International Journal of Social Science Research (IJSSR)
Volume- 3, Issue- 5 | September - October 2026 ISSN: 3048-9490

The Role of Education in Women's Empowerment and Social Mobility in Cambodia and India

Ms. Pich Natin
Department of Indian Languages and Literary Studies, University of Delhi,
Delhi – 110007, India

Abstract

This paper examines the role of education in women's empowerment and social mobility in Cambodia and India through a comparative review of established scholarship and international policy frameworks. Education is understood not only as access to schooling but also as the development of capabilities, agency, social recognition, and opportunities to participate in paid work and public life. Drawing on the capability approach, empowerment theory, and intersectional analysis, the paper considers the ways in which schooling and higher education may influence women's decision-making, occupational aspirations, economic security, and intergenerational mobility. It also examines constraints that can weaken these relationships, including household care responsibilities, gendered expectations, unequal access to quality learning, social stratification, and labour-market barriers. The comparison distinguishes shared mechanisms from context-specific institutional and cultural conditions; it does not treat either country as internally uniform. Rather than reporting original survey findings, the study synthesizes conceptual and policy literature and identifies propositions that require testing with disaggregated national and subnational evidence. The primary claim is that education can expand women's choices, but not necessarily their autonomy, employment or upward mobility. Its transformative potential depends on the interaction of educational quality, household bargaining power, social norms, institutional support, and access to decent work. The paper concludes by identifying implications for inclusive education and future comparative research.

Keywords: women's education, empowerment, social mobility, Cambodia, India, gender equality, capability approach

1. Introduction

Education is frequently described as a pathway to social advancement, yet the relationship between educational participation and women's lived freedom is neither simple nor automatic. Formal credentials can widen access to knowledge, social networks, and occupations, but the value of those credentials depends on household resources, institutional arrangements, and the social acceptability of women's public participation. A comparative examination of Cambodia and India is therefore useful not because the countries are interchangeable, but because each

*Corresponding Author Email: rnpichnatin@gmail.com
Published: 31 October 2026
DOI: https://doi.org/10.70558/IJSSR.2026.v3.i5.301399
Copyright © 2026 The Author(s). This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (CC BY 4.0).

presents an opportunity to consider how gendered opportunities are shaped by education alongside different historical, economic, and social structures.

This paper distinguishes three concepts that are often conflated. Educational access concerns entry into and continuation through learning institutions; empowerment concerns the capacity to make meaningful choices under conditions where such choices were previously restricted; and social mobility concerns changes in social or economic position within a lifetime or across generations. More years of schooling may be associated with improved opportunities without necessarily producing equal wages, freedom from violence, or independent control over income. Conversely, women can exercise agency in family and community settings without a university credential. These distinctions guide the comparative argument [1–3].

The research asks how education may contribute to women's agency and social mobility in Cambodia and India, which barriers interrupt that contribution, and what forms of policy and evidence are needed to evaluate it. The objective is not to rank the two countries or to claim a direct causal effect from secondary descriptive sources. It is to develop a transparent comparative framework for understanding the conditions under which educational gains become socially consequential. The article proceeds through a conceptual literature review, a statement of method and limitations, thematic comparison, and policy implications.

2. Literature Review and Conceptual Framework

Sen's capability approach evaluates development in terms of substantive freedoms rather than resources alone [1]. For women's education, this shifts attention from enrolment to what students can actually become and do: read and reason critically, enter an occupation, participate in collective decisions, or refuse an unwanted arrangement. Nussbaum's account of central capabilities similarly highlights the relationship between education, practical reason, bodily integrity, and social affiliation [2]. These perspectives discourage the assumption that schooling is successful merely because it produces a certificate.

Kabeer conceptualizes empowerment through resources, agency, and achievements [3]. Schools can supply you with information, certifications and the like, but agency is the ability to use that information to make the decisions that matter. Achievements might include continued study, occupational participation, or a greater voice in household decisions. The sequence is not guaranteed: a woman may acquire qualifications but face restrictions on mobility or employment. The framework is particularly helpful for comparing education's promise with its realized outcomes.

Intersectional scholarship demonstrates that gender does not operate separately from class, location, ethnicity, language, disability, or other forms of disadvantage [4]. Within both Cambodia and India, national averages can conceal sharp differences between urban and rural settings, advantaged and disadvantaged households, and communities with unequal access to transport, digital tools, or institutions. A comparative paper should therefore avoid presenting "Cambodian women" or "Indian women" as homogeneous categories. Bourdieu's account of cultural and social capital also clarifies why equal credentials may yield unequal returns when families differ in networks, prestige, and familiarity with institutions [5].

International education research has repeatedly emphasized the importance of quality learning, equity, and gender-responsive environments [6–8]. It is also necessary to distinguish the educational system's formal commitments from women's everyday experiences. A policy that provides school access can coexist with gendered care burdens, safety concerns, discriminatory hiring, and uneven opportunities for advancement. These observations motivate an approach that connects educational institutions with the social and labour-market settings in which their graduates live.

3. Research Methodology

This is a qualitative, comparative, literature-based study. Its analytical materials consist of foundational theoretical works on capabilities, empowerment, intersectionality, and social capital, together with selected international institutional reports on education and gender. The study compares Cambodia and India at the level of mechanisms and policy questions rather than claiming a statistically representative assessment of women in either country. The themes were organized around educational access and quality, household decision-making, employment and income, social mobility, and institutional barriers.

The method has three stages. First, key terms are defined so that access, empowerment, and mobility are not treated as equivalent. Second, conceptual propositions are applied to both national contexts to identify common questions and plausible differences in institutional pathways. Third, the resulting comparison is assessed for gaps requiring national statistics, longitudinal studies, or fieldwork. No interviews, surveys, administrative microdata, or original measurements were conducted for this article; therefore, no numerical country comparisons or causal effect estimates are presented.

The principal limitation is that general theoretical and international sources cannot establish the current size of gender gaps in particular Cambodian provinces or Indian states. Differences in educational systems, definitions of employment, and measurement of unpaid care can also complicate cross-country comparisons. Any subsequent empirical version should use comparable, dated indicators from reliable statistical agencies and explicitly document differences in methodology. These limitations are integral to interpreting the discussion that follows.

4. Education and Women's Empowerment

Education can contribute to empowerment by enlarging the set of choices women perceive as possible. Literacy and subject knowledge may improve access to public information, legal awareness, health communication, and further training. Classrooms and institutions of higher education can also introduce students to peer networks and alternative models of adult life. These are valuable benefits even if they do not lead directly to paid labor. Education is valuable both instrumentally and as part of human flourishing within the capacity framework [1, 2].

But to translate learning into agency requires the untrammeled exercise of decision. A woman who completes secondary education but lacks safe transport to a college, permission to relocate for work, or control over her earnings may experience an uneven conversion of educational resources into capabilities. Household negotiations over tuition, marriage, caregiving, and paid

work can be decisive. Kabeer's distinction between resources and agency is especially relevant here: access to a classroom is not synonymous with power to determine one's future [3].

In a Cambodian context, a research agenda should examine how educational opportunities intersect with rural–urban differences, family livelihoods, migration, and access to postsecondary training. In an Indian context, a comparable agenda should examine variation across states, caste and class locations, rural and urban environments, and institutional types. These are analytical priorities, not findings that all women in either setting encounter the same obstacles. Both cases require attention to girls and women whose educational trajectories are interrupted or diverted by economic or household pressures.

Indicators for evaluating empowerment should not only reflect academic achievement. Potential dimensions include decision-making about continued education, ability to seek employment, participation in community organizations, financial autonomy, confidence in navigating institutions, and freedom to pursue chosen life plans. Such indicators must be interpreted carefully: reporting a decision as "joint" or "independent" does not by itself reveal whether a woman had meaningful alternatives. Qualitative interviews and context-sensitive instruments can complement statistical data.

5 Education and Social Mobility

Social mobility may be occupational, economic, educational, or social. Education can support mobility by providing credentials, skills, language proficiency, and access to professional networks. A first-generation graduate, for example, may acquire forms of institutional knowledge unavailable to earlier generations. Yet mobility is relational: the same qualification may carry different returns depending on local labour demand, discrimination, family wealth, and social connections. Bourdieu's analysis helps explain why credentials do not erase inherited inequalities [5].

The connection between women's education and paid work is particularly important but cannot be assumed. Women may face barriers at the transition from school to employment, during marriage or childbirth, and when seeking promotion or secure contracts. Time for training, commuting and career progression may be reduced by unpaid work at home and caregiving. Childcare, safe mobility, worker rights and equitable hiring must go hand in hand with education policy. These institutional conditions influence whether education contributes to sustainable economic independence.

For Cambodia, useful empirical questions include the relationship between secondary or tertiary completion and transitions into formal and informal work, and whether women can pursue occupational advancement without excessive migration-related or care burdens. For India, comparable questions include the relationship between qualifications and women's labour-force participation across regions and social groups, and whether educational gains correspond to improved occupational security. These questions should be tested with harmonized evidence rather than answered through stereotypes or isolated anecdotes.

Intergenerational mobility is another dimension. An educated mother may be better positioned to navigate school systems, support children's learning, or advocate for household investments,

but such effects are shaped by income, time, public services, and family arrangements. The blame for the outcomes of children should not be placed primarily on women in research. A more just paradigm understands that social mobility is not only about individual educational success but also about public institutions and shared caring obligations.

6. Comparative Discussion: Cambodia and India

The comparative value of Cambodia and India lies in asking parallel questions while retaining sensitivity to context. In both settings, a meaningful study of women's education should examine who can enter and complete schooling, what quality of learning is available, how educational credentials are valued, and whether women can convert them into chosen social and economic outcomes. The same conceptual sequence—resources, agency, and achievements—provides a common analytical language [3].

The two cases also caution against simplistic equivalence. India encompasses extensive variation across states, languages, institutions, and social hierarchies; Cambodia has its own distinct educational history, labour markets, language environment, and rural–urban patterns. The presence of different structures means that similar educational milestones need not produce similar opportunities. Rather than treating the countries as fixed cultural types, a strong comparison would select matched groups or comparable life-course transitions and explain the basis for comparison.

A central insight from the theoretical review is that schooling and empowerment are linked through mediating conditions. These include the quality and relevance of learning, access to safe transportation and housing, household bargaining power, affordable care services, non-discriminatory recruitment, and social acceptance of women's professional ambitions. Where these conditions are weak, expanded enrolment can coexist with limited mobility. Where they are supportive, educational gains may be more readily converted into substantive freedoms [1–3, 6].

The framework also requires attention to agency beyond formal employment. Women may use education to negotiate family relationships, participate in local decision-making, seek legal remedies, pursue creative work, or engage in community leadership. Such outcomes can be socially significant even when they are not reflected in income statistics. At the same time, a focus on agency should not obscure structural obligations: describing women as "empowered" does not absolve institutions of responsibility for discrimination or unequal care burdens.

Comparative interpretation should distinguish a demonstrated difference from a proposed explanation. Without harmonized country-specific data, it is not possible here to conclude that education produces greater empowerment in one country than the other. The defensible conclusion is narrower: the same broad theoretical mechanisms may be relevant in both contexts, while their operation must be tested against distinct social and institutional realities. This makes the article a conceptual contribution and a basis for future empirical work.

7. Policy Implications and Future Research

An empowerment-focused education approach should focus on the quality of learning, retention, transitions to further education and equal access for disadvantaged populations.

Financial support and scholarships might help, but only if learners also have safe travel, appropriate facilities, accessible information and institutional protection from harassment. Career coaching and education-to-decent work paths should be examined in parallel with policies that recognize and redistribute unpaid care duties.

Institutions may improve women's chances through transparent admission and hiring policies, mentoring, flexible pathways for learners returning after disruptions, and support for first-generation students. Community engagement can help with limiting expectations, but legislation should not paint families or traditions as always obstructive. The most effective gender-responsive treatments are those that are locally evidenced and in which women are actively involved in the design and evaluation.

Future research should combine national statistical series with interviews and longitudinal life histories. Comparable indicators might include completion by level of education, learning outcomes, transitions into paid employment, occupational status, earnings, time spent on unpaid care, and participation in major household decisions. Disaggregation by location and socioeconomic position is essential. A mixed method approach would provide not only findings about the relationship between educational success and mobility, but also about women's perceptions of the opportunities and restrictions they encounter.

For example, a focused Cambodia-India comparison can select two comparable cohorts such as first-generation female university graduates or rural women completing secondary school, and track transitions over time. Such a design would increase the precision of the analysis and prevent overgeneralization. It would also help explain if the changes observed are due to schooling per se, broader economic developments, family resources or the combination of these factors.

8. Conclusion

Education is a potentially powerful resource for women's empowerment and social mobility in Cambodia and India, but its benefits are mediated by the social conditions in which learning takes place and qualifications are used. The capability approach emphasizes meaningful freedom, empowerment theory distinguishes resources from agency and achievements, and intersectional analysis explains why women with similar schooling may face unequal opportunities. Taken together, these perspectives challenge the assumption that educational access alone guarantees social transformation.

The comparison developed here identifies a common research agenda rather than claiming a definitive ranking of national outcomes. Its contribution is to connect educational opportunities with household bargaining, social recognition, labour-market institutions, and the distribution of care. For policy, this means that gender equality in education should be pursued together with conditions that enable women to make consequential choices. For scholarship, it means that credible claims about mobility require context-sensitive, disaggregated, and longitudinal evidence. Education matters most when its promise can be translated into real and sustainable possibilities for women's lives.

References

Sen, A. (1999). Development as Freedom. Oxford University Press.

Nussbaum, M. C. (2000). Women and Human Development: The Capabilities Approach. Cambridge University Press.

Kabeer, N. (1999). Resources, agency, achievements: Reflections on the measurement of women's empowerment. Development and Change, 30(3), 435–464. https://doi.org/10.1111/1467-7660.00125

Crenshaw, K. (1989). Demarginalizing the intersection of race and sex: A Black feminist critique of antidiscrimination doctrine, feminist theory and antiracist politics. University of Chicago Legal Forum, 1989(1), 139–167.

Bourdieu, P. (1986). The forms of capital. In J. G. Richardson (Ed.), Handbook of Theory and Research for the Sociology of Education (pp. 241–258). Greenwood Press.

UNESCO. (2020). Global Education Monitoring Report 2020: Inclusion and Education—All Means All. UNESCO.

UNESCO. (2020). Global Education Monitoring Report Gender Report: A New Generation—25 Years of Efforts for Gender Equality in Education. UNESCO.

World Bank. (2018). World Development Report 2018: Learning to Realize Education's Promise. World Bank. https://doi.org/10.1596/978-1-4648-1096-1