heritage, where Diverse ideological traditions coexist, challenge, and shape one another.

Objectives-

- ➢ To examine the philosophical and ideological foundations of Gandhi and Ambedkar

- ➢ To analyses Gandhi and Ambedkar's ideological convergence and deconvergence areas of Indian society.

- ➢ To assess the contemporary impact of their ideological positions on Indian society and governance.

Methodology -

This study will adopt a qualitative, analytical, and comparative research methodology to Examine the ideological convergences and conflicts between Mahatma Gandhi and Dr. B. R. Ambedkar. The approach is rooted in interpretive political theory and historical analysis, Enabling a contextual understanding of their philosophies, methods, and lasting impact.

- ➢ **Research Design**- Qualitative and Comparative Study The research will conduct a comparative analysis of the political and social ideologies of Gandhi And Ambedkar, with a focus on themes such as caste, social justice, religion, democracy, and National identity.

- ➢ **Data Collection -** Primary Sources Gandhi's writings: Hind Swaraj, The Collected Works of Mahatma Gandhi, speeches on caste and social reform. Ambedkar's writings:

Annihilation of Caste, The Problem of the Rupee, The Essential Writings of B.R. Ambedkar, and speeches from the Constituent Assembly Debates.

> ➢ **Secondary Sources-**

Scholarly books, peer-reviewed journal articles, biographies, and historical analyses that Critically examine the ideological contributions of both thinkers.

Ideological Discussion of Gandhi and Ambedkar-

The making of modern nations required the political leader and economic planner to shape the nation's soul. In India's perspective Gandhi and Ambedkar emerged as the guiding light of the nation. Together they demonstrate that the progress of a nation lies not merely in material advancement but in harmonizing ethical ideals with rational principles a balance essential for the creation of a truly Modern nation. The birth of the modern nation demands not just rulers and reformers but moral and rational Philosophers like Mahatma Gandhi and Dr BR Ambedkar who infused India's political freedom with the deeper struggles for truth , justice and equality. Mahatma Gandhi, the "Father of India" was a central figure in India's freedom movement. He Advocated for non-violence (ahimsa) and truth (Satya) and promoted the idea of Swaraj (self-rule) for India. Gandhi's views were deeply rooted in the Indian tradition of simplicity, Spirituality, and community living. On the other hand, Dr. B.R. Ambedkar was the principal architect of the Indian Constitution and a leading voice for Dalit rights. Born into a Dalit family, Ambedkar experienced Caste-based discrimination first-hand, which shaped his lifelong struggle against caste Oppression. He was a staunch advocate for social equality, emphasizing the need for legal Safeguards and political representation for marginalized communities.

Gandhi and Ambedkar had contrasting philosophies, shaped by their different backgrounds, life Experiences, and social contexts. Gandhi, from a relatively privileged background, sought Reform within the existing social order, while Ambedkar, born into an oppressed Dalit family, Called for a complete dismantling of the caste system and other oppressive structures.

> ➢ **Caste system -**

Caste is a long-established social differentiation in traditional Indian society. It's a common way to think the caste system is divided people into different social classes on the basis of their occupation. It's divided into four categories like Brahmin, Kshatriya, Baishya and sudra constructed by conservative Hindu society. It's highly beneficial for Brahmin. People mistakenly blindly follow-up caste system basis of religious perspectives. Ambedkar belongs himself from the Mahar community a lower class, faced from childhood the grounded inequality. So, his view on casteism was critical and radical. According to him caste is not just social division it's a deeply oppressive system that objectifies inequality and untouchability. Casteism is the greatest barrier of social justice of India. It is constructed to rank communities into higher to lower orders and produce perpetual inequality. Ambedkar solution a complete social revolution in a radical way to establish equality and human dignity. Also, the Hindu scriptures and practices construct inequality. So as a part of protest against casteism burn the Hindu scriptures Manusmriti in publically. His famous speech 1936 'Annihilation of caste 'prior notice to its necessary to the caste system should be abolished. He argued to leave

Hinduism by embarrassing Buddhism 1956. But Gandhi was a spiritual and moralistic thinker advocated instead of total destruction instead of totally abolishing the caste system he advocated reforms of it. Gandhi believed that Hinduism was a living faith capable of internal reforms of individuals. He emphasized its core values Ahimsa (non-violence) Sarvodaya, (welfare of all) as the true essence of religion. Gandhi distinguished caste from Varna, Varna system as a division of labour where people performed duties according to their birth and aptitude. He developed the traditional Varna system in principle but condemned its degradation into casteism and untouchability and agreed to reform perpetuation of changing hearts and minds not by destroying Hindu order. The main perspective of both Gandhi and Ambedkar was established equality but both applied different methodology

➢ **Approach to Social reform-**

Gandhi believed in gradual social reform through non-violent means. His method involved moral Persuasion and encouraging people to transform their hearts and minds. He emphasized the Importance of self-purification and spiritual growth in fighting social evils like untouchability. Ambedkar's approach to social reform was far more radical. He argued that mere moral Persuasion could not dismantle the entrenched caste system. For Ambedkar, legal and Institutional reforms were crucial for ensuring the protection of the marginalized. He believed in direct political action and structural change to bring about social justice.

➢ **Political Representation for Dalit's-**

The separate electorate issue is one of the most important debates between Gandhi and Ambedkar. Both Gandhi and Ambedkar supported rights for depressed classes. Ambedkar's initiative for uplifting the Dalit's in the political sector, so as a representative of India participated in round tables in London and argued that Dalit's social excluded and politically marginalized and demand to expand the separate electorate for Dalit's could vote for their representatives. It gives them political voice and is free from upper caste dominance. In accordance with 1932 British Prime minister Ramsey MacDonald announced the British communal award for the Dalit's. It was a step towards social justice and political equality.

Mahatma Gandhi supported political representations for Dalit's strongly opposed to a separate electorate for Dalit's. Gandhi believed that the idea of a separate electorate would split the soul of Hinduism and isolated Dalit's from the larger Hindu community. For Gandhi, this was a threat to national unity and the moral Fabric of Indian society. To demonstrate his opposition, Gandhi undertook a fast unto death. This Standoff between Gandhi and Ambedkar culminated in the Poona Pact, where Ambedkar, under Pressure, agreed to withdraw his demand for separate electorates in exchange for reserved Seats for Dalit's within a unified electorate...

➢ **Religion and identity -**

Gandhi was deeply spiritual and saw religion as a moral compass for social reform. He believed in the essential goodness of all religions and tried to reform Hinduism from within. Gandhi's Interpretation of Hinduism emphasized non-violence and the unity of all beings. Ambedkar, while initially critical of Hinduism due to its association with caste oppression, ultimately rejected Hinduism altogether. In 1956, he and lakhs of his followers converted to Buddhism.

Ambedkar saw Buddhism as a religion of equality and rationality, free from the Oppressive structures of caste....

> ➢ **Perspectives on Democracy-**

. Gandhi envisioned a decentralized form of democracy rooted in village-level self-governance (Gram Swaraj). He believed in a moral, ethical democracy where leaders would serve selflessly for the well-being of the community. His model emphasized cooperation over competition and was anti-industrialization.

Ambedkar, a proponent of liberal democracy, emphasized individual rights and constitutional Safeguards. He recognized that India needed a strong, centralized government to protect the Rights of marginalized groups. Ambedkar saw democracy as a means of achieving social and Economic equality, not just political independence....

Ideological Similarities between Gandhi and Ambedkar -

Despite the significant differences in their approaches and ideologies, Gandhi and Ambedkar Were united by a shared goal of social upliftment, particularly for the marginalized sections of Indian society. Both recognized the deep injustices prevalent within Indian society and sought to Create a more equitable nation. While their disagreements often overshadowed their Commonalities, there were important areas where their visions intersected.

- ➢ Commitment to Social Justice: Both leaders were deeply committed to improving the Condition of the oppressed, particularly the Dalit's. While their methods differed, they both sought to uplift the marginalized.
- ➢ Critique of Western Materialism: Both Gandhi and Ambedkar criticized aspects of Western Civilization. Gandhi was critical of its materialism and industrialism, while Ambedkar critiqued its Inability to address the deep social inequalities of caste.
- ➢ Nation-Building: Both played pivotal roles in shaping modern India. Gandhi's leadership During the independence struggle and Ambedkar's contributions to drafting the Constitution are Equally monumental legacies. Symbolism in Actions: Both Gandhi and Ambedkar effectively used symbols to convey powerful Messages. Gandhi's burning of foreign clothing during the Swadeshi movement was a symbolic Rejection of colonial exploitation Similarly, Ambedkar's burning of the Manusmriti was a strong Denunciation of the oppressive caste system codified in Hindu law.
- ➢ Emphasis on Education: Both leaders placed a strong emphasis on education as a means to Inspire social change. Gandhi advocated for basic education (Nai Talim) rooted in Indian values. Ambedkar, on the other hand, saw education as the key to breaking the shackles of caste Oppression. Ambedkar, a proponent of liberal democracy, emphasized individual rights and constitutional safeguards. He recognized that India needed a strong, centralized government to protect the rights of marginalized groups. Ambedkar saw democracy as a means of achieving social and economic equality, not just political independence.

Relevance of Gandhian and Ambedkar ideological thoughts-

Gandhian and Ambedkar ideological thoughts remain highly relevance in contemporary India also Internationally. Gandhi's principles of non-violence and moral reforms globally recognised. Today's 2nd October day Gandhi Jayanti is celebrated as International Non-violence Day internationally. The advocate of peaceful resistance of the national movement of India led to. independence. His notorious virtue is like patience, non-violence, Sarvodaya remains the features of a true happy simple life. Gandhi supported stateless society for the humanitarian view of people as a pacifist who can symbolize violence to prohibit a starless society or Ramrajya where people can ensure their own personality development and cooperation to achieve some human goals. He assisted to resist the unjust to demolish peacefully and established equality and liberty.

Also, Ambedkar ideological legacy highly stood up as architect of Indian constitution and leading personality for reforming the structure of Indian traditional society. Ambedkar 's vision of an egalitarian society, social justice affirmative action, dignity of marginalized communities, human rights, advocate of women's rights cannot be overstated. Ambedkar's initiative for uplifting the Dalit's is highly relevant in present time, 21st century India all people are equally treated all of the way. Ambedkar experienced the continuing humiliation of caste discrimination and violence from childhood. To abolish the caste discrimination from Indians he advocated to Constitutional supremacy, legal rights and state intervention. Constitutionally added the reservation system for the upliftment of marginalized communities, whose can easily perceive education, acquiring job opportunities, or political mobilization. Constitutional landmark provisions like fundamental rights (abolished untouchability according to article 17 ,18 for job reservation etc.), universal suffrage, Directive principles of state policy take a better half of people and give opportunities to uplift. Addressing the needs of discrimination not only in India it supports internationally recognised by UNO. Ambedkar's prominent ideas continue to shape democratic values, social reforms, human rights, equality, liberty are highly relevant in modern nation.

Conclusion-

Gandhi and Ambedkar, though deeply divergent in their approaches, converge in their commitment to the upliftment of the marginalized and the reconstruction of Indian society. Gandhi emphasized ethical reform, non-violence, and spiritual unity as the path to social harmony, whereas Ambedkar advocated for legal safeguards, rationality, and structural change to dismantle entrenched inequalities Together, their ideas show that building a just society needs both ethical transformation and institutional change. While both philosophers sought to reconstruct society on the foundations of justice, morality, and human dignity, their approaches reveal a fundamental divergence—one emphasizing spiritual-ethical reform and collective harmony, the other stressing rational-legal structures and individual rights. Yet, taken together, their ideological contributions highlight the complementary need for both moral transformation and institutional change in building a just, modern, and inclusive society. Ideologically their legacies represent two distinct visions for the shape of modern India but the motive is the same. Both philosopher ideology engaged with urgent intellectual task for addressing India's contemporary challenges. Their ideologies foster to make moral based democratic values, equality, liberty, justice people mobilization etc.,

REFERENCES -

1. Acharya, Subhendu K. (2019), "Origin and concept of caste: Various theories", Human Population Genetic, Web Link
2. https://ebooks.inflibnet.ac.in/antp08/chapter/origin-and-concept-of-caste-various-theories/
3. Aikara, J. (2004), Education: Sociological Perspectives. Jaipur, Rawat Publications.
4. Barua, A. (2019), Revisiting the Gandhi–Ambedkar Debates over 'Caste': The Multiple Resonances of Varna, Journal of
5. Human Values, 25(1), 25-40.
6. Biswas, S. (2021), Gandhi and Ambedkar against Untouchability: A Reappraisal. South Asia Research, 41(2), 259-278.
7. Bose, Nirmal. Kumar (1960), Selections from Gandhi: Encyclopedia of Gandhi's Thoughts, Navajivan Mudranalaya,
8. Ahmadabad.
9. Dar, Rayees Ahmad (2022), Educational Philosophy of Mahatma Gandhi and His Educational Relevance to Contemporary
10. world, Journal of Xi'an University of Architecture & Technology, XIV (4), 92-101.
11. Dirk, Nicholas B. (2001), Caste of Mind: Colonialism and the making of Modern India, Princeton University Press.
12. Dumont, L. (1970), Homo Hierarchicus: The Caste System and Its Implications. Delhi: Oxford India, Paperbacks.
13. Ghurye H.S (2022), "Perspectives on the Study of Caste Systems: GS Ghurye, M N Srinivas, Louis Dumont, Andre Beteille,
14. Lotus Arise", Web accessed https://lotusarise.com/perspectives-on-the-study-of-caste-systems-gs-ghurye-m-n-
15. srinivas-louis-dumont-andre-
16. beteille/?srsltid=AfmBOorzHNcYAHMWPNuH2oMv2Nl4wrI35nEQWAn0yiXhWE FwdudjgJYt
17. Goldenweizer, A. A. (2004), Castes in India: Their mechanism, genesis and development. Readings in Indian Government
18. and Politics Class, Caste, Gender, 131–53.
19. Hebbar, D. L. (2023), Economic Thoughts of Dr. Ambedkar, International Journal for Multidisciplinary Research, Vol. 5
20. (5), 1-5.
21. Kumar, Aiswarya (2015), Radical Equality: Ambedkar, Gandhi, and the Risk of Democracy, Navayana.
22. Mahanand, Jandumani (2019), JNU and the Ambedkarite idea of public education, Caravan, Web accessed
23. https://caravanmagazine.in/education/jnu-ambedkarite-idea-public-education
24. Mandal, Bankim Chandra (2023), An Overview of Dr. B. R. Ambedkar's Ideas of Education and Relevance in The Present
25. Indian Situation, The Asiatic Society, Vol. L11 (2), 13-20.

26. Mondal, Subhash Chandra (2018), Glimpses of Dr. B.R. Ambedkar's Vision on Education, International Journal of Creative

27. Research Thoughts (IJCRT), | Vol. 6 (3), 17-23.

28. Mosse, David (2018), Caste and development: Contemporary perspectives on a structure of discrimination and

29. advantage, World Development, Vol. 110, 422-436.

30. Nagrale, H., Zare, B., Wakade, A. (2023). B. R. Ambedkar as Visionary Educator. In: Geier, B.A. (eds) The Palgrave

31. Handbook of Educational Thinkers. Palgrave Macmillan, Cham.

32. Nambissan. G.B. (2013), 'Opening up the Black Box/Sociologists and the Study of Schooling in India' In Geetha Nambissan

33. and S Srinivasa Rao (eds.). Sociology of Education in India. New Delhi: Oxford University Press.

34. Omveldt, Gail (1993), Dalits and the Democratic Revolution: Dr Ambedkar and the Dalit Movement in Colonial India, Sage

35. India.

36. Omveldt, Gail (2012), Understanding Caste: From Buddha to Ambedkar and Beyond, Orient BlackSwan.

37. Patel, M. S. (1958), The Education Philosophy of Mahatma Gandhi, Navajivan Publishing House.

38. Roy, Arundhati (2014), Annihilation of Caste. New Delhi, India: Navayana Publishing Private Ltd.

39. Subedi, M. (2013), Some Theoretical Consideration on Caste, Dhaulagiri Journal of Sociology and Anthropology, Vol. 7,

40. 51-186.

41. Tandale, Dadasaheb (2021), Educate, Agitate, organize: Rising to the Clarion Call of Dr. Ambedkar, South Asian American

42. Digital Archive, Web accessed https://www.saada.org/tides/article/educate-agitate-organize

43. Verma, Vidhu (2022), Ambedkar, Buddhism, and Post-secularism: Inner Life, Politics, and Subalternity, South Asia

44. Multidisciplinary Academic Journal, Web accessed https://journals.openedition.org/samaj/7749

45. Waughray, A. (2011). India and the paradox of caste discrimination. European Yearbook of Minority Issues Online, 8(1), 413-452.

46. Bronger, D. (2001). " Civilization" and" Development": some critical reflections on the understanding of terms–Case of India. Asien, 81, 72-81.

47. Kotani, H. (ed.) (1997), Caste System, Untouchability and the Depressed, New Delhi: Manohar, p-3.

48. Rathore, A. S. (2016). MK Gandhi and BR Ambedkar: Irreconcilable Differences?. In Between Ethics and Politics (pp. 147-177). Routledge India.

49. Mofidi, Sabah, The Political Function of Religion in Contemporary India (Hinduism and Islam) SAWASTIC Publications Delhi, India, 2015, p-150.

50. Biswas Sujay, 'Gandhi's Approach to Caste and Untouchability - A Reappraisal', Social Scientist, Vol. 46, No. 9–10 (544–545) (September–October 2018), p-71.

51. RAMNARAYAN S. RAWAT & K. SATYANARAYANA, Dalit Studies: New Perspectives on Indian History and Society, Duke University Press • Durham and London • 2016

52. Desai, M. H. (1962). An autobiography: the story of my experiments with truth; translated from the original in Gujarati by Mahadev Desai. Beacon Press.

53. Paggi fr. Luigi s.x. Caste & Untouchability, "Museo d'Arte Cinese ed Etnografico di Parma, 2005, p-8.

54. Rathore, A. S. (2016). MK Gandhi and BR Ambedkar: Irreconcilable Differences?. In Between Ethics and Politics (pp. 147-177). Routledge India.

55. Cháirez-Garza, J. F. (2019). BR Ambedkar, Partition and the Internationalisation of Untouchability, 1939–47. South Asia: Journal of South Asian Studies, 42(1), 80-96.

56. Punyani Ram, Ambedkar's Appropriation by Hindutva Ideology, Center for Study of Society and Secularism & All India Secular Forum, Mumbai, 2015, p-1.

57. Ambedkar, B. R. (1971). Annihilation of caste, with a reply to Mahatma Gandhi. (No Title).

58. Ranjithkumar, A. (2011). Ambedkar's Notion of Social Justice a Different Perspective. International Journal of Scientific & Engineering Research, 2(12), 1-5.

59. Ambedkar, B. R. (2014). THE ANNIHILATOR OF CASTE.

60. Cháirez-Garza, J. F. (2019). BR Ambedkar, Partition and the Internationalisation of Untouchability, 1939–47. South Asia: Journal of South Asian Studies, 42(1), 80-96.

61. Gokhale, B. G. (1976). Dr. Bhimrao Ramji Ambedkar: Rebel against Hindu Tradition. In Religion and Social Conflict in South Asia (pp. 13-23). Brill.

62. Krishnamurty, J. (2019). Ambedkar's educational odyssey, 1913–1927. Journal of Social Inclusion Studies, 5(2), 147-157.

63. https://www.strategicfront.org/forums/threads/when-dr-ambedkar-said-democracy-wont-work-in-india-transcript-of-dr-ambedkars-1953-interview-with-bbc.427/

64. https://pwonlyias.com/mains-answer-writing/critically-examine-the-different-views-of-gandhi-and-ambedkar-on-the-caste-system-250-words-15-marks/

65. Sen, R., & Wagner, W. (2009). Cultural mechanics of fundamentalism: Religion as ideology, divided identities and violence in post-Gandhi India. Culture & Psychology, 15(3), 299-326.

66. Shabbir, T., & Nadeemullah, M. (2020). Uses and impact of 'open data' technology for developing social sector in Pakistan. Pakistan Journal of Multidisciplinary Research, 1(1), 50-64.

67. Aloysius, G., Nationalism without a Nation in India, Oxford University Press: New Delhi, 1997. pp. 52

68. Barua, A. (2019). Revisiting the Gandhi–Ambedkar debates over 'Caste': the multiple resonances of varṇa. Journal of Human Values, 25(1), 25-40.

69. Sampathkumar, M. (2015). Comparative Study BR Ambedkar and Mahatma Gandhi. Historical Research Letter, 23, 05-11.

70. Naskar, I. (2011). Revisiting the Gandhi and Ambedkar Debate: Differing on the Ways of Political Emancipation of the Untouchables. Voice of Dalit, 4(2), 131-152.

71. Biswas, S. (2018). Gandhi's approach to caste and untouchability. Social Scientist, 46(9–10 (544–545), 71-90.

72. Sharma, J. S. (1954). Mahatma Gandhi: A descriptive bibliography. University of Michigan.

73. Roy, A. (2017). The doctor and the saint: Caste, race, and annihilation of caste: The debate between BR Ambedkar and MK Gandhi. Haymarket Books+ ORM.

74. Tendulkar, D. G. (1951). Mahatma. Life of Mohandas Karamchand Gandhi, 8, 40-41.

75. Biswas, S. (2018). Gandhi's approach to caste and untouchability. Social Scientist, 46(9–10 (544–545), 71-90.

76. Roy, A. (2017). The doctor and the saint: Caste, race, and annihilation of caste: The debate between BR Ambedkar and MK Gandhi. Haymarket Books+ ORM.

77. Aakash Singh, Silika Mohapatra, Indian Political Thought, Routledge London, 2010, p-36.

78. Jaffrelot, C. (2010). Religion, caste, and politics in India. Primus Books.

79. Knott, K. (2016). Hinduism: A very short introduction (Vol. 5). Oxford University Press