

Economic Order Determined by Social Necessities and Removal of Inequalities: Gandhi's Philosophy of Trusteeship

Daisy Deka

Ph.D. Research Scholar, Department of Philosophy, Gauhati University, Guwahati

Email: dekadaisy4@gmail.com

Abstract

The philosophy of trusteeship as propounded by Mahatma Gandhi represents a unique synthesis of spiritual idealism and practical economics, offering an alternative paradigm to both capitalism and socialism. This paper examines Gandhi's trusteeship doctrine as a comprehensive framework for establishing an economic order that prioritizes social necessities while systematically addressing economic inequalities. Through analysis of primary sources from Gandhi's collected works and contemporary academic scholarship, this study demonstrates how trusteeship embodies a pragmatic model of development rooted in non-violence, moral responsibility and equitable distribution of resources. This paper aims to provide a comprehensive analysis of Gandhi's trusteeship philosophy, examining its theoretical foundations, practical implications and potential contributions to addressing contemporary challenges of persistent economic disparities and in promoting sustainable development. The findings suggest that Gandhi's trusteeship philosophy provides valuable insights for creating an inclusive economic system that balances individual prosperity with collective welfare, offering a pathway toward economic justice that remains remarkably relevant in today's world.

Keywords: Trusteeship, Economic Equality, Social Necessities, Sustainable Development.

Introduction

Mahatma Gandhi's philosophy of trusteeship emerged during the Indian independence struggle as a sophisticated response to the growing tensions between capital and labour, rich and poor and individual accumulation versus collective welfare. Unlike the predominant economic ideologies of his time, Gandhi's trusteeship doctrine sought to transcend the binary opposition between capitalism and socialism by proposing a third path grounded in moral transformation and voluntary redistribution of wealth. This philosophy represents more than an economic theory; it constitutes a comprehensive social vision that integrates ethical principles with practical economic arrangements.

The concept of trusteeship, as Gandhi articulated it, fundamentally challenges the conventional understanding of private property and wealth accumulation. Rather than viewing wealth as an absolute right of the individual, Gandhi proposed that those who possess material resources should regard themselves as trustees holding these resources for the benefit of the society as a whole. This paradigm shift from ownership to stewardship forms the cornerstone of Gandhi's alternative economic order, one that prioritizes social necessities over individual desires and seeks to eliminate economic inequalities through

moral persuasion rather than coercive redistribution. The contemporary relevance of Gandhi's trusteeship philosophy has gained renewed attention in the context of increasing global inequality, environmental degradation and the limitations of purely market-driven or state-controlled economic systems. As observed by Joseph and Reddy (2021), "trusteeship is a workable solution that can ensure sustainable progress in contemporary times" when inequality continues to widen the gap between rich and poor. Parel (2006) provides foundational analysis in "Gandhi's Philosophy and the Quest for Harmony", examining how Gandhi's trusteeship concept emerged from his synthesis of diverse philosophical traditions. The scholarly consensus positions trusteeship as "Gandhi's conceptualization of the contribution of business houses towards social well-being" and "a theoretical construct seeking to redefine the relationship between indigenous business houses and the nationalist movement". Srinivas (1995) analyzed how trusteeship emerges from Gandhi's spiritual understanding of wealth, duty and social responsibility Mathai (2019) presents trusteeship not merely as an economic theory but as a comprehensive worldview based on non-violence, self-restraint and social responsibility that could offer a sustainable alternative to existing economic systems.

Philosophical Underpinnings

The philosophical foundation of Gandhi's trusteeship rests on several interconnected principles that reflect his broader worldview. The doctrine of ahimsa (non-violence) serves as the primary organizing principle, extending beyond physical non-violence to encompass economic non-violence. Gandhi argued that economic exploitation constitutes a form of violence that must be eliminated through moral transformation rather than counter-violence.

The concept of aparigraha (non-possession) provides another crucial foundation for trusteeship philosophy. Gandhi believed that attachment to material possessions corrupts the human spirit and creates artificial scarcities that lead to conflict and inequality. By embracing non-possession, individuals can liberate themselves from the endless cycle of desire and accumulation, allowing them to focus on genuine human needs and social welfare.

The principle of sarvodaya (welfare of all) represents the ultimate goal of trusteeship philosophy. Unlike utilitarian calculations that seek the greatest good for the greatest number, sarvodaya insists on the welfare of every individual without exception. This principle demands that economic arrangements ensure that basic needs of all members of society are met.

Defining Social Necessities in Gandhian Framework

Gandhi's conception of social necessities extends beyond mere subsistence to encompass the conditions required for human dignity and self-realization. These necessities include not only food, clothing and shelter but also education, healthcare, meaningful work and opportunities for moral and spiritual development. The identification and prioritization of these necessities form the basis for organizing economic activity under the trusteeship model.

The Gandhian approach to social necessities emphasizes the interdependence of material and spiritual well-being. Unlike purely materialist conceptions of human needs, Gandhi recognized that human beings require not only physical sustenance but also moral purpose and community connection. Economic arrangements that provide material security while destroying social bonds or moral values ultimately fail to meet genuine human necessities. The concept of social necessities as the determinant of economic order finds theoretical support in Gandhi's own writings. Gandhi's 1941 essay "Economic Equality" in his Constructive Programme articulates how economic structures should be organized around meeting basic human needs rather than profit maximization. Weber (2011) explores this dimension, analyzing Gandhi's critique of "wealth without work and commerce without morality" as fundamental to understanding his alternative economic vision. The concept of village swaraj (self-governance) provides the institutional framework for implementing trusteeship principles at the community level. Gandhi envisioned autonomous village communities that would democratically determine their social necessities and organize production accordingly. This decentralized approach would ensure that economic decisions reflect local priorities and cultural values rather than external market forces or state directives.

Mechanisms for Removing Economic Inequalities

Voluntary Wealth Limitation and Redistribution

The most distinctive feature of Gandhi's approach to reducing economic inequality is its reliance on voluntary action rather than coercive redistribution. Gandhi believed that lasting social change must come from inner transformation rather than external compulsion. He argued that wealthy individuals who genuinely understand their moral obligations will voluntarily limit their consumption and dedicate their surplus resources to social welfare. This voluntary approach does not mean passive waiting for moral transformation to occur spontaneously. Gandhi proposed active programs of education and moral persuasion to help wealthy individuals understand their responsibilities as trustees. He also suggested that social pressure and public opinion could play important roles in encouraging voluntary compliance with trusteeship principles. There is also a "spiritualizing" aspect involved that would emphasize how trusteeship calls for a fundamental change in consciousness - moving from ego-driven accumulation to selfless service, thereby creating more harmonious and just interpersonal relationships in economic contexts (Chakrabarty, 2017).

Structural Reforms and Institutional Changes

Bilgrami's (2003) interpretation of Gandhi's trusteeship appears to be part of his larger argument that Gandhi's political and economic ideas, including trusteeship, flow from deeper philosophical commitments that were "very remote from politics". Rather than viewing trusteeship as merely an economic doctrine, Bilgrami seems to position it within Gandhi's broader moral philosophy that emphasizes lived example over abstract principle. While emphasizing voluntary transformation, Gandhi also recognized the need for structural reforms to support the implementation of trusteeship principles. These reforms would include

changes in inheritance laws to prevent the perpetuation of extreme wealth concentrations across generations. Gandhi opposed inherited wealth as contrary to the principle of trusteeship, arguing that accumulated resources should revert to community ownership rather than being passed down to heirs.

Educational reforms would play a crucial role in creating the cultural conditions necessary for trusteeship to flourish. Gandhi proposed an education system that combines intellectual development with character formation, teaching students not only technical skills but also moral values and social responsibility. This educational approach would prepare future generations to embrace trusteeship principles naturally rather than viewing them as external impositions.

Labor reforms would ensure that all forms of work receive appropriate recognition and compensation. Gandhi's emphasis on the dignity of manual labor challenges traditional hierarchies that devalue physical work while overvaluing intellectual or managerial activities. In a trusteeship-based economy, wage differentials would be minimized, and all workers would receive compensation sufficient to meet their basic needs with dignity.

Community-Based Economic Organizations

The implementation of trusteeship principles requires economic organizations that embody cooperative rather than competitive principles. Schumpeter emphasized the role of entrepreneurial innovation and "creative destruction" in capitalist development, where individual profit motives drive economic dynamism. Gandhi's trusteeship, by contrast, advocates for wealthy individuals to voluntarily act as stewards of their wealth for societal benefit rather than personal accumulation (Rosen, 1982). Gandhi envisioned producer cooperatives, consumer cooperatives and credit cooperatives that would serve community needs rather than maximizing profits for individual owners. These organizations would operate according to democratic principles, with all members having a voice in important decisions.

Village industries represent another crucial component of Gandhi's vision for economic organization. By promoting small-scale, labor-intensive production techniques, village industries would provide employment opportunities for rural populations while meeting local needs for essential goods. This approach would reduce rural-urban migration and maintain the social cohesion of village communities. Trusteeship in this rural context would involve examining how wealthy landowners, successful farmers, or rural entrepreneurs could act as trustees of their resources for community welfare, working alongside democratically elected Panchayati Raj institutions to ensure equitable rural development (Chauhan, 2019).

Contemporary Relevance and Applications

Modern Economic Challenges and Gandhian Solutions

The increasing concentration of wealth in the hands of a small elite, growing environmental degradation, and persistent poverty in many parts of the world demonstrate the continued relevance of Gandhi's trusteeship philosophy. Contemporary studies of inequality reveal

patterns that Gandhi observed and critiqued during his lifetime: the tendency of unrestricted capitalism to create extreme disparities that undermine social cohesion and democratic governance.

The concept of corporate social responsibility (CSR) represents another contemporary application of trusteeship principles, though often in diluted form. While CSR acknowledges that businesses have social obligations beyond profit maximization, it rarely challenges the fundamental priority given to shareholder returns over stakeholder welfare. A truly Gandhian approach would require corporations to operate as trustees for all their stakeholders, including employees, customers, communities and the environment.

Environmental Sustainability and Trusteeship

Gandhi's emphasis on limiting consumption and living simply anticipated many contemporary concerns about environmental sustainability. The trusteeship philosophy's critique of unlimited growth and accumulation aligns with ecological insights about the finite nature of natural resources and the need for sustainable development practices.

The principle of taking only what is necessary, central to trusteeship philosophy, offers practical guidance for addressing climate change and environmental degradation. By voluntarily limiting consumption and focusing on genuine needs rather than artificially stimulated wants, individuals and communities can significantly reduce their environmental footprint while maintaining satisfactory living standards.

Decentralized production and local self-reliance, key components of Gandhi's economic vision, offer alternatives to globalized supply chains that contribute to environmental problems through transportation emissions, resource depletion, and waste generation. Community-based economic organizations can prioritize environmental sustainability because they must live with the long-term consequences of their economic decisions.

Challenges and Criticisms

The literature also includes significant critical analysis of trusteeship theory. Ambedkar's critique, documented in "Gandhi and Gandhism" (1970), questions the practical viability of voluntary wealth redistribution. Similarly, Nehru's skepticism, expressed in his correspondence with Gandhi, reflects concerns about the idealistic nature of trusteeship in face of structural economic inequalities.

Myrdal's assessment in "Asian Drama" (1968) provides an external scholarly perspective, questioning whether moral appeals to wealthy individuals can effectively address systemic economic inequalities. This critical strand in the literature raises important questions about the scalability and enforceability of trusteeship principles. Critics of Gandhi's trusteeship philosophy raise several important concerns about its practical feasibility and theoretical coherence. The reliance on voluntary moral transformation appears unrealistic to those who view self-interest as a fundamental human motivation that cannot be overcome through education or social pressure. The absence of coercive mechanisms for ensuring compliance

with trusteeship principles raises questions about how to handle individuals who refuse to accept their social responsibilities.

Policy Implications and Recommendations

Educational Reforms

The successful implementation of trusteeship principles requires fundamental changes in educational systems to promote values of cooperation, social responsibility and environmental awareness. Educational curricula should include components on ethics, community service and sustainable living alongside traditional academic subjects. This approach would help create the cultural foundation necessary for trusteeship principles to gain widespread acceptance. Higher education institutions, particularly business schools and economics departments, should incorporate courses on alternative economic models, including Gandhi's trusteeship philosophy. This would help future leaders understand the limitations of purely profit-maximizing approaches and consider how business activities can serve broader social purposes.

International Cooperation and Global Applications

The implementation of trusteeship principles at the global level would require new forms of international cooperation based on mutual aid rather than competitive advantage. Wealthy nations could embrace trusteeship principles by voluntarily limiting their consumption of global resources and providing greater assistance to developing countries. International trade agreements could incorporate social and environmental standards that reflect trusteeship principles, ensuring that global commerce serves human needs rather than purely private profits. This might involve fair trade principles, environmental protection standards, and mechanisms for ensuring that the benefits of trade are shared equitably.

Global institutions like the World Bank, International Monetary Fund and World Trade Organization could be reformed to incorporate trusteeship principles in their policies and programs. This would require shifting from purely market-based approaches toward more holistic approaches that consider social and environmental impacts alongside economic efficiency.

Conclusion

Gandhi's philosophy of trusteeship represents a profound challenge to conventional economic thinking, offering an alternative vision of economic organization based on moral responsibility, voluntary sharing and prioritization of social necessities over individual accumulation. While critics raise legitimate questions about the practical feasibility of voluntary wealth sharing and the scalability of community-based economic organizations, the growing evidence of inequality, environmental degradation and social fragmentation under existing economic systems suggests the need for serious consideration of alternative approaches. The contemporary relevance of trusteeship philosophy is evident in various movements and initiatives around the world that prioritize social impact, environmental sustainability, and community cooperation over purely private gain. While complete

implementation of Gandhi's vision may not be immediately feasible, elements of trusteeship philosophy can inform policy reforms, business practices and community development efforts that move toward greater economic justice and sustainability.

The path toward an economic order determined by social necessities and committed to removing economic inequalities requires both institutional reforms and personal transformation. Gandhi's insight that lasting social change must begin with individual moral development remains relevant for contemporary efforts to create more just and sustainable economic systems. While the specific mechanisms he proposed may require adaptation, the underlying vision of an economy based on trusteeship rather than ownership, cooperation rather than competition and service rather than exploitation continues to offer valuable guidance for addressing the economic challenges of our time.

References:

1. Bilgrami, A. (2003). Gandhi, the Philosopher. *Economic and Political Weekly*, 38(39), 4159-4165.
2. Chakrabarty, B. (2017). Gandhi's Doctrine of Trusteeship: Spiritualizing Interpersonal Relationship. National Council of Development Studies Working Paper No. 67. Retrieved from <http://ncds.nic.in/sites/default/files/WorkingandOccasionalPapers/WP67NCDS.pdf>
3. Chauhan, M. (2019). Relevance of Gram Swaraj, Panchayati Raj Institutions and Trusteeship in Rural Context. *Social Action*, 69, 261-372.
4. Gandhi, M. K. (1947). *India of My Dreams*. (R. K. Prabhu, Ed.) Ahmedabad: Navajivan Publishing House.
5. Gandhi, M. K. (1960). *Trusteeship*. Ahmedabad: Navajivan Mudranalaya.
6. Gandhi, M. K. (1999). *The Collected Works of Mahatma Gandhi*. New Delhi: Publications Division Government of India.
7. Joseph, S. V., & Reddy, M. R. (2021). Revisiting Gandhi's Idea of Trusteeship in the Context of Globalization and Inequality. *Indialogs*, 8, 105-127. <https://revistes.uab.cat/indialogs/article/view/v8-joseph-reddy>
8. Mathai, M. P. (2019). Quest for an Alternative Vision. *Yojana*, October 2019, 13-16.
9. Parel, A. J. (2006). *Gandhi's Philosophy and the Quest for Harmony*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
10. Rosen, G. (1982). Gandhian Economics: A Schumpeterian Perspective. *Journal of Economic Issues*, 16(2), 435-438. Retrieved from <https://www.jstor.org/stable/4225180>
11. Srinivas, M. N. (1995). Gandhi's Religion. *Economic and Political Weekly*, 30(25), 1489-1491. Retrieved from <https://www.jstor.org/stable/4402906>
12. Weber, T. (2011). Gandhi's moral economics: The sins of wealth without work and commerce without morality. In *The Cambridge Companion to Gandhi* (Chapter 7). Cambridge University Press.