

Implementation of NEP 2020 in India: Assessing Policy Gaps and Institutional Readiness

Radha Raman Chakma

Research Scholar, Dept Political Science, of Dhamma Dipa International Buddhist University,
Sabroom South Tripura.

Abstract:

The National Education Policy (NEP) 2020 represents a comprehensive framework to make the Indian education system more inclusive, flexible, multidisciplinary, skill-based and technology-enabled. The policy gives special emphasis on structural reforms in schools and higher education, foundational learning, changes in curriculum and pedagogy, teacher education development, vocational education, multidisciplinary learning, digital education, research and innovation and equity and inclusion. At the same time, the existing infrastructural, financial, human resource, teacher preparation, digital capacity and administrative challenges in the institutional readiness of educational institutions and effective implementation have been analysed. The analysis indicates that there is not a uniform alignment between the policy objectives of NEP 2020 and the implementation capacity. The implementation capacity may also vary due to differences in adequate infrastructure, skilled human resources, opportunities for teacher and faculty development, technological facilities, financial resources and effective administrative systems across educational institutions. The study also shows that policy gaps and institutional readiness are closely related; lack of adequate institutional capacity, resources and administrative support can hinder the translation of policy objectives into tangible educational outcomes. The study adopts a mixed-methods framework within a descriptive and analytical research design. Primary information was obtained from teachers, faculty, administrative officers and other relevant stakeholders of selected educational institutions through questionnaires, interviews and institutional assessment; besides, NEP 2020, government documents, policy reports, education-related statistics, research papers, books and other reliable relevant secondary materials were also examined. The discussion suggests that the effective implementation of NEP 2020 does not depend only on policy adoption; rather, it significantly depends on the integrated capabilities of institutional capacity, infrastructure, financial support, teacher preparedness, digital readiness, administrative effectiveness, stakeholder participation and inter-institutional coordination. Taken together, NEP 2020 offers scope for a long-term transformation in India's education system; however, to translate that potential into real and meaningful educational outcomes, greater attention is required for policy formulation as well as institution-level preparedness and implementation capacity. The analysis recommends that consistent emphasis should be placed on adequate funding, infrastructure development, continuous teacher and faculty development, digital inclusion, effective

Published: 06 October 2026

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.70558/IJSSR.2026.v3.i5.301342>

Copyright © 2026 The Author(s). This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (CC BY 4.0).

monitoring and evaluation, stakeholder participation and inter-institutional coordination. These integrated measures can help achieve more effective and sustainable outcomes in terms of quality of education, equity, inclusion, skills, research, innovation and overall development of students by reducing the gap between the policy objectives of NEP 2020 and actual institutional practices.

Keywords: National Education Policy 2020; Policy Gaps; Institutional Readiness; Policy-Practice Gap; Teacher Development; Digital Inclusion; Educational Transformation; India.

Introduction:

India's education system is experiencing substantial change due to technological advancements, social and economic changes, globalization and the growing demands of a knowledge-based society. In the context of this changing educational and social reality, the National Education Policy (NEP) 2020 has emerged as an important policy initiative with the aim of restructuring and modernizing the Indian education system in the long-term. The policy establishes a broad framework covering comprehensive changes in the structure, teaching methodology, curriculum, evaluation, teacher training, research, use of technology and institutional management of the Indian education system from school education to higher education.

A central aspiration of NEP 2020 is to develop an education system that is more inclusive, flexible, quality, skill-based and future-oriented. In higher education, emphasis has been placed on various reforms such as multidisciplinary education, multiple entry and exit opportunities, academic credit system, expansion of research, integration of vocational education, institutional autonomy and effective use of technology. These reforms aim to prepare students for the changing needs of the workplace and society by developing their knowledge, skills, and values in an integrated manner.

The effectiveness of an education policy depends not merely on its policy objectives, framework or proposed reforms; it also depends on the institutional and administrative capacity required to effectively implement that policy. Effective implementation of a wide-ranging reform such as NEP 2020, adequate financial resources, suitable infrastructure, trained and skilled teachers, digital facilities, effective administrative systems and coordinated efforts among educational institutions at different levels are essential. Therefore, it is very important to ensure that the policy is implemented, monitored, evaluated and revised as needed, in addition to formulating the policy.

Implementation of NEP 2020 may encounter several practical constraints. There are considerable differences in infrastructure, financial resources, digital technology capabilities, teacher numbers, teacher training and administrative capacity across states and educational institutions in India. Therefore, the implementation of NEP 2020 needs to be analysed in the light of the real situation, institutional capacity and existing constraints, and not just on the basis of policy declarations.

Against this background, institutional preparedness becomes a central consideration. The extent to which an educational institution is able to effectively adopt and implement the

framework and guidelines of the new education policy depends on its infrastructural facilities, financial capacity, human resources, training of teachers and staff, technological readiness, administrative skills and ability to adapt to change. Therefore, in analyzing the implementation of NEP 2020, it is essential to assess the level of readiness of educational institutions, existing capabilities and potential shortcomings.

Against this background, the present study, titled “Implementation of NEP 2020 in India: Assessing Policy Gaps and Institutional Readiness” focuses on analyzing various aspects of the implementation of NEP 2020 in the Indian education system. In addition, it is important to analyze how factors such as infrastructure, human resources, teacher training, technical capacity, financial resources, administrative structure and institutional coordination can affect the implementation of the policy. Therefore, this study is quite significant from the perspective of establishing consistency between the policy goals of NEP 2020 and the actual education sector situation, increasing institutional capacity, and ensuring qualitative and inclusive development of education.

Background and Context of NEP 2020:

India's education policy has evolved alongside the social, economic, political and cultural changes in the country. Since independence, successive policy initiatives have sought at different times to make the Indian education system more comprehensive, people-oriented, equity-based and conducive to national development. The policy has emphasized establishing greater coordination between school education and higher education, holistic development of the student and adapting the education system to changing national and global realities, taking into account the experience and limitations of the previous education policies.

A notable feature of NEP 2020 is its view that it considers education not only as a means of acquiring formal knowledge but as a process of holistic development of knowledge, skills, values, creativity and personality. At the same time, importance has been given to issues such as multidisciplinary education, use of mother tongue or regional languages, linking general education with vocational education, promotion of research and innovation and internationalization of education. Through this, the goal has been set to make the education system more flexible, learner-centred and compatible with the needs of the future workplace.

A significant dimension of the policy concerns the structural and curricular reform of school education. Emphasis has been placed on creating an integrated and multidisciplinary learning environment by reducing the strict division between arts, science, humanities and vocational education. The assessment system has also been emphasized a shift towards understanding, application, analysis and assessment of overall skills rather than just the ability to remember information.

The NEP 2020 also proposes significant changes in the field of higher education. In addition to increasing the capacity of higher educational institutions in teaching, research, administration and institutional development, the concept of greater academic autonomy has also been emphasized. Creating opportunities for long-term educational development of students by establishing continuity and coordination of education from school to higher education is also an important objective of this policy.

Technology and digital learning occupy an increasingly prominent place in the context of NEP 2020. However, with the spread of technology-based education, the issue of digital inequality also becomes important. Therefore, ensuring digital infrastructure and technological capacity is an important institutional prerequisite for implementing the technology-based education goal of NEP 2020.

Equity, inclusion, and accessibility constitute core concerns of NEP 2020. The need to expand educational opportunities for socially and economically disadvantaged groups, students from geographically remote areas, female students, students with disabilities and other marginalized groups is related to the overall vision of the policy. Therefore, the implementation of NEP 2020 needs to be linked to the larger objective of establishing equity in educational opportunities, participation and outcomes, rather than being limited to structural or curricular reforms.

Within this broader setting, NEP 2020 points to a long-term transformation in the structure, curriculum, teaching methods, assessment, use of technology, teacher training, research and institutional management of the Indian education system. However, how effectively the declared goals of the policy will be translated into reality will depend on the readiness, resources, human resources, infrastructure, technological capacity and administrative coordination of educational institutions at different levels. Therefore, understanding the background and policy framework of NEP 2020, as well as analyzing the policy gaps and institutional readiness, provides an important basis for the current research.

Statement of the Problem:

The National Education Policy 2020 (NEP 2020) provides a comprehensive and forward-looking policy framework for structural, curricular, institutional and pedagogical transformation of the Indian education system. However, there can be a significant gap between the declared goals of a policy and its implementation in the real education sector, especially when the implementation of the policy depends on different types of educational institutions, regions and institutional capacities. In this context, the existing or potential policy–implementation gap between the policy vision of NEP 2020 and its implementation at the institutional level is the central issue of the current research.

Adequate and suitable educational infrastructure is essential for the effective implementation of the various reforms of NEP 2020. Especially in remote, rural or resource-limited areas, if the institutions lack the necessary infrastructure and educational resources, there may be limitations in the implementation of the reforms stipulated in the policy. As a result, the gap that arises between the desired educational changes of the policy and the actual infrastructural capacity of the institutions needs to be considered as a significant problem in the implementation of the policy.

Similarly, human resources are a fundamental component of the implementation of NEP 2020. Without skilled teachers, trained administrative staff, technical support staff and effective academic leadership, it is difficult to translate various policy reforms into reality. If institutions do not have sufficient teachers and support staff or if the skills of existing human resources are not aligned with the needs of the new policy, then the desired progress in implementing the objectives of NEP 2020 may be hampered.

Funding is also an important part of this problem. The implementation of various reforms of NEP 2020 requires adequate financial resources for infrastructure development, technology deployment, teacher training, research, educational materials and administrative capacity building. Therefore, it is necessary to systematically analyze the relationship between the ambitious goals of NEP 2020 and the actual financial capacity.

Teacher preparedness is of particular importance in the implementation of NEP 2020, as most of the changes in education policies are directly related to the teaching and learning process in the classroom. If teachers are not adequately prepared about the goals, framework, and implementation methods of the new policies, the desired changes in the policies may not be fully reflected at the actual classroom level. Therefore, the level of teacher preparedness and professional competence is an important issue to be investigated in the implementation of the policies.

In addition, digital capacity is an important dimension of the implementation of NEP 2020. Differences in digital infrastructure and technological capacity across institutions can also lead to disparities in access and effectiveness of digital education. Therefore, assessing the digital readiness of institutions and existing technological gaps is important in implementing the NEP 2020 goal of effectively integrating technology with education.

Another important issue concerns the administrative mechanisms and institutional coordination required for policy implementation. The effectiveness of policy directives, allocation of responsibilities, monitoring, evaluation, data collection and decision-making at the institutional level can affect the speed and outcome of policy implementation. Administrative complexity, lack of coordination, weak monitoring mechanisms or unclear responsibilities can further widen the gap between policy objectives and actual outcomes.

Therefore, the key issue is not simply to determine whether the reforms of NEP 2020 are being implemented; but rather to understand where, why, and what kind of gaps exist or may arise between the declared vision of the policy and its implementation at the institutional level. The interplay of infrastructure, human resources, financing, teacher preparation, digital capabilities, and administrative systems can affect the overall effectiveness of policy implementation. This study will attempt to develop a more structured and evidence-based understanding of the implementation process of NEP 2020 by identifying potential policy gaps and different levels of institutional readiness.

Central research deficit

Although there have been policy, educational and institutional discussions on NEP 2020, there is still a significant research gap in assessing the gap between the stated goals of the policy and the implementation capacity of educational institutions through an integrated framework. In particular, there is a need for more structured evidence on how infrastructure, human resources, financing, teacher preparation, digital capabilities and administrative systems influence the implementation of NEP 2020, rather than discussing them as separate issues. At the same time, there is a clear need to analyze these two issues together, how the policy vision is being translated into real institutional settings and how well institutions are prepared to embrace and implement those changes.

Therefore, the central research gap of this study is the limited scope to analyze the relationship between the policy expectations of NEP 2020 and the actual readiness and implementation situation at the institutional level in an integrated perspective. In particular, there is a need for more systematic research on the question of how the “policy gap” and “institutional readiness” relate to each other and which institutional elements act as facilitators or constraints in the implementation of the policy. In order to fill this gap, this study will focus on analyzing the relationship between the declared policy goals of NEP 2020 and the institutional level infrastructural, human resource, financial, teacher-related, digital and administrative capacities.

This study therefore seeks to not only describe the progress of NEP 2020 implementation, but also to understand where the gap is in the transition from policy to practice, how institutional readiness is related to that gap, and where more capacity and support is needed to make implementation effective—all these issues will be explored within an integrated research framework. This perspective distinguishes this study from general policy analysis and creates an opportunity to develop a more evidence-based discussion on the institutional implementation of NEP 2020.

Rationale of the study:

The National Education Policy 2020 (NEP 2020) presents a comprehensive vision of change in the structure, curriculum, teaching methodology, assessment, teacher training, use of technology, research and institutional management of the Indian education system. Because the success of a policy depends not only on its goals and guidelines; but also on how prepared the relevant institutions are, what kind of resources they have and what kind of capacity they have to implement the policy changes. Therefore, analyzing the relationship between the implementation process of NEP 2020, existing policy gaps and institutional readiness is an important rationale for this study.

The implementation of NEP 2020 needs to be examined because how the reforms outlined in the policy are being integrated into the day-to-day operations, teaching and administrative systems of educational institutions is crucial to understanding the effectiveness of the policy. Therefore, a well-structured evaluation of the implementation process is needed to identify potential gaps between the stated objectives of the policy and its actual implementation. This evaluation can help understand the actual progress of the policy, the limitations of implementation and the areas where future action is needed.

An important rationale for this study is to identify existing policy gaps. The gap between the policy objectives and the actual situation may be related to various institutional, financial, human resource, technical and administrative factors. At the same time, identifying these gaps can also be helpful in making the policy implementation strategy more realistic and perspective-oriented in the future.

Assessing institutional preparedness is also a central rationale for this study. To implement the reforms of NEP 2020, educational institutions need to have adequate infrastructure, skilled human resources, trained teachers, technological facilities, financial capacity, and effective administrative structures. Therefore, analyzing the relationship between the current capacity of

institutions and the capacity required to implement NEP 2020 provides an important objective basis for the study.

Resource availability is of particular importance in this regard. In particular, digital education, research, vocational education, new curricula and learner-centred teaching require specific infrastructure and technological resources. Therefore, it is important to examine the relationship between resource availability and policy implementation, as resource scarcity can act as a fundamental constraint in the practical implementation of the policy.

Capacity building is an essential requirement for the effective implementation of NEP 2020. Teachers, administrative staff and institutional leadership need to be provided with opportunities to acquire skills compatible with new curricula, teaching methods, assessment, digital technology, research and learner-centred learning. Therefore, identifying in which areas educational institutions need additional training, technical assistance, human resource development or administrative capacity building, further enhances the practical relevance of this study.

At the same time, it is also important to analyze the various practical challenges that educational institutions may face while implementing NEP 2020. Infrastructural constraints, lack of adequate funding, shortage of teachers and staff, inadequate teacher training, digital divide, limited technical support, administrative complexity and lack of coordination between different levels of institutions can impact the implementation of the policy. Analyzing these challenges in a real institutional context will make it easier to identify areas of support and reforms needed to make the implementation of the policy more effective.

Therefore, the rationale of the present study is based on the need to understand the relationship between the policy objectives of NEP 2020 and its institutional implementation through an integrated framework. This study will therefore attempt to create an evidence-based basis for analyzing the current situation, institutional capacity and existing constraints of policy implementation by considering these dimensions in an integrated manner. This will create an opportunity to identify the necessary directions for making the implementation of the policy more effective, inclusive, resource-supported and in line with the practical capabilities of the institutions.

Research Gap:

Since the launch of the National Education Policy 2020 (NEP 2020), there have been various discussions and studies on its objectives, policy framework, proposed reforms and potential impact on the Indian education system. However, in addition to analyzing the declared objectives and framework of the policy, there is a need for a more comprehensive and evidence-based investigation into how the policy is being implemented in practice and what kind of capacity exists at the institutional level for its implementation. That is, the scope of institutional analysis of the implementation process still needs to be expanded more than the discussion of policy formulation and policy expectations.

In particular, institutional preparedness is an important but more in-depth area for the implementation of NEP 2020. The readiness of an institution to effectively adopt the new

education policy framework cannot be determined solely by formal compliance with the policy; rather, it requires an integrated assessment of various elements such as infrastructure, human resources, financial resources, teacher training, technical capacity, administrative skills, and institutional leadership. Filling this gap is important because the actual readiness of an institution can directly affect its ability to achieve the desired outcomes of the policy.

Another important research gap is the policy–practice gap, i. If there is a mismatch between the instructions, resources, capacities and the actual situation in the implementation of the policy, there may be a gap between the declared objectives of the policy and the actual results. Therefore, in addition to analyzing the content of the policy, examining the relationship between policy intent and institutional practice is an important research area for the current research.

Due to the vast geographical, social, economic and institutional diversity of India, regional and institutional variations also need to be given due importance in the research. Not all educational institutions in the country have the same infrastructure, funding, human resources, technological facilities and administrative capacity. Analyzing the differences in implementation taking into account regional and institutional diversity, it is possible to understand more clearly where special support or capacity building is needed for effective implementation of the policy.

Furthermore, it is difficult to get a complete picture of the implementation process by analyzing the implementation of NEP 2020 only from the perspective of policymakers or administration. In addition to policymakers and administration, the experiences of heads of educational institutions, teachers, students and, where necessary, other relevant stakeholders can provide important insights into the practical situation of policy implementation. The difficulties that teachers face in implementing the new curriculum and teaching methods, the coordination and resource problems that arise at the administrative level, and how students experience the changes in the new education system—if these different perspectives are considered together, it is possible to understand the complexity of implementation more clearly.

Thus, while the existing research discussion has generated important knowledge about the policy objectives and potential reforms of NEP 2020, there is still a need to analyze these issues within a comprehensive research framework, including the process of transition from policy formulation to actual institutional level, institutional readiness, policy–practice gap, regional and institutional diversity, and implementation challenges from the perspectives of different stakeholders. At the same time, it will try to understand the challenges of policy implementation in light of the differences in institutional readiness, resources and capabilities, and the experiences of different stakeholders. This will create opportunities for more integrated and evidence-based research not only on the policy discussion of NEP 2020, but also on the institutional process of transition from policy to practical implementation.

Research Questions:

1. How is the implementation process of NEP 2020 progressing in India and what kind of policy–practice gap exists between the declared objectives of the policy and the actual implementation at the institutional level?

2. What is the institutional readiness of educational institutions for implementing NEP 2020, and how do factors such as infrastructure, human resources, funding, teacher preparation, digital capabilities, and administrative systems affect this readiness?
3. What are the major challenges in implementing NEP 2020 in India's diverse regional and institutional contexts and what kind of capacity building, resources and institutional support are required for effective implementation of the policy?

Research Methodology:

The present study will follow an integrated mixed-methods approach in line with the objectives and research questions of the study titled “Implementation of NEP 2020 in India: Assessing Policy Gaps and Institutional Readiness”. The quantitative data will assess the current status and trends of issues such as infrastructure, human resources, financing, teacher preparation, digital capabilities and administrative systems of the institutions; on the other hand, the qualitative data will capture the experiences, perceptions and implementation challenges of teachers, heads of institutions, administrative staff and other relevant stakeholders. This integrated approach will help build a more holistic and evidence-based picture of policy implementation.

The study adopts a descriptive and analytical research design. The descriptive component of the study will present a well-organised picture of the current status of NEP 2020 implementation, institutional capacity and available resources of the selected educational institutions. If necessary according to the context of the study, data can be collected from selected institutions and stakeholders over a specific period of time using a cross-sectional design.

Both primary and secondary data are used for the research. Secondary data are collected from official documents of NEP 2020, publications of the Ministry of Education, relevant government reports, education statistics, policy guidelines, research articles, books, research reports and other reliable academic and documentary sources. The policy objectives and implementation framework of NEP 2020 will be determined using secondary sources and its comparative analysis with the actual situation at the institution level will be done through primary data.

The population of the study comprises teachers, heads of institutions, administrative staff, and other relevant stakeholders from selected schools, colleges, and higher educational institutions directly involved in the implementation of NEP 2020. The total population will be determined according to the geographical and institutional scope of the study. The sample will include teachers, administrative officers/heads of institutions and, if necessary, students, so that different perspectives of the implementation of NEP 2020 can be included.

Stratified sampling, purposive sampling and simple random sampling can be used for sample selection depending on the nature and geographical scope of the study. Purposive sampling can be particularly effective in the case of teachers and administrative stakeholders, as they have direct experience of the implementation of NEP 2020. However, where an adequate sampling frame is available, probability-based sampling methods can also be used to increase representation.

A combination of structured questionnaire, semi-structured interview schedule and institutional observation/checklist will be used for data collection. The questionnaire will collect quantitative data on infrastructure, human resources, financing, teacher preparation, digital capacity, administrative system and the status of implementation of various reforms of NEP 2020. Before data collection, the research instruments will be verified through pilot testing as necessary to ensure the clarity and reliability of the questions.

The collected quantitative data will be analysed using appropriate descriptive and inferential statistical techniques. Different dimensions such as infrastructure, human resources, finance, teacher preparedness, digital capacity and administrative mechanisms can be considered as indicators or composite measures to measure institutional readiness, if the research data and research design support it. The overall interpretation of the research results will be further strengthened by using triangulation between quantitative and qualitative findings.

Ethical considerations will be followed in the research. The information collected will be used only for research purposes and confidentiality will be maintained in the storage and presentation of the information. Proper citation and referencing will be followed in the use of secondary sources and the statements or information of any source will not be used without proper acknowledgement.

However, there may be some limitations to this study. First, since NEP 2020 is an ongoing and long-term policy reform, long-term data may be required to assess its full impact; therefore, a cross-sectional study will be more reflective of the immediate implementation situation. Despite these limitations, the combination of primary and secondary data, the perspectives of different stakeholders, and the combined use of quantitative and qualitative analysis will provide an opportunity to make the results of the study more well-organised and evidence-based.

Main areas of implementation of NEP 2020:

The National Education Policy 2020 (NEP 2020) presents a comprehensive vision of structural, curricular, pedagogical and institutional changes at various levels and sectors of the Indian education system. The implementation of the policy is not limited to reforms at a specific level of education; rather, it covers a range of interrelated areas such as school education, higher education, curriculum and pedagogy, multidisciplinary and holistic education, teacher education and professional development, vocational and skill-based education, digital and technology-enabled education, equity and inclusion, and assessment and testing systems. Effective implementation of reforms in these areas is crucial for the overall transformation of the education system and achieving the stated goals of NEP 2020.

In school education, NEP 2020 places special emphasis on the overall development of students from the early stages of education. There is also emphasis on reducing the additional subject pressure of the curriculum at the school level and increasing the opportunities for developing conceptual learning, creativity, critical thinking, and real-life skills. As a result, the goal has been adopted to make school education not just a means of achieving success in examinations but an important stage in the development of the overall personality and capabilities of the student.

The implementation of NEP 2020 in the field of higher education is closely related to building a multidisciplinary and flexible education system. At the same time, emphasis has been placed on research, innovation, knowledge creation and increasing the institutional capacity of higher educational institutions. However, to implement these changes, adequate teachers, infrastructure, academic resources, administrative capacity and institutional coordination are required.

One major dimension of NEP 2020 concerns curriculum and pedagogical transformation, i. Related to this change is the tendency to see teachers as facilitators and guides in the learning process of students, rather than just as knowledge providers. Teacher training, appropriate learning materials and adequate institutional support are essential for implementing such teaching methods.

A further area of significance related to this is multidisciplinary and holistic education. The concept of holistic education emphasizes ethics, social responsibility, cultural awareness, communication skills and personal development in addition to academic knowledge. However, the adequacy of subject-based teachers, infrastructure, academic collaboration and flexible administrative systems of institutions are important for the implementation of a multidisciplinary education system.

Teacher education and professional development is one of the fundamental areas of NEP 2020 implementation, as most of the changes in education policy are implemented through the actual teaching and learning process in the classroom. There is a need to adapt teachers to the changing educational environment through continuous teacher training, professional development, peer-based learning and technological capacity building. If there is no adequacy in teacher preparation, the desired changes in policy may not be fully reflected at the classroom level, even if they are at the curriculum or administrative level.

In vocational education and skill development, NEP 2020 has also provided a significant change in perspective. This can create opportunities for students to increase their practical skills, entrepreneurial capabilities, and employability. However, to make this initiative successful, trained trainers, suitable laboratory and training facilities, collaboration between industry and institutions, and adequate financial and infrastructural support are required.

Digital and technology-enabled education is an increasingly important area in the implementation of NEP 2020. However, to make digital education effective, reliable internet, electricity, appropriate devices, digital learning materials and adequate digital skills of teachers and students are required. Unequal availability of these facilities can create a digital divide and, as a result, there is a possibility of increasing the gap in educational opportunities between students in different regions and institutions.

Another fundamental area of NEP 2020 is equity, inclusion and accessibility, that is, ensuring equality, inclusion and accessibility in education. Reducing existing disparities in educational opportunities and resources and creating a suitable learning environment for students from different social, economic, linguistic and geographical backgrounds are important conditions for an inclusive education system. To achieve this goal, it is not enough to simply increase admission or participation opportunities; rather, it is necessary to focus on equity in the learning

environment, educational materials, technology, support services and educational outcomes of students.

Assessment and examination reform also constitute an important component of the implementation of NEP 2020. Implementing this change in the assessment system requires teacher training, appropriate assessment tools, reliable assessment methods and adequate preparation at the institutional level. Reforming the assessment system to shift from a test-result-oriented approach to real student learning and skill development is therefore an important part of the overall implementation of NEP 2020.

These implementation domains should not be treated as independent components; rather, they are part of an integrated educational transformation process. To effect structural change in schools and higher education, curriculum and teaching-learning methods need to be reformed; trained teachers and institutional capacity are needed to implement those reforms; appropriate infrastructure and resources are needed for technology-enabled and multidisciplinary education; and equitable access to these opportunities is essential to ensure equity and inclusion. This integrated approach provides an important basis for assessing the policy gaps and institutional readiness of NEP 2020 in the present study.

Policy Gaps in the implementation of NEP 2020:

The National Education Policy 2020 (NEP 2020) has provided a comprehensive policy framework for structural and qualitative transformation of the Indian education system. In the case of NEP 2020 too, the potential gap between the declared objectives of the policy and its actual implementation at the institutional level needs to be considered as an important issue in policy implementation. This gap is not only a limitation in the implementation of the policy directives; but is also closely related to financial resources, infrastructure, human resources, teacher training, technical capacity, administrative structure and coordination among various stakeholders.

A major implementation concern of NEP 2020 is the gap between policy objectives and implementation. But to implement these goals in practice, specific resources, skills, administrative readiness and implementation framework are required at the institutional level. As a result, there is a possibility of creating a policy–practice gap between the declared vision of the policy and the actual experience in the education sector.

Financial capacity and resource availability are closely connected to the implementation of NEP 2020. As a result, lack of adequate financial support or uneven distribution of resources may affect the pace of implementation of various policy reforms. Therefore, the balance between the ambitious goals of the policy and the actual resource availability is an important policy question.

The related infrastructure deficit is a major constraint to the implementation of NEP 2020. Without adequate physical infrastructure, the implementation of the new curriculum and teaching methods of the policy may be limited. Therefore, infrastructural readiness needs to be considered as a fundamental component of the implementation capacity of NEP 2020.

Insufficient digital infrastructure in the current education system is also a significant issue. If these facilities differ between institutions and regions, it may be difficult to reach digital learning opportunities equally. As a result, the gap between the policy goal of expanding the use of technology and the actual digital capabilities can be seen as an important policy gap in the implementation of NEP 2020.

Limited availability of appropriately qualified teachers and faculty is another important challenge in the implementation of the policy. Especially in the case of expanding multidisciplinary programmes in higher education, qualified teachers and researchers in various specialized subjects are needed. Therefore, establishing a balance between the availability of human resources and the new academic needs of NEP 2020 is an important implementation issue.

Insufficient professional preparation, apart from the number of teachers, can have a significant impact on policy implementation. As a result, a gap may arise between the structural reforms of the policy and the actual teaching in the classroom. Therefore, it is important to consider teacher training as an integral capacity-building component of policy implementation.

Administrative and regulatory arrangements also present challenges in implementing NEP 2020. At the same time, it is also important to ensure proper coordination between the autonomy of institutions and policy guidance. In the absence of an effective administrative mechanism, the process of translating policy objectives into actual institutional activities may be complicated.

A related concern is that limited coordination among various institutions and stakeholders is a critical area of policy implementation. Implementation of NEP 2020 requires cooperation and coordination between the central and state governments, education administration, schools, colleges, universities, teachers, students and other stakeholders. Therefore, it is necessary to consider the implementation of NEP 2020 as a multi-stakeholder process and analyze the coordination framework.

Regional and socio-economic differences represent another important consideration in the implementation of NEP 2020 due to India's social, economic and geographical diversity. There are differences in the infrastructure, teacher availability, financial resources, digital connectivity and socio-economic opportunities of educational institutions across different regions of the country. Therefore, it is essential to consider regional and socio-economic disparities as an important dimension of the implementation analysis to achieve the inclusive and equity-based goals of NEP 2020.

Taken as a whole, the identified and emerging policy gaps in the implementation of NEP 2020 are not the result of any single factor; rather, they are the result of the interrelationship between policy objectives, financial resources, infrastructure, human resources, teacher training, digital capabilities, administrative arrangements, inter-institutional coordination, and regional and socio-economic realities. Therefore, these policy gaps need to be analysed within an integrated implementation framework rather than viewed as isolated issues. This perspective will help to more clearly understand the gap between the policy aspirations of NEP 2020 and the actual

capacity at the institutional level and identify the areas of institutional and policy support required for effective implementation.

Institutional Readiness for the implementation of NEP 2020:

Institutional preparedness is a foundational condition for the successful implementation of the National Education Policy 2020 (NEP 2020). Effective implementation requires the structural, curricular, pedagogical, technological and administrative changes proposed in the policy, institutions need to have adequate infrastructure, skilled human resources, trained teachers and faculty, digital capabilities, financial readiness, administrative skills and effective leadership. From this perspective, it is necessary to assess the different dimensions of institutional readiness in an integrated manner in the implementation of NEP 2020.

To implement the reforms of NEP 2020, it is essential to have adequate institutional infrastructure. New curricula, experiential learning, skill-based teaching and multidisciplinary education may require additional academic and technological facilities in some cases, in addition to the existing infrastructure. Therefore, assessing the suitability of the existing infrastructure of institutions for implementing the educational changes proposed in NEP 2020 is an important part of determining institutional readiness.

In addition, human-resource capacity is an important prerequisite for policy implementation. Without an adequate number of teachers, faculty, administrative staff, technical support staff and other support staff, it may be difficult for an educational institution to effectively implement the additional responsibilities of the new policy. Therefore, having an adequate number of qualified staff in the institution and their skills being compatible with the demands of the new education policy is an important indicator of institutional readiness.

The preparedness of teachers and faculty deserves particular attention in the implementation of NEP 2020. The knowledge, skills and professional preparation of teachers and faculty are very important for the effective implementation of the new curriculum, learner-centred teaching, experiential learning, competency-based assessment, multidisciplinary education and the use of technology. Therefore, continuous professional development, teacher training, peer learning and technical training opportunities should be considered as important components of the readiness of institutions.

Digital preparedness constitutes another essential dimension of institutional readiness in the current education system. At the same time, digital skills of teachers and students are also important. Therefore, while assessing institutional digital readiness, both infrastructure and human skills need to be considered.

Administrative capacity is central in coordinating and implementing the implementation of NEP 2020. If the administrative leadership of an institution is able to adopt effective plans in terms of change management, resource management and policy implementation, then a more conducive environment can be created for the implementation of the reforms of the new education policy. At the same time, transparency, accountability and effective communication in administrative decision-making can strengthen institutional capacity.

Financial preparedness represents another important factor in the implementation of NEP 2020. Adequate financial resources may be required for construction of new infrastructure, technology acquisition, teacher training, research, vocational education, educational materials and student support systems. Therefore, in assessing financial preparedness, it is necessary to consider not only the total amount of funds, but also the availability, utilization and management capacity of resources when needed.

Curriculum-development capacity is crucial for the implementation of the NEP 2020 curriculum reforms. The active participation of teachers and academic leadership is required to make the curriculum relevant to the needs of students, local realities, and changing social and workplace needs. At the same time, institutional autonomy, academic collaboration, and adequate academic resources can play an important role in curriculum development and revision.

Research and innovation capacity is likewise connected to achieving the larger goals of NEP 2020. This requires laboratories, libraries, research funds, skilled researchers, academic collaboration, research infrastructure and an institutional environment that encourages innovation. In particular, opportunities for teachers and students to participate in research and an interdisciplinary research environment can strengthen the research-oriented vision of NEP 2020.

Effective student-support mechanisms are essential to building an inclusive education system. Appropriate support mechanisms, especially for students who are socially and economically disadvantaged or educationally at risk, are important in ensuring equity and inclusion in education. Therefore, while assessing an institution's readiness to implement NEP 2020, it is also necessary to consider the extent and effectiveness of existing support mechanisms for students.

Institutional leadership and governance complete this readiness framework in managing and coordinating the overall process of implementing NEP 2020. Effective institutional leadership can link the policy objectives to the institution's own plans and activities and can build coordination among teachers, administrative staff, students and other stakeholders. If leadership capacity is aligned with the real needs of policy implementation, then the institution will be more able to adopt and manage the organizational changes required for new reforms.

In an integrated view, institutional readiness for the implementation of NEP 2020 is a multidimensional concept, which is not limited to infrastructure or financial capacity. Infrastructure, human resources, teacher-preparedness, digital capacity, administrative skills, financial readiness, curriculum development capacity, research and innovation capacity, student-support system and institutional leadership and governance—all these elements are interrelated. This perspective can provide an effective basis for identifying how ready institutions are for the implementation of the policy, where there are capacity gaps and where additional resources and capacity-building are needed.

Challenges in implementing NEP 2020:

While the National Education Policy 2020 (NEP 2020) provides a comprehensive policy framework for structural and qualitative transformation of the Indian education system, its effective implementation is a complex and multi-layered process. The financial capacity, infrastructure, human resources, technological facilities, administrative arrangements and the ability to adapt to change of educational institutions play a crucial role in translating the reforms envisaged in the policy into reality. As a result, various institutional and structural challenges may arise in the implementation of NEP 2020, which may create a gap between the declared objectives of the policy and the actual results.

One prominent challenge in implementing NEP 2020 is resource limitations. Adequate financial resources, infrastructure, educational materials, technological equipment and human resources are required to implement the various policy reforms. It may be difficult to quickly create the necessary facilities in resource-limited institutions, and this is likely to result in uneven implementation of various policy components.

Institutional resistance to change is a significant challenge in policy implementation. Teachers, administrators, and other stakeholders have to adapt to new educational structures, curricula, assessment methods, use of technology, and administrative changes. Therefore, not only providing guidance but also change management and active involvement of stakeholders are important in policy implementation.

Insufficiently trained personnel may complicate the implementation process of NEP 2020. Skilled teachers, trained administrative staff, technical support staff and other professional staff are required to implement the new policy framework. Therefore, human resource development and continuous capacity building are an important prerequisite for the implementation of NEP 2020.

Unequal technological access remains a substantial challenge in the current education system. Similarly, there may be differences in the digital skills of teachers and students. Therefore, in addition to expanding technology-based education, it is also important to reduce the gap in digital infrastructure and skills.

India's rural–urban disparities can also play a significant role in the implementation of NEP 2020. As a result, there is no guarantee that the implementation of a uniform national policy will produce similar results across geographical regions. For this reason, it is important to design implementation strategies that take into account regional realities and local needs.

Language-related challenges also play a significant role in India's multilingual education system. In particular, it is important to create quality textbooks and digital learning materials in different languages and ensure teachers with adequate language skills. At the same time, maintaining an effective balance between the local language of students, regional languages and other languages used in higher education or the workplace is also a consideration in implementation.

Institutional autonomy and governance issues may also affect the implementation of NEP 2020. If the decision-making process is lengthy, the division of responsibilities is unclear or there is

a lack of administrative coordination, the pace of policy implementation may slow down. Therefore, effective governance, transparency, accountability and participatory decision-making mechanisms are important for policy implementation.

Effective coordination among stakeholders is essential for the successful implementation of NEP 2020. In the absence of effective communication and coordination among the relevant stakeholders in terms of policy guidance, funding, training, infrastructure development, curriculum changes and monitoring, inconsistencies in implementation can arise. Especially, if there is no common understanding among the stakeholders about the objectives of the policy and a clear division of responsibilities, the implementation process can be more complicated.

Finally, unequal implementation capacity is a key structural challenge of NEP 2020. Different educational institutions in India differ in terms of financial resources, infrastructure, teacher availability, technological facilities, administrative capacity and institutional leadership. This unequal capacity can lead to variation in policy implementation and becomes an important consideration in achieving the overall policy objective.

Overall, the challenges to implementing NEP 2020 are interrelated and it is difficult to get a complete picture of the implementation process by looking at them as separate issues. Therefore, in assessing the implementation of NEP 2020, it is necessary to analyze these challenges within an integrated institutional and policy framework. Such an analysis can be helpful in identifying existing constraints to policy implementation, as well as determining the resources, capacity building, administrative support, and context-specific implementation strategies required for educational institutions.

Results and Discussion:

The analysis of the implementation of NEP 2020 suggests that a significant phase of policy change has begun in the Indian education system, where efforts are being made to move from the conventional educational framework towards a more flexible, competency-based, multidisciplinary, technology-based and learner-centred system. The extent to which the policy objectives are implemented depends largely on the resources, infrastructure, human resources, teacher training, technological facilities and administrative capacity of the institutions concerned. Therefore, it is more reasonable to consider the implementation of NEP 2020 as an ongoing transformation influenced by various institutional and regional realities rather than seeing it as a linear process.

The research discussion focuses on the consistency between the policy objectives of NEP 2020 and its practical implementation. Where this preparation is not adequate, the implementation of the policy objectives may be slow, uneven, or partial. Therefore, the capacity of institutions needs to be considered as an important explanatory factor when comparing policy goals with actual results.

An analysis of the current implementation situation shows that the physical environment of institutions plays a crucial role in the implementation of NEP 2020. At the same time, it is essential to familiarize teachers with new teaching methods and adapt the administrative system to the changing needs. This situation indicates that in assessing the implementation of

the policy, it is not enough to consider only government directives or formal measures; rather, it is necessary to analyze the actual form of those measures at the institutional level.

In discussing institutional readiness, the study shows that institutional readiness is a multidimensional issue. In addition to physical facilities such as buildings, classrooms, libraries or laboratories, the readiness of an institution needs to be considered, as well as the number of teachers and staff, professional skills, technical capabilities, financial planning, administrative efficiency, curriculum development capabilities, research environment and student-support systems. Therefore, institutional readiness needs to be viewed as an integrated capability.

The policy gaps identified in the study essentially indicate the gap between policy expectations and actual implementation. While implementing the various reforms of NEP 2020 in practice, constraints in infrastructure, financing, teacher training, technology and administrative systems may affect the implementation of the policy. That is, to understand the nature of the policy gap, it is necessary to analyze the actual resource and capacity situation in addition to the policy expectations.

A comparative discussion with previous studies further clarifies the position of the present study. Specifically, by analyzing how policy objectives are translated into practice at the institutional level and the role of institutional readiness in that process, the study provides an opportunity to understand the relationship between policy and practical implementation in a deeper way. However, when comparing with previous studies, it is important to consider the differences in the research area, time period, sample, methodology and institutional context.

The implementation of NEP 2020 cannot be seen in isolation from the larger educational transformation in India. NEP 2020 has attempted to organise these changes into a national policy framework. However, to achieve the desired transformation of the education system, in addition to policy changes, changes are also required in the organizational culture, human resources and technical and administrative capabilities of the institutions.

Technology-enabled education is a key part of this larger transformation. But uneven access to technology and differences in digital skills can limit the benefits of this change from reaching everyone equally. Therefore, implementing the NEP 2020 digital education goals requires not only providing technology, but also reliable connectivity, appropriate devices, digital learning materials, teacher training, and practical technical support.

Similarly, equity and inclusion are important dimensions in assessing the implementation of NEP 2020. Institutions in remote and relatively resource-limited areas may require additional support to effectively implement the inclusive objectives of the policy. Therefore, it is essential to give due weight to regional variation and socio-economic context in the analysis of the implementation of NEP 2020.

The overall discussion of the research further highlights that the implementation of NEP 2020 is a multi-layered and interdependent process. While adequate resources are required to implement the policy objectives, administrative capacity and institutional leadership are also required to effectively utilize those resources. As a result, improvement in any one area alone cannot ensure the overall implementation of the policy.

Therefore, the results and discussion of the present study suggest that the implementation of NEP 2020 can be understood as a process of transition from a policy change to an institutional transformation. In assessing the success of the policy, it is important to consider not only how many reforms have been formally adopted, but also how effectively those reforms have been incorporated into the actual operations of educational institutions, how adequate the institutions are prepared, and where additional resources and capacity building are needed. This perspective clarifies the relationship between the policy objectives of NEP 2020, implementation conditions, institutional readiness, and policy gaps, and provides an opportunity to understand the ongoing educational transformation in India in a more realistic manner.

Suggestions and Policy Implications:

For the effective and sustainable implementation of NEP 2020, merely formulating policy guidelines is not enough; in addition, it is necessary to comprehensively strengthen the institutional capacity, human resource skills, infrastructural facilities, financial support, technical capacity and administrative system of educational institutions. Regular capacity-building programmes are required to enhance the capacity of educational institutions to formulate their own plans, make decisions, manage resources, academic leadership and implement new education policies. The practical implementation of the policy can be more effective if training, consultancy, technical assistance and administrative cooperation are provided through institution-based needs assessment.

Teacher and faculty development is a key component in achieving the goals of NEP 2020. Teachers and faculty members need to be regularly trained on new curriculum, learner-centred pedagogy, experiential learning, competency-based assessment, multidisciplinary education and digital teaching-learning methods. In addition, initiatives such as peer learning, academic collaboration, mentoring and professional learning communities can further strengthen the professional capabilities of teachers and faculty.

Infrastructure development is essential for the effective implementation of the policy. At the same time, the infrastructural deficiencies of remote and resource-limited institutions should be identified and assistance provided on a priority basis. In terms of infrastructure development, not only the creation of new facilities but also the maintenance, accessibility and effective utilization of existing resources need to be seriously considered.

Ensuring digital inclusion is also an important condition for the implementation of NEP 2020. To make digital education effective, it is not enough to just increase internet connectivity; it is also necessary to ensure the necessary devices, reliable electricity, digital learning resources, institutional digital platforms and technology skills for students and teachers. In addition to reducing the digital divide, emphasis should also be given to digital literacy and responsible use of technology, so that technology does not create new types of inequality instead of expanding educational opportunities.

Adequate financial support is crucial for the implementation of the multi-dimensional reforms of NEP 2020. Adequate and timely funding needs to be ensured for infrastructure development, teacher training, digital technology, research, vocational education, student support services and institutional development. At the same time, an effective financial management system

needs to be developed for transparent use of the allocated funds, accountability and results-based resource management.

It is important to establish an effective monitoring and evaluation mechanism to determine the progress of policy implementation. The monitoring system should not be limited to administrative compliance but should also focus on real educational outcomes, institutional capacity and the experiences of students and teachers. This will make it possible to quickly identify problems arising in the implementation of the policy and take necessary corrective measures.

Stakeholder participation needs to be strengthened for the successful implementation of NEP 2020. The views of teachers, faculty, students, parents, institutional administrators, policymakers and other relevant stakeholders should be considered at various stages of policy implementation. At the same time, institutionalizing feedback mechanisms from students and teachers can help to gain a more effective understanding of the real impact of the policy and the changes needed.

In addition, inter-institutional coordination needs to be increased. Through academic collaboration, joint training programmes, shared digital resources, research networks and institutional partnerships, relatively weaker institutions can also get the opportunity to acquire the necessary support and expertise. If coordination between various central and state level agencies and educational institutions is more effective, it will be possible to reduce duplication, administrative delay and communication gap in policy implementation.

Finally, given India's diverse social, economic, geographical and cultural realities, it is crucial to adopt a context-specific implementation strategy. Therefore, it would be more effective to view the implementation of NEP 2020 as a flexible, inclusive, evidence-based and context-sensitive institutional transformation process rather than a uniform administrative exercise. Overall, institutional capacity building, teacher and faculty development, infrastructure development, digital inclusion, adequate funding, effective monitoring, stakeholder participation, inter-institutional coordination and place-based implementation strategies, if implemented in an interdependent manner, will enable the policy objectives of NEP 2020 to be more effectively linked to real educational change.

Conclusion:

NEP 2020 is a major policy initiative to transform India's education system towards a more holistic, inclusive, flexible, competency-based, multidisciplinary and technology-enabled framework. Differences in institutional infrastructure, human resources, financial capacity, teacher and faculty readiness, digital capabilities and administrative effectiveness may affect the pace and scope of implementation of NEP 2020. As a result, the implementation of NEP 2020 needs to be considered not just as a matter of following policy guidelines, but as a long-term institutional transformation process.

The discussion of this research reveals a close interrelationship between policy gaps and institutional readiness. Without adequate infrastructure, skilled teachers and staff, appropriate financial resources, training, digital facilities, and effective administrative systems, it becomes

difficult to achieve the expected results of an ambitious education policy. Therefore, it is more meaningful to analyze policy gaps and institutional readiness as interdependent implementation components rather than seeing them as separate problems.

Long-term and integrated measures are required to translate the objectives of NEP 2020 into tangible and meaningful educational outcomes. Institutional capacity building, continuous professional development of teachers and faculty, modern and accessible infrastructure, universal digital inclusion, adequate and timely financial support, evidence-based monitoring and evaluation, and effective stakeholder participation are particularly important. Given India's diverse social, economic, geographical, and cultural realities, it is also important to adopt context-specific and institution-sensitive strategies rather than a uniform implementation approach.

Overall, the successful implementation of NEP 2020 does not depend solely on policy adoption; rather, it depends on the combined presence of institutional capacity, resources, human expertise, technological access, administrative support and participatory governance required to effectively translate the policy objectives into reality. Therefore, in the future implementation process, it is necessary to give more importance to institution-level preparedness, regional variation and implementation capacity, along with policy formulation. Such integrated and evidence-based steps will enable the policy objectives of NEP 2020 to be transformed into more meaningful and sustainable educational outcomes, not limited to administrative reforms alone, but linked to quality of education, equity, inclusion, skills, research, innovation and holistic development of students.

References:

- Government of India, Ministry of Education. (2020). National education policy 2020. Government of India. <https://www.education.gov.in/nep-2020-english.pdf>
- Government of India, Ministry of Education. (2022). National Education Policy (NEP, 2020): Implementation—Two years. Department of School Education & Literacy. https://dsel.education.gov.in/sites/default/files/update/Nep_2020.pdf
- Government of India, Ministry of Education. (2024). All India Survey on Higher Education 2021–22. Department of Higher Education. <https://aishe.gov.in/document/aishe-final-report-2021-22/>
- Government of India, Ministry of Education. (2021). Annual report 2020-21. Department of School Education & Literacy. https://dsel.education.gov.in/sites/default/files/annual_reports/AR_2020_21.pdf
- Government of India, Ministry of Education. (2022). Unified District Information System for Education Plus (UDISE+) 2021–22. Department of School Education & Literacy. <https://www.education.gov.in/udise-report-2021-22>
- Kour, R. (2026). Institutional readiness for the implementation of NEP 2020: A conceptual framework for Indian higher educational institutions. *International Journal of Research*

- Pedagogy and Technology in Education and Movement Sciences, 14(1). <https://doi.org/10.55968/ijems.v14i01.621>
- Ministry of Education. (2021, December 6). National Education Policy, 2020. Press Information Bureau, Government of India. <https://www.pib.gov.in/pressreleaseshare.aspx?PRID=1778503>
- Ministry of Education. (2021, March 22). Implementation of New Education Policy. Press Information Bureau, Government of India. <https://www.pib.gov.in/pressreleaseshare.aspx?PRID=1706666>
- Ministry of Education. (2022, March 14). National Education Policy, 2020. Press Information Bureau, Government of India. <https://www.pib.gov.in/newsite/PrintRelease.aspx?lang=2®=48&relid=233034>
- Ministry of Education. (2024, January 25). Ministry of Education releases All India Survey on Higher Education (AISHE) 2021–2022. Press Information Bureau, Government of India. <https://www.pib.gov.in/pressreleaseshare.aspx?PRID=1999713>
- Ministry of Human Resource Development. (2020, September 22). NEP to create a new system that is aligned with the aspirational goals of 21st century education. Press Information Bureau, Government of India. <https://www.pib.gov.in/newsite/PrintRelease.aspx?lang=2®=48&relid=215458>
- “Bridging policy and practice in Indian higher education: College educators' perspectives and institutional mediation in NEP 2020 implementation.” (2026). Asian Education and Development Studies. <https://doi.org/10.1108/AEDS-08-2025-0375>
- University Grants Commission. (2021). UGC (Establishment and Operation of Academic Bank of Credits in Higher Education) Regulations, 2021. University Grants Commission, Government of India.
- University Grants Commission. (2022). Guidelines for pursuing two academic programmes simultaneously. University Grants Commission, Government of India.
- University Grants Commission. (2022). Curriculum and credit framework for undergraduate programmes. University Grants Commission, Government of India.
- National Council of Educational Research and Training. (2021). National curriculum framework for foundational stage. Ministry of Education, Government of India.
- National Council of Educational Research and Training. (2023). National curriculum framework for school education. Ministry of Education, Government of India.
- National Council for Teacher Education. (2021). National professional standards for teachers (NPST). Ministry of Education, Government of India.
- NITI Aayog. (2021). Reforms in education: The way forward. Government of India.
- United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization. (2021). Reimagining our futures together: A new social contract for education. UNESCO.

United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization. (2023). Global education monitoring report 2023: Technology in education—A tool on whose terms? UNESCO.